# N=40 : from lol to lol

#### Frédéric Nowacki

# ISOL-France Workshop VI

27–29 mai 2024 IPHC Fuseau horaire Europe/Paris

















#### Separation of the effective Hamiltonian Monopole and multipole

Multipole expansion:



Spherical mean-field
 H<sub>monopole</sub>: Evolution of the spherical single particle levels

A. Poves and A. Zuker (Phys. Report 70, 235 (1981))





# Correlations

- Energy gains
- Pairing (SU2)

- semi-magic (n-n) (p-p) p-n in H.O. or  $\Delta i = 2$
- *Quadrupole (SU3/p-SU3/q-SU3)* p-n in H.O. or

#### Separation of the effective Hamiltonian Monopole and multipole

#### Multipole expansion:



#### Separation of the effective Hamiltonian Monopole and multipole

Multipole expansion:

 $H = H_{monopole} + H_{PP} + H_{QQ}$ 

Spherical mean-field
 H<sub>monopole</sub>: Evolution of the spherical single particle levels

A. Poves and A. Zuker (Phys. Report 70, 235 (1981))



#### H<sub>multipole</sub>:

- Correlations
- Energy gains
- Pairing (SU2)

- semi-magic (n-n) (p-p) p-n in H.O. or  $\Delta i = 2$
- *Quadrupole (SU3/p-SU3/q-SU3)* p-n in H.

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



$$Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



$$Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$$

ments

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



 $Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$ 

ments

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



 $Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$ 

ments

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



ments

$$Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



 $Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$ 

ments

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



 $Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$ 

#### ments

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>



 $Q_0 = 2q^{20} = (2n_z - n_x - n - y)$ 

ments

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 92, 024320 (2015)

#### Nilsson-SU3 self-consistency in heavy N = Z nuclei

A. P. Zuker,<sup>1</sup> A. Poves,<sup>2,3</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>1</sup> and S. M. Lenzi<sup>4</sup>

ments



### **Development of deformation at N=8,20,40,70**

F. Nowacki, A. Obertelli and A. Poves

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 120 (2021) 103866



Fig. 40. Schematic view of the valence spaces at N = 8, 20, 40 and 70. The intruder configurations that develop quadrupole collectivity are highlighted.



# Island of inversion at N=40, an old story: 1996

The Physics around the doubly-magic <sup>78</sup>Ni Nucleus



A. Poves



ў(0ph-2ph) = 5.70 9(0ph-Yph) = 8.30

$Q = -9.0 \ b^2$ BEZ = 19.8 $b^{y}$	CS < 1% $W(dS_{2}) = 1.1$
$\frac{\mathcal{E}(Y^+)}{\mathcal{E}(z^+)} = 2.7$	$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathcal{E}(Y^4)} \\ \overline{\mathcal{E}(Z^4)} = (3.2)(3.4) \end{bmatrix}$
	in The intender

A SITUATION THAT REMINDS WHAT IS KNOWN AT N=20 FFS.

#### More recent experimental information

RAPID COMMUNICATION

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 81, 051304(R) (2010)

#### Collectivity at N = 40 in neutron-rich <sup>64</sup>Cr

 A. Gade, <sup>1,2</sup> R. V. F. Janssens, <sup>3</sup> T. Baugher, <sup>1,2</sup> D. Bazin, <sup>1</sup> B. A. Brown, <sup>1,2</sup> M. P. Carpenter, <sup>3</sup> C. J. Chiara, <sup>3,4</sup> A. N. Deacon, <sup>5</sup>
 S. J. Freeman, <sup>5</sup> G. F. Grinyer, <sup>1</sup> C. R. Hoffman, <sup>3</sup> B. P. Kay, <sup>3</sup> F. G. Kondev, <sup>6</sup> T. Lauritsen, <sup>3</sup> S. McDaniel, <sup>1,2</sup> K. Meierbachtol, <sup>1,7</sup> A. Ratkiewicz, <sup>1,2</sup> S. R. Stroberg, <sup>1,2</sup> K. A. Walsh, <sup>1,2</sup> D. Weisshaar, <sup>1</sup> R. Winkler, <sup>1</sup> and S. Zhu<sup>3</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

RAPID COMMUNICATION

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 81, 061301(R) (2010)

#### Onset of collectivity in neutron-rich Fe isotopes: Toward a new island of inversion?

J. Ljungvall,<sup>1,2,3</sup> A. Görgen,<sup>1</sup> A. Obertelli,<sup>1</sup> W. Korten,<sup>1</sup> E. Clément,<sup>2</sup> G. de France,<sup>2</sup> A. Bürger,<sup>4</sup> J.-P. Delaroche,<sup>5</sup> A. Dewald,<sup>6</sup> A. Gadea,<sup>7</sup> L. Gaudefroy,<sup>5</sup> M. Girod,<sup>5</sup> M. Hackstein,<sup>6</sup> J. Libert,<sup>8</sup> D. Mengoni,<sup>9</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>10</sup> T. Pissulla,<sup>6</sup> A. Poves,<sup>11</sup> F. Recchia,<sup>12</sup> M. Rejmund,<sup>2</sup> W. Rother,<sup>6</sup> E. Sahin,<sup>12</sup> C. Schmitt,<sup>2</sup> A. Shrivastava,<sup>2</sup> K. Sieja,<sup>10</sup> J. J. Valiente-Dobón,<sup>12</sup> K. O. Zell,<sup>6</sup> and M. Zielińska<sup>13</sup> <sup>1</sup>CEA Saclay, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>2</sup>GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRSIN2P3, Bd Henri Becquerel, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen, France

3 CONCH CONCERNMENT FOLIAS OF

### SM framework



Island of inversion around <sup>64</sup>Cr

- S. Lenzi, F. Nowacki, A. Poves and K. Sieja
- Phys. Rev. C82, 054301, 2010



#### LNPS interaction:

- based on realistic TBME
- new fit of the pf shell (KB3GR, E. Caurier)
- monopole corrections
- g<sub>9/2</sub>-d<sub>5/2</sub> gap now constrained to 2.5 Mev in <sup>68</sup>Ni

#### Calculations:

- Up to 14ħω excitations across Z=28 and N=40 gaps
- Matrix diagonalizations up to 2.10<sup>10</sup>
- m-scheme code ANTOINE (non public parallel version)
- DNO-SM for most deformed cases (D. D. Dao Strasbourg)

# Discrete Non-Orthogonal Shell Model

#### **Generator Coordinate Method**: $|\Psi_{\text{eff}}\rangle = \sum_{i} f_{i} |\Phi_{i}\rangle$

- 1) Deformed Hartree-Fock (HF) Slater determinants
- 2) Restoration of rotational symmetry
- 3) Mixing of shapes:

# $|\Psi_{\rm eff}\rangle$ = + + + - ·

#### Intrinsic/Laboratory Description

• Deformation structure of nuclear states:  $\{J^{\pi}_{\alpha}\}, q = (\beta, \gamma)$ 

$$\mathcal{M}^{(J)}_{lpha}(q, {\cal K}) = \sum_{q', {\cal K}'} [\hat{N}^{1/2}]^{(J)}_{{\cal K}'{\cal K}}(q', q) \, f^{(J)}_{lpha}(q', {\cal K}')$$



♦ Probability of a configuration  $(\beta, \gamma)$ :

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q) = \sum_{K} \left| \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q,K) \right|^2$$

• particle-hole interpretation:



• K-quantum numbers:

$$P_{\alpha}^{(J)}(K) = \sum_{q} \left| M_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q,K) \right|^2$$

M-scheme

# **Discrete Non-Orthogonal Shell Model**

Generator Coordinate Method:  $|\Psi_{
m eff}
angle = \sum_{i} f_{i} |\Phi_{i}
angle$ 

 $d_{3/2}$ 

s1/2 d5/2 d5/2 d5/2 d5/2



 $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{(J)}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{q} \left| \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q,\mathcal{K}) \right|^{2}$ 

#### Shape transition at N=40



<sup>68</sup> Ni	0.98	0.10	0p0h(51%)
<sup>66</sup> Fe	3.17	0.46	4p4h(60%)
<sup>64</sup> Cr	3.41	0.76	4p4h(70%)
<sup>62</sup> Ti	3.17	1.09	4p4h(48%)

#### Shape transition at N=40



	/	/	
<sup>68</sup> Ni	0.98	0.10	0p0h(51%)
<sup>66</sup> Fe	3.17	0.46	4p4h(60%)
<sup>64</sup> Cr	3.41	0.76	4p4h(70%)
<sup>62</sup> Ti	3.17	1.09	4p4h(48%)

#### Shape transition at N=40

<sup>62</sup>Ti



3.17 1.09 4p4h(48%)

# Triple-band observation in <sup>62</sup>Cr



FRIB/MSU/GRETINA Experiment

# Triple-band observation in <sup>62</sup>Cr



### Triple-band observation in <sup>62</sup>Cr



# Spectroscopy and moments of <sup>61</sup>Cr

TABLE I. Top: spin-parity, excitation energy and magnetic moment of the ground and first two excited states of  $^{64}Cr$ determined in this work from experiment (left) and from shell-model calculations (right, see text for details). Bottom: ground state proton and neutron occupations computed with our shell-model calculations.

	Exp.			Th.		
$I^{\pi}$	$E_x$ [keV]	$\mu \ [\mu_N]$		$I^{\pi}$	$E_x$ [keV]	$\mu \; [\mu_N]$
$1/2^{-}$	0	+0.540(13)	1	$/2^{-}$	0	+0.558
$(3/2^{-})$	70.8(9.3) <sup>a</sup>		3	$/2^{-}$	283	+1.27
$(5/2)^{-}$	97.7(24.9) <sup>a</sup>	-	5	$/2^{-}$	397	+0.342
$^{61}$ Cr $g.s.$	$f_{7/2}$	$p_{3/2}$	$f_{5/2}$	$p_{1/}$	$_{2}$ $g_{9/2}$	$d_{5/2}$
Proton	3.33	0.29	0.33	0.0	4 -	~
Neutron	8.0	3.78	2.49	1.0	7 1.46	0.19

<sup>a</sup> Value from Ref. 36



# Spectroscopy and moments of <sup>61</sup>Cr

TABLE I. Top: spin-parity, excitation energy and magnetic moment of the ground and first two excited states of  $^{64}Cr$ determined in this work from experiment (left) and from shell-model calculations (right, see text for details). Bottom: ground state proton and neutron occupations computed with our shell-model calculations.

	Exp.					n	
	$I^{\pi}$	$E_x$ [keV]	$\mu \ [\mu_N]$		Ι" Ε	-len	$\mu \left[ \mu _{N} ight]$
	$1/2^{-}$	0	+0.540(13	EX	pe	0	+0.558
	$(3/2^{-})$	70.8(9,3)	DE	3,	/2-	283	+1.27
	$(5/2)^{-}$	<b>NSU</b>	-	5,	$/2^{-}$	397	+0.342
col	$a_{g.s.}$	$f_{7/2}$	$p_{3/2}$	$f_{5/2}$	$p_{1/2}$	$g_{9/2}$	$d_{5/2}$
als Co	Proton	3.33	0.29	0.33	0.04	-	~
Cru	Neutron	8.0	3.78	2.49	1.07	1.46	0.19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Value from Ref. [36]



# N=40 at N=Z



- p shell: <sup>16</sup>O spherical/doubly magic
- sd shell: <sup>40</sup>Ca spherical/doubly magic
- pf shell: <sup>80</sup>Zr deformed nucleus
- Low-lying states in H.O. N=Z=8: CS, 4p4h, 8p8h
- Low-lying states in H.O. N=Z=20: CS, 4p4h,8p8h
- Low-lying states in H.O. N=Z=40: 4p4h ? 8p8h ? 12p12h ?

# Ab-initio predictions ?

#### Ab Initio Progress: How Heavy Can We Go?

Tremendous progress in ab initio reach, largely due to polynomially scaling methods!



Jason Holt 2023

**%TRIUMF** 

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







- ZBM3 valence space: extension of JUN45 to pseudo-SU3 + Quasi-SU3
- New effective interactions:
  - Realistic TBME + Monopole "3N" constraints"
  - ab-initio N3LO (2N) interaction
- SM + DNO-SM for most deformed cases

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







				B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm	l <sup>4</sup> )	
nucleus	Np-Nh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM*	SM
<sup>84</sup> Mo	4p-4h 8p-8h	1104 1891	1193 1732	<b>1740</b> <sup>+580</sup> -430	1765	-
<sup>86</sup> Mo	0p-0h 2p-2h 4p-4h 6p-6h	542 1030 1416 1858	196 871 1179 1655	707(71)	980	731

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







				B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm	<sup>4</sup> )	
nucleus	Np-Nh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM*	SM
<sup>84</sup> Mo	4p-4h	1104	1193	1740 <sup>+580</sup> -430	1765	-
	op-on	1091	1732	-430		
	0p-0h	542	196			
<sup>86</sup> Mo	2p-2h	1030	871	707(71)	980	731
NIO	4p-4h	o-4h 1416 1179	500	701		
	6p-6h 1858 1655					

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







				B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm	l <sup>4</sup> )	
nucleus	Np-Nh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM*	SM
<sup>84</sup> Mo	4p-4h 8p-8h	1104 1891	1193 1732	<b>1740</b> <sup>+580</sup> -430	1765	-
	0p-0h	542	196			
<sup>86</sup> Mo	2p-2h 4p-4h	1030 1416	871 1179	707(71)	980	731
	6p-6h	1858	1655			

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment





J. Ha, F. Recchia et al., submitted to NATURE

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment





J. Ha, F. Recchia et al., submitted to NATURE

PRL 105, 032501 (2010)

week ending 16 JULY 2010

#### Three-Body Forces and the Limit of Oxygen Isotopes

Takaharu Otsuka, 1,2,3 Toshio Suzuki, 4 Jason D. Holt, 5 Achim Schwenk, 5 and Yoshinori Akaishi6

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>3</sup>National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, 48824, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Sakurajosui 3, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan

<sup>5</sup>TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC, V6T 2A3, Canada

<sup>6</sup>RIKEN Nishina Center, Hirosawa, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

(Received 17 August 2009; published 13 July 2010)



FIG. 4 (color online). Ground-state energies of oxygen isotopes measured from <sup>16</sup>O, including experimental values of the bound 16– 24 O. Energies obtained from (a) phenomenological forces SDPF-M [13] and USD-B [14], (b) a G matrix and including FM 3N forces due to  $\Delta$  excitations, and (c) from low-momentum interactions  $V_{low_k}$  and including chiral EFT 3N interactions at N<sup>2</sup>LO as well as only due to  $\Delta$  excitations [25]. The changes due to 3N forces based on  $\Delta$  excitations are highlighted by the shaded areas. (d) Schematic illustration of a two-valence-neutron interaction generated by 3N forces with a nucleon in the <sup>16</sup>O core.

PRL 109, 032502 (2012)

#### **Evolution of Shell Structure in Neutron-Rich Calcium Isotopes**

 G. Hagen, <sup>1,2</sup> M. Hjorth-Jensen, <sup>3,4</sup> G. R. Jansen, <sup>3</sup> R. Machleidt, <sup>5</sup> and T. Papenbrock<sup>1,2</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Center of Mathematics for Applications, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway
 <sup>4</sup>National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
 <sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844, USA (Received 16 April 2012; published 17 July 2012)



FIG. 2 (color online). (Excitation energies of  $J^{\pi} = 2^+$  states in the isotopes <sup>42,48,50,52,54,56</sup>Ca (experiment: black circles, theory: red squares)

#### Shell closures and 2N forces only

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 74, 061302(R) (2006)

#### Shell-model phenomenology of low-momentum interactions

Achim Schwenk1,\* and Andrés P. Zuker2,†

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Theory Center, Indiana University, 2401 Milo B. Sampson Lane, Bloomington, Indiana 47408, USA <sup>2</sup>Institut de Recherches Subatomiques, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Louis Pasteur, F-67037 Strasbourg, France (Received 14 January 2005; revised manuscript received 20 September 2006; published 12 December 2006)



no Spin-orbite shell closures in <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>22</sup>O, <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>56</sup>Ni
too strong H. O. shell closures <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>40</sup>Ca, ... and <sup>80</sup>Zr !!!

# **N3LO NN calculations**



	B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup> )							
nucleus	NpNh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM	N3LO		
<sup>80</sup> Zr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	587 1713 2663	637 1509 2396	1910(180)	2325	0.03		
<sup>84</sup> Mo	4p-4h 8p-8h	1104 1891	1193 1732	<b>1740</b> <sup>+580</sup> - 430	1740	174		

# **N3LO NN calculations**



	B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup> )							
nucleus	NpNh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM	N3LO		
<sup>80</sup> Zr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	587 1713 2663	637 1509 2396	1910(180)	2325	0.03		
<sup>84</sup> Mo	4p-4h 8p-8h	1104 1891	1193 1732	$1740^{+580}_{-430}$	1740	174		

MeV

,30<sup>γ (deg)</sup>

0.5

β

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment





J. Ha, F. Recchia et al., submitted to NATURE



J. Ha, F. Recchia et al., submitted to NATURE

# Isospin Symmetric Island of Inversion



### Summary

- Monopole drift develops in all regions but the Interplay between correlations (pairing + quadrupole) and spherical mean-field (monopole field) determines the physics.
- New "island of inversion" or "island of deformation" present for neutron-rich systems show up also at N=Z line with very deformed rotors dominated by Many-particles-Many-holes configurations.
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo and first fingerprint of 3N forces in deformed systems
- Around A~ 80, an "island of enhanced collectivity" show very deformed rotors dominated by Many-particles-Many-holes configurations.
- Ongoing NN + 3N(InI) ab-initio calculations

Special thanks to:

- D. D. Dao, K. Sieja
- G. Martinez-Pinedo, A. Poves, S. Lenzi
- A. Gade, O. Sorlin, A. Obertelli

# Ab-initio predictions ?

#### Ab Initio Progress: How Heavy Can We Go?

Tremendous progress in ab initio reach, largely due to polynomially scaling methods!



Jason Holt 2023

**%TRIUMF** 



///////////////////////////////////////	1
$^{56}_{28}{ m Ni}_{28}$	-

	B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup> )							
nucleus	NpNh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM			
<sup>76</sup> Sr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	924 2189 2316	806 2101 2300	2390(240)	1847			
<sup>80</sup> Zr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	587 1713 2663	637 1509 2396	1910(180)	2325			





		B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup> )					
nucleus	NpNh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM		
<sup>76</sup> Sr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	924 2189 2316	806 2101 2300	2390(240)	1847		
<sup>80</sup> Zr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	587 1713 2663	637 1509 2396	1910(180)	2325		

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







		B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup> )					
nucleus	NpNh*	ZRP	PHF	Exp.	DNO-SM		
<sup>76</sup> Sr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	924 2189 2316	806 2101 2300	2390(240)	1847		
<sup>80</sup> Zr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	587 1713 2663	637 1509 2396	1910(180)	2325		

#### $\diamond$ Strongly deformed states at N = Z:

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment

R.D.O. Llewellyn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 152501 (2020)



FIG. 3. Schematics of the  $B(E2\downarrow)$  values for the N = Z nuclei

