



DES SCIENCES

Cnrs

universite

Université de Paris

Positron source: *Modelling and benchmarking studies*.

Fahad A. Alharthi

Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie (IJCLab) CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay alharthi@ijclab.in2p3.fr

Many thanks to:

<u>KEK colleagues:</u> F. Miyahara, Y. Enomoto, T. Kamitani, M. Satoh for the beam time and the support. <u>IJCLab colleagues:</u> I. Chaikovska, R.Chehab, Y. Wang, V. Mytrochenko and V. Kubytskyi For the support and the fruitful discussions.



- Positron production and tracking: modelling and simulation tools.
- Example of benchmarking study performed at SuperKEKB positron source.
- Machine learning in application to the FCC-ee positron source optimization.
- Summary and conclusion.

Simulation flow of positron source





Positron production modelling and simulation tools.

 Simulation of the passage of particles through matter (target & positron production) • An example of simulation to simulation comparison , for the **SuperKEKB target**.



Positron production modelling and simulation tools.

Positron capture & tracking :

- RF-Track. [6]
- A Space Charge Tracking Algorithm (ASTRA). [7]
- General Particle Tracer (GPT). [8]





- Simulation tools used for the FCC-ee positron source, starting for the production until the damping ring are based on : <u>Geant4 and RF-Track</u>.
- Validation and benchmarking of the simulation model is indispensable.
- To do so , multiple measurements are performed at the SuperKEKB positron source.



Example of benchmarking study performed at SuperKEKB positron source.

SuperKEKB injector

- SuperKEKB is an electron positron collider with highest record of luminosity.
- Positron source at SuperKEKB is the current stat-of-the-art with the highest intensity.



SuperKEKB positron source



- Four steering magnets (horizontal and vertical) placed upstream of the target to guide the beam offaxis.
- **BPM: SP_15_T** used to measure the charge and the position of the primary electron beam.



SuperKEKB positron source



🐌 SuperKEKB positron source



- Two Klystron units (KL15, KL16) are powering 6 RF Large aperture S-band structures.
- **Gradient**: KL15 = 8.13MV/m KL16 = 9.47 MV/m
- Normal conducting solenoid surrounding the RF structures with peak field = 0.5T.



F.ALHARTHI - AHIPS- 2024 Workshop

SuperKEKB positron source



- Series of focusing / defocusing quads placed at the exit of the solenoid channel.
- **Chicane**: 4 dipole magnets with peak field = 0.2T.
- **e- stopper** is placed at the center of the chicane to stop the secondary e-.
- **BPM SP_16_5** : used to measure the position and the charge of the e+ beam



Tracking in the capture section – nominal parameters

- Most of the positron losses observed at the entrance of the first RF-structure.
- Positron yield: after the target = 7.6, and at the location of SP_16_5 = 0.61





Primary electron beam - position scan on the target

- The upstream magnets are included in the simulation environment to estimate the primary electron beam position in SP_15_T and on the target.
- Primary electron beam imping in the target with angle causing the beam to travel longer in the target => more positron produced.



Primary electron beam - position scan on the target

 Horizontal position scan on the target , an example of the benchmarking study.



- Measurements performed during the benchmarking study:
 - Primary e- position scan on the target (horizontal & vertical)
 - Solenoidal field (around the structures) current/field scan.
 - 1D & 2D RF phase scan of the RF structures in the capture linac.
- Simulation results are in a very good agreement with measurement (<u>article in preparation</u>).

$$Yield = \frac{Qe^{+} @(SP_{16_{5}})}{Qe^{-} @(SP_{15_{T}})}$$



Machine learning in application to the FCC-ee positron source optimization



Machine learning optimization in application to the FCC-ee positron source

 X-opt is a python package (developed at SLAC) dedicated to provide advanced algorithmic support for arbitrary optimization problems (simulations/control systems) with minimal required coding.





Examples of the available Optimization algorithms:

- Genetic algorithms
- Bayesian optimization (BO) algorithms

[*] R. Roussel et al., "Xopt: A simplified framework for optimization of accelerator problems using advanced algorithms", in Proc. IPAC'23, Venice, Italy . doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2023-THPL164



- Application to the FCC-ee positron source.
 - $\circ\,$ RF phases optimization of the accelerating structures in the capture linac (used in the baseline).
 - $\circ~$ A-start-to-end optimization of the FCC-ee positron source.
- As a starting point we considered the <u>positron</u> production and tracking in <u>the capture section</u>.
 - 11 variables with constraints.
 - 3 variables related to e+ production.
 - 8 variables related to e+ capture and tracking.

• Objective: maximize the accepted yield.

<pre># Define the VOCS with 11 free par # target thickness, target position vocs = VOCS(</pre>	rameters: 2 beam sizes, on, and 7 RF phases	
variables-/		
	# D	
DS1ZX : [1, 1.5],	# Beam size in X	
"bsizy": [1, 1.5],	# Beam size in Y	
"thk": [13, 17],	<pre># Target thickness</pre>	
"target_position": [20, +!	50], # Target position	
"phs1": [200, 360],	# RF phase 1	
"phs2": [200, 360],	# RF phase 2	
"phs3": [200, 360],	# RF phase 3	
"phs4": [200, 360],	# RF phase 4	
"phs5": [200, 360],	# RF phase 5	
"phs6": [200, 360],	# RF phase 6	
"phs7": [200, 360],	# RF phase 7	
<pre>}, objectives={"accepted_yield": "MAXIMIZE"},</pre>		

X-opt in application to the FCC-ee positron source optimization

- Preliminary results of the start-to-end optimization (with 11 free parameters).
- After 4 runs (each run is 165 steps) the accepted yield converges to <u>~2.94 (current baseline is 2.97</u>).





- We have successfully validated the FCC-ee positron source model with multiple measurements conducted at the SuperKEKB positron source, and we are finalizing a detailed paper that will be submitted shortly.
- The ongoing start-to-end optimization of the FCC-ee positron source using X-opt is yielding highly promising results.
- Next step: Integrate the positron linac into the optimization study and conduct a multiparametric optimization of the entire positron injector.



Thank you for your attention!





IJCLab F. Alharthi, I. Chaikovska, R. Chehab, V. M	ytrochenko, Y. Wang
--	---------------------

CERN A. Latina, Y. Zhao

KEK Y. Enomoto, F.Myiahara, T. Kamitani, M. Satoh





This work was done under the auspices of CHART (Swiss Accelerator Research and Technology) Collaboration, https://chart.ch/reports/ CHART Scientific Report 2022: https://chart.ch/reports/



FCCIS: 'This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 951754.'





European Commission Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



[1] https://geant4.web.cern.ch/

[2] https://rcwww.kek.jp/research/egs/egs5.html

- [3] https://gambitbsm.org/
- [4] http://www.fluka.org/fluka.php?
- [5] https://phits.jaea.go.jp/
- [6] <u>https://gitlab.cern.ch/rf-track</u>
- [7] <u>https://www.desy.de/~mpyflo/</u>
- [8] https://www.pulsar.nl/gpt/
- [9] https://github.com/xopt-org/Xopt