

m.rigault@ipnl.in2p3.fr





The new face of precision cosmology





ZTF has impressive data





ZTF SN la DR2 | ~2700 Cosmology SNe la



A&A Special Issue

First Author	Short title
Rigault (a, this work)	DR2 overview
Smith	DR2 data review
Lacroix	DR2 photometry
ohansson	DR2 spectra revie
Rigault (b)	Light-curve residu
Kenworthy	Light-curve model
Amenouche	DR2 sample simula
Ginolin (a)	Host, stretch & ste
Ginolin (b)	Host, color & bias o
Popovic	Host & color evolu
Dhawan	SNe Ia siblings
Ruppin	SNe Ia in cluster
Aubert	SNe Ia in voids
Carreres	Velocity systemat
Burgaz (a)	SN Ia spectral diver
Dimitriadis	Thermonuclear SN di
Terwel	Late-time CSM inter-
Harvey	High-velocity feature
Deckers	Secondary maxin
Burgaz (b)	SNe Ia in low-mass
Senzel	Bulge vs. Disk SN







The Lemaitre Diagram

ZTF DR2.5 | In prep

None of these SNe Ia have ever been used for cosmology





Number of Type Ia Supernovae

DR2 | Smith, Rigault et al.





Rigault et al. in prep





ZTF Camera



5 GB

x1000 per night x2000 nights

ZTF images 10 PB











x1000 per night x2000 nights

> ZTF images 10 PB (raw!)



Image Calibration Merging ~1000x5G images (dask) 10⁴ jobs of 10GB (~h)

We will eventually process all 10PB of raw data *dl from IPAC* | *no storing (eventually)*



Survey Stability Matrix 10⁷ stars x 10⁵ obs TB of shared RAM ~1 week a year



LSST is ZTFx10

Star catalog

Light Curve extraction

40 000 SNeIa x 50 starts x 1000 exposures CPU so far (jax?) | 10⁴ 30GB jobs

> Starts from calibrated images Signal Extraction x observing condition deconvolution



Transient Sciences



10

Is my analysis scaling to the full dataset?

Is that possible to marginalise over **10_000s of parameters**?

How to do accurate **simulations** to test my code ?

Is there a faster / more accurate solution for my problem ?

Is my analysis scaling to the full dataset?

Is there a faster / more accurate solution for my problem ?

How to do accurate **simulations** to test my code ?

Is that possible to marginalise over **10_000s of parameters**?

What is possible now that was not 10 years ago and which might be standard 10 years from now





Pioneered it at CC-IN2P3 for **ZTF**

(image & spectra processing, Catalog management etc.)

Quickly followed by LSST

Now natively accessible through notebook.ccin2p3.fr from time import sleep def slow_computation(x): sleep(1) return x*2

%%time $y = slow_computation(4)$

CPU times: user 58.1 ms, sys: 20.2 ms, ms Wall time: 1.01 s

import numpy as np xx = np.arange(0, 10)

%%time yy = [slow_computation(x_) for x_ in xx]

CPU times: user 705 ms, sys: 245 ms, to Wall time: 10 s

Massively parallelizable

Slow function

On your laptop

total: 78.4	<pre>from dask.distributed import Client client = Client()</pre>
	<pre>%%time delayed_yy = [dask.delayed(slow_computation)(x_</pre>
	CPU times: user 603 μs, sys: 178 μs, total: 781 Wall time: 701 μs
	<pre>%%time future_yy = client.compute(delayed_yy) yy = client.gather(future_yy)</pre>
	CPU times: user 81.7 ms, sys: 26.1 ms, total: 1 Wall time: 1.02 s





Pioneered it at CC-IN2P3 for **ZTF**

(image & spectra processing, Catalog management etc.)

Quickly followed by LSST

Now natively accessible through notebook.ccin2p3.fr from time import sleep def slow_computation(x): sleep(1) return x*2

%%time $y = slow_computation(4)$

CPU times: user 58.1 ms, sys: 20.2 ms, ms Wall time: 1.01 s

import numpy as np xx = np.arange(0, 10)

%%time yy = [slow_computation(x_) for x_ in xx]

CPU times: user 705 ms, sys: 245 ms, tot Wall time: 10 s

Massively parallelizable

Slow function

At the CC-IN2P3

ן	<pre>from dask4in2p3.dask4in2p3 import Dask4in2p3 dask4in2p3 = Dask4in2p3()</pre>
	<pre>ncpu= 1_000 client = dask4in2p3.new_client(dask_worker_jobs=r</pre>
total: 78.4] tal: 950 ms	<pre>%%time delayed_yy = [dask.delayed(slow_computation)(x_</pre>
	CPU times: user 603 μs, sys: 178 μs, total: 781 Wall time: 701 μs
	<pre>%%time future_yy = client.compute(delayed_yy) yy = client.gather(future_yy)</pre>
	CPU times: user 81.7 ms, sys: 26.1 ms, total: 1 Wall time: 1.02 s



15



optax

flax

blackjax

numpyro

jax_cosmo

...

import numpy as np %%time %%time x = np.ones((10_000, 10_000)) $x^2 = x x$ y2 = y*y CPU times: user 71.5 ms, sys: 183 ms, total: 255 ms Wall time: 255 ms Wall time: 2.87 ms On my laptop (M1) Automatic analytical gradient Whatever function 10 def test_func(x): 0 base = jnp.cos(x*5)**2ref = jnp.sin(x+5)-10 return jnp.exp(base-ref) grad_func = jax.vmap(jax.grad(test_func)) -20 0.5 0.0 It's gradient

Same code runs on GPU & on CPU





Looks like and feels like numpy & scipy



Normalising flow



From C. Doux | SOS 2024

Draw from arbitrary complex distributions

Simulation based inference



 $p(heta|\mathbf{x}) pprox \hat{r}(\mathbf{x}| heta) p(heta)$

Build your likelihood with a simulator and a neural network





From Azadeh Moradinezhad | GDR CoPhy 2024

18

Complex forward modelling

Fully differentiable physics forward model Ο



From Guilhem Lavaux | GDR CoPhy 2024

Fit a line... requires to fit for all true parameters !



Mickael RIGAULT

```
: # The model
 def get_model(param):
     x_model = param.get("x", x)
     a, b = param["coefs"]
     y_model = x_model * a + b
      return x_model, y_model
 # The "total chi2"
 def get_chi2(param):
     x_model, y_model = get_model(param)
     chi2_x = jnp.sum((x_model - x)**2 / dx**2)
      chi2_y = jnp.sum((y_model - y)**2 / dy**2)
      return chi2_x + chi2_y
  # ========= #
  #
     fit
  # ========== #
  # guess
 params = {"x": x,
          "coefs": jnp.asarray([3., 0.], dtype="float32")
 # 1. Setup the opitmizer (optax)
 import optax
 optimizer = optax.adam(0.001)
 # Obtain the `opt_state` that contains statistics for the optimizer.
 opt_state = optimizer.init(params)
 # 2. the derivative function
 grad_func = jax.jit(jax.grad( get_chi2 )) # get the derivative
 # 3. the gradient descent
  losses = []
 niter = 5_{000}
 for i in range(niter):
      current_grads = grad_func(params) # current gradient
      updates, opt_state = optimizer.update(current_grads, opt_state) # update
      params = optax.apply_updates(params, updates) # new params
     losses.append( get_chi2(params) ) # store the loss function
 # 4. the result
 print(params["coefs"])
  [4.4068894 1.3669752]
```



Organise a task-force

What is possible now that was not 10 years ago and which might be standard 10 years from now

Python (rust?) oriented | for physicists packages, methods and statistics

Survey current progress

Provide guidance to others



Join forces for shared projects

