Probing non-minimal coupling through super-horizon instability and secondary gravitational waves

Ayan Chakraborty

Department of Physics, IIT Guwahati, India

AstroParticle Symposium 2024





Ayan Chakraborty (IITG)

Motivation

2 Spectrum of non-minimally coupled scalar fluctuations

3 Generation of secondary gravitational waves by the scalar field source

Onstraining non-minimal coupling strength(ξ) based on observational bound

5 Important findings

• Scalar fluctuations, non-minimally coupled to gravity, can be treated as a potential source of secondary gravitational waves.

• Scalar fluctuations, non-minimally coupled to gravity, can be treated as a potential source of secondary gravitational waves.

 Significant post-inflationary long-wavelength(IR) instability of the source field beyond a certain coupling strength, leaves a visible imprint on secondary gravitational wave spectrum, which can be probed by various future GW observatories.

• Scalar fluctuations, non-minimally coupled to gravity, can be treated as a potential source of secondary gravitational waves.

 Significant post-inflationary long-wavelength(IR) instability of the source field beyond a certain coupling strength, leaves a visible imprint on secondary gravitational wave spectrum, which can be probed by various future GW observatories.

• Constraining non-minimal coupling through PLANCK bound on tensor-to-scalar ratio and $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$.

Cosmic Evolution



Cosmic evolution and dynamics of Hubble horizon through modified expansion history.

Why do we need reheating phase?

- At the end of early accelerated expansion(Inflation), universe was left in a super cold state of vanishing entropy, and particle no. density.
- To achieve successful nucleosynthesis, universe must transit to a hot, thermalized radiation-dominated phase.

Why do we need reheating phase?

- At the end of early accelerated expansion(Inflation), universe was left in a super cold state of vanishing entropy, and particle no. density.
- To achieve successful nucleosynthesis, universe must transit to a hot, thermalized radiation-dominated phase.

Inflaton \longrightarrow SM+BSM \longrightarrow hot thermal bath \longrightarrow reheating



General set up of non-minimally coupled scalar field(χ) system

Lagrangian of the system:

$$\mathcal{L}_{[\phi,\chi]} = -\underbrace{\sqrt{-g}}_{a^4(\eta)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi + \frac{1}{2} m_\chi^2 \chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \xi R \chi^2 \right)$$

a \rightarrow scale factor: $R \rightarrow$ Ricci scalar: $\xi \rightarrow$ non-minimal coupling

General set up of non-minimally coupled scalar field($\chi)$ system

Lagrangian of the system:

$$\mathcal{L}_{[\phi,\chi]} = -\underbrace{\sqrt{-g}}_{a^4(\eta)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi + \frac{1}{2} m_\chi^2 \chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \xi R \chi^2 \right)$$

 $a \rightarrow$ scale factor; $R \rightarrow$ Ricci scalar; $\xi \rightarrow$ non-minimal coupling

- Fourier decomposition: $\chi(\eta, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \chi_k(\eta) e^{i\vec{k}.\vec{x}}$
- EoM of rescaled field mode($X_k = a(\eta)\chi_k(\eta)$):

$$X_{k}^{\prime\prime} + \left[k^{2} + a^{2}m_{\chi}^{2} - \frac{a^{\prime\prime}}{a}(1 - 6\xi)\right]X_{k} = 0$$
 (1)

 $R = \left(6a''/a^3\right)$

Dynamical equation and appearance of IR instability(Tachyonic instability)

Form of scale factor:

$$\boldsymbol{a}(\eta) = \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{end}} \left(\frac{1+3w_{\phi}}{2|\eta_{\mathrm{end}}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+3w_{\phi}}} \left(\eta - \eta_{\mathsf{end}} + \frac{2|\eta_{\mathrm{end}}|}{1+3w_{\phi}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+3w_{\phi}}}; \ \eta_i < \eta \leq \eta$$

Dynamical equation and appearance of IR instability(Tachyonic instability)

Form of scale factor:

$$m{a}(\eta) = m{a}_{ ext{end}} \Big(rac{1+3w_{\phi}}{2|\eta_{ ext{end}}|}\Big)^{rac{2}{1+3w_{\phi}}} \left(\eta - \eta_{ ext{end}} + rac{2|\eta_{ ext{end}}|}{1+3w_{\phi}}
ight)^{rac{2}{1+3w_{\phi}}}; \,\,\eta_i < \eta \leq \eta$$

0

We are interested in IR modes (k < a_{end} H_{end} = k_{end}) of very low mass case, m_χ ≈ 0

Dynamical equation and appearance of IR instability(Tachyonic instability)

Form of scale factor:

$$m{a}(\eta) = m{a}_{ ext{end}} \Big(rac{1+3w_{\phi}}{2|\eta_{ ext{end}}|}\Big)^{rac{2}{1+3w_{\phi}}} \left(\eta - \eta_{ ext{end}} + rac{2|\eta_{ ext{end}}|}{1+3w_{\phi}}\Big)^{rac{2}{1+3w_{\phi}}}; \ \eta_i < \eta \leq \eta$$

We are interested in IR modes (k < a_{end} H_{end} = k_{end}) of very low mass case, m_χ ≈ 0

► Inflationary evolution:
$$X_k'' + \underbrace{\left[k^2 - \frac{2(1-6\xi)}{\eta^2}\right]}_{\omega_k^2 < 0 \text{(Instability)} \to \text{ for } \xi < 1/6} X_k =$$

Inflationary and post-inflationary vacuum solution

Adiabatic vacuum solution

Inflationary vacuum solution: $X_k^{(inf)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi|\eta|}}{2} e^{i(\pi/4 + \pi\nu_1/2)} H_{\nu_1}^{(1)}(k|\eta|)$ Post-inflationary vacuum solution:

$$X_k^{(\mathrm{reh})} = \sqrt{rac{ar{\eta}}{\pi}} \exp\left[rac{3ik\mu}{a_{\mathrm{end}}H_{\mathrm{end}}} + rac{i\pi}{4}
ight] K_{
u_2}(ikar{\eta})$$

• EoS and ξ dependent indices: $\nu_1 = \sqrt{9 - 48\xi}/2; \ \mu = \frac{(1+w_{\phi})}{(1+3w_{\phi})};$

$$\nu_{2} = \frac{\sqrt{3(1+w_{\phi})} \left(3(1-w_{\phi})^{2} + 16\xi(3w_{\phi}-1) \right)}{2\sqrt{1+3w_{\phi}}\sqrt{1+4w_{\phi}+3w_{\phi}^{2}}}; \ \bar{\eta} = (\eta + 3\mu/a_{\mathrm{end}}H_{\mathrm{end}})$$

Introduction to Bogoliubov coefficients

Inflationary and post-inflationary vacuum solution

Adiabatic vacuum solution

Inflationary vacuum solution: $X_k^{(inf)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi|\eta|}}{2} e^{i(\pi/4 + \pi\nu_1/2)} H_{\nu_1}^{(1)}(k|\eta|)$ Post-inflationary vacuum solution:

$$X_k^{(\mathrm{reh})} = \sqrt{rac{ar{\eta}}{\pi}} \exp\left[rac{3ik\mu}{a_{\mathrm{end}}H_{\mathrm{end}}} + rac{i\pi}{4}
ight] K_{
u_2}(ikar{\eta})$$

• EoS and ξ dependent indices: $\nu_1 = \sqrt{9 - 48\xi}/2; \ \mu = \frac{(1+w_{\phi})}{(1+3w_{\phi})};$

$$\nu_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3(1+w_{\phi})} \left(3(1-w_{\phi})^2 + 16\xi(3w_{\phi}-1) \right)}{2\sqrt{1+3w_{\phi}}\sqrt{1+4w_{\phi}+3w_{\phi}^2}}; \ \bar{\eta} = (\eta + 3\mu/a_{\mathrm{end}}H_{\mathrm{end}})$$

• General reheating field solution: $X_k(\eta) = \alpha_k X_k^{\text{(reh)}} + \beta_k X_k^{*\text{(reh)}}$ $\alpha_k, \ \beta_k \longrightarrow \text{Bogoliubov coefficients}$

Introduction to Bogoliubov coefficients

Time-evolution of long-wavelength(IR) modes of scalar fluctuations



¹ A.chakraborty, S.Maiti, and D.Maity [arxiv: 2408.07767]

Ayan Chakraborty (IITG)

Probing non-minimal coupling through su

Defining field power spectrum and energy-density spectrum

Field power spectrum: $\mathcal{P}_{\chi}(k,\eta) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2 a^2} |X_k|^2$

Defining field power spectrum and energy-density spectrum

- Field power spectrum: $\mathcal{P}_{\chi}(k,\eta) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2 a^2} |X_k|^2$
- Field energy-density spectrum:

 $\rho_{\chi_k}(\eta) = \frac{k^3}{4\pi^2 a^4} (|X'_k|^2 + k^2 |X_k|^2) = (k^2/a^2) \mathcal{P}_{\chi}(k,\eta)$

Energy spectrum of IR modes for $1/3 < w_{\phi} \leq 1$

$$\rho_{\chi_k}(\eta > \eta_{\text{end}}) \propto \begin{cases} (\sin^2(k\eta)/a(\eta)^4)(k/k_{\text{end}})^{2(2-\nu_1-\nu_2)} & \text{for} & 0 \le \xi < 3/16\\ (\sin^2(k\eta)/a(\eta)^4)(k/k_{\text{end}})^{2(2-\nu_2)} & \text{for} & \xi = 3/16\\ (\cos^2(k\eta)/a(\eta)^4)(k/k_{\text{end}})^{2(2-\nu_2)} & \text{for} & \xi > 3/16 \end{cases}$$
(2)

Behavior of energy-density spectrum



$$w_{\phi} = 0
ightarrow \xi_{
m cri} pprox 5/48$$

 $w_{\phi} = 1/2
ightarrow \xi_{
m cri} pprox 4.073$

¹ A.chakraborty, S.Maiti, and D.Maity [arxiv: 2408.07767] Avan Chakraborty (IITG) Probing non-minimal coupling through su

Model independent definition of reheating parameters($N_{\rm re}$, $T_{\rm re}$)

Reheating point: $\rho_{\rm R}(a_{\rm re}) = \rho_{\phi}(a_{\rm re})$

Reheating e-folding number: $N_{\rm re} = \frac{1}{3(1+w_{\phi})} \ln \left(\frac{90H_{\rm end}^2 M_{pl}^2}{\pi^2 g_{\rm re} T_{\rm re}^4} \right)$

Defining
$$k_{\text{end}}$$
 and k_{re} :
 $(k_{\text{end}}/a_0) = \left(\frac{43}{11g_{\text{re}}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\pi^2 g_{\text{re}}}{90}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{H_{\text{end}}^{1-2\alpha} T_{\text{re}}^{4\alpha-1} T_0}{M_{pl}^{2\alpha}}, \quad (k_{\text{end}}/k_{\text{re}}) = \exp\left(\frac{N_{\text{re}}(1+3w_{\phi})}{2}\right), \quad \alpha = 1/3(1+w_{\phi}), \quad a_0 \rightarrow \text{present scale factor, and}$
 $T_0 = 2.725 \text{ K}$ is the present CMB temperature

¹ L. Dai, M. Kamionkowski and J. Wang, PRL. 113, 041302 (2014)
 ² J. L. Cook, et al. JCAP 04 (2015) 047
 Ayan Chakraborty (IITG) Probing non-minimal coupling through su 27th Nov

Generation of secondary(induced) gravitational wave(SGW)

Perturbed FLRW metric:

 $ds^2 = a^2(\eta) \left[-d\eta^2 + (\delta_{ii} + h_{ii}) dx^i dx^j \right]$, transverse-traceless tensor $\rightarrow \partial^i h_{ii} = h_i^i = 0$

- anisotropic stress tensor: $\prod_{ii} \sim (1-2\xi)\partial_i \chi \partial_i \chi 2\xi \chi \partial_i \partial_i \chi + \xi \chi^2 G_{ii}$
- Evolution equation: $h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\lambda^{\prime\prime}} + 2rac{a^{\prime}}{a}h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\lambda\prime} + k^{2}h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\lambda} = rac{2}{M_{\star\prime}^{2}}e_{\lambda}^{ij}(k)P_{ij}^{lm}(\hat{k})T_{lm}(k,\eta), \ \ P_{ij}^{lm}(\hat{k})
 ightarrow$

transverse-traceless projector

• outline of evolution Equation

Defining secondary tensor power spectrum in presence of scalar field source

► Tensor power spectrum: $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(k,\eta) = 4\frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}|h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)|^2$, $h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathrm{vac}} + \frac{2e^{ij}(\mathbf{k})}{M_{\alpha l}^2}\int d\eta_1 \mathcal{G}_k(\eta,\eta_1)\Pi_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\mathbf{k},\eta_1)$

Defining secondary tensor power spectrum in presence of scalar field source

► Tensor power spectrum: $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(k,\eta) = 4\frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}|h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)|^2$, $h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathrm{vac}} + \frac{2e^{ij}(\mathbf{k})}{M_{al}^2}\int d\eta_1 \mathcal{G}_k(\eta,\eta_1)\Pi_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\mathbf{k},\eta_1)$

► Secondary tensor power spectrum: $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{sec}}(k,\eta_{\mathrm{re}}) \propto \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{pl}}^{4}} \left(\int_{x_{\mathrm{e}}}^{x_{\mathrm{re}}} dx_{1} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{k}^{\mathrm{re}}(x_{\mathrm{re}},x_{1})}{a^{2}(x_{1})} \right)^{2} \times \int_{k_{\mathrm{min}}}^{k_{\mathrm{end}}} \frac{dq}{k} \int_{-1}^{1} d\gamma (1-\gamma^{2})^{2} \times \frac{(q/k)^{3} \mathcal{P}_{X}(q,\eta_{1}) \mathcal{P}_{X}(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}|\eta_{1})}{|1-q/k|^{3}}, \quad x = k\eta, \quad \cos\gamma = \hat{k}.\hat{q}$

For $w_{\phi} > 1/3$, $\xi > 3/16$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{sec}}(k < k_{\mathrm{re}}, \eta_{\mathrm{re}}) \propto \left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{end}}}{k_{\mathrm{re}}}\right)^{4-2\delta} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\mathrm{end}}}\right)^{4(2-\nu_2)}; \delta = 4/(1+3w_{\phi}) \quad (3)$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{sec}}(k > k_{\mathrm{re}}, \eta_{\mathrm{re}}) \propto \left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{re}}}{k_{\mathrm{end}}}\right)^{\delta} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\mathrm{end}}}\right)^{4+\delta-4\nu_2} \quad (4)$$

Ayan Chakraborty (IITG)

Defining Gravitational wave(GW) energy spectrum

- GW energy spectrum: $\Omega_{gw}(k,\eta) = (\Omega_{gw}^{pri} + \Omega_{gw}^{sec}) = \frac{(1+k^2/k_{re}^2)}{24} (\mathcal{P}_{T}^{pri}(k,\eta_{re}) + \mathcal{P}_{T}^{sec}(k,\eta_{re}))$
- Energy spectrum for today: $\Omega_{\text{gw}}(k)h^2 \approx \left(\frac{g_{r,0}}{g_{r,eq}}\right)^{1/3} \Omega_R h^2 \Omega_{\text{gw}}(k,\eta), \quad \Omega_R h^2 = 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$

For $w_{\phi} > 1/3$, $\xi > 3/16$

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\rm gw}^{\rm pri}(k < k_{\rm re})h^2 &\propto (k/k_{\rm re})^0 \quad (5) \\ \Omega_{\rm gw}^{\rm pri}(k > k_{\rm re})h^2 &\propto (k_{\rm end}/k_{\rm re})^{n_w} (k/k_{\rm end})^{n_w}; n_w = 2(3w_\phi - 1)/(1 + 3w_\phi) \quad (6) \\ \Omega_{\rm gw}^{\rm sec}(k < k_{\rm re})h^2 &\propto (k_{\rm end}/k_{\rm re})^{4-2\delta} (k/k_{\rm end})^{2(4-2\nu_2)} \quad (7) \\ \Omega_{\rm gw}^{\rm sec}(k > k_{\rm re})h^2 &\propto (k_{\rm end}/k_{\rm re})^{2-\delta} (k/k_{\rm end})^{6+\delta-4\nu_2} \quad (8) \end{split}$$

¹ A.chakraborty, S.Maiti, and D.Maity [arxiv: 2408.07767] Ayan Chakraborty (IITG) Probing non-minimal coupling through su

GW spectrum for varying ξ , $T_{\rm re}$ and inflationary energy scale($H_{\rm end}$)



Constraining ξ through tensor-to-scalar ratio($r_{0.05}$) and $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$ (for the scalar field)

For
$$w_{\phi} > 1/3$$
, in the regime $k < k_{\rm re}$; $r_{0.05} \propto \left(\frac{90H_{\rm end}^2M_{\rm pl}^2}{\pi^2 g_{\rm re}T_{\rm re}^4}\right)^{\frac{2(3w_{\phi}-1)}{3(1+w_{\phi})}} \left(\frac{k_*}{k_{\rm end}}\right)^{4(2-\nu_2)} \le 0.036$; $(k_*/a_0) = 0.05 \,\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}$

Constraining ξ through tensor-to-scalar ratio($r_{0.05}$) and $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$ (for the scalar field)

► For
$$w_{\phi} > 1/3$$
, in the regime $k < k_{\rm re}$; $r_{0.05} \propto \left(\frac{90H_{\rm end}^2M_{\rm pl}^2}{\pi^2 g_{\rm re}T_{\rm re}^4}\right)^{\frac{2(3w_{\phi}-1)}{3(1+w_{\phi})}} \left(\frac{k_*}{k_{\rm end}}\right)^{4(2-\nu_2)} \le 0.036$; $(k_*/a_0) = 0.05 \,\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}$

► This massless scalar, possible candidate for dark radiation, solely contributes to $\Delta N_{\rm eff} \rightarrow \left(\frac{g_{\rm r,0}}{g_{\rm r,eq}}\right)^{1/3} \Omega_{\rm R} h^2 \Omega_{\chi}(\eta_{\rm re}) \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{\Delta N_{\rm eff}}{0.284}\right)$



Probing non-minimal coupling through su

Contribution of GWs to $\Delta N_{ m eff}$

► If GWs(PGW+SGW) solely contributes to ΔN_{eff} then $\Omega_{\text{gw}}h^2 \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{\Delta N_{\text{eff}}}{0.284}\right), \quad \Omega_{\text{gw}}h^2 = \int_{k_{\text{min}}}^{k_{\text{end}}} \frac{dk}{k} \Omega_{\text{gw}}(k)h^2$

¹ S. Maiti, D. Maity, and L. Sriramkumar, (2024)[arXiV:2401.01864[gr-qc]]

Ayan Chakraborty (IITG) Probing non-minimal coupling through su 27

Contribution of GWs to $\Delta N_{ m eff}$

- ► If GWs(PGW+SGW) solely contributes to ΔN_{eff} then $\Omega_{\text{gw}}h^2 \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{\Delta N_{\text{eff}}}{0.284}\right), \quad \Omega_{\text{gw}}h^2 = \int_{k_{\text{min}}}^{k_{\text{end}}} \frac{dk}{k} \Omega_{\text{gw}}(k)h^2$
- Minimum bound on T_{re}(avoiding overproduction of extra degrees of freedom):





¹ S. Maiti, D. Maity, and L. Sriramkumar, (2024)[arXiV:2401.01864[gr-qc]]

Ayan Chakraborty (IITG)

Probing non-minimal coupling through su

Post-inflationary instability effect is dominant for higher EoS w_φ > 1/3. The longer the wavelength, the more the enhancement owing to prolonged instability for a larger coupling strength ξ.

Important outcomes

- Post-inflationary instability effect is dominant for higher EoS w_φ > 1/3. The longer the wavelength, the more the enhancement owing to prolonged instability for a larger coupling strength ξ.
- For $w_{\phi} > 1/3$, significant IR instability beyond a certain large ξ leaves a visible imprint on the SGW spectrum overcoming the PGW strength at the low and intermediate frequency ranges.

- Post-inflationary instability effect is dominant for higher EoS w_φ > 1/3. The longer the wavelength, the more the enhancement owing to prolonged instability for a larger coupling strength ξ.
- For $w_{\phi} > 1/3$, significant IR instability beyond a certain large ξ leaves a visible imprint on the SGW spectrum overcoming the PGW strength at the low and intermediate frequency ranges.
- Combining two strong observational bounds, $r_{0.05}$ and $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$, to prevent the overproduction of tensor fluctuations at the CMB scale and the overproduction of extra relativistic degrees of freedom, we have found a tight constraint on coupling strength. We find that $\xi_{\rm max} \lesssim 4$ for any $w_{\phi} \geq 1/2$ for a wide range of reheating temperatures. Unlike $w_{\phi} > 1/3$, for $w_{\phi} < 1/3$, we put lower bound on ξ . For $w_{\phi} = 0$, we find the lower bound $\xi_{\rm min} \gtrsim 0.02$.

Thank you!



Bogoliubov coefficients (α_k, β_k): Making the adiabatic vacuum solutions X^(inf)_k and X^(reh)_k, and their first derivatives continuous at the junction η = η_{end}, we compute the Bogoliubov coefficients as follows ¹:

$$\alpha_{k} = i \left(X_{k}^{(\inf)'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\operatorname{reh})*}(\eta_{\text{end}}) - X_{k}^{(\inf)}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\operatorname{reh})*'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) \right)$$

$$\beta_{k} = -i \left(X_{k}^{(\inf)'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\operatorname{reh})}(\eta_{\text{end}}) - X_{k}^{(\operatorname{reh})'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\inf)}(\eta_{\text{end}}) \right) \quad (9)$$

where (') denotes the derivative with respect to conformal time.

¹ M. R. de Garcia Maia Phys. Rev. D 48, 647 (1993)

Probing non-minimal coupling through su

▲ Back

Bogoliubov coefficients (α_k, β_k): Making the adiabatic vacuum solutions X^(inf)_k and X^(reh)_k, and their first derivatives continuous at the junction η = η_{end}, we compute the Bogoliubov coefficients as follows ²:

$$\alpha_{k} = i \left(X_{k}^{(\text{inf})'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\text{reh})*}(\eta_{\text{end}}) - X_{k}^{(\text{inf})}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\text{reh})*'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) \right)$$

$$\beta_{k} = -i \left(X_{k}^{(\text{inf})'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\text{reh})}(\eta_{\text{end}}) - X_{k}^{(\text{reh})'}(\eta_{\text{end}}) X_{k}^{(\text{inf})}(\eta_{\text{end}}) \right)$$
(10)

where (') denotes the derivative with respect to conformal time.

² M. R. de Garcia Maia Phys. Rev. D 48, 647 (1993)



Action with anisotropic stress: S_{GW} = ∫ dx⁴√-g [-g^{μν}/_{64πG}∂_μh_{ij}∂_νh^{ij} + ½Π^{ij}h_{ij}] Fourier decomposition: h_{ij}(η, x) = ∑_{λ=(+,×)} ∫ d^{3k}/((2π)^{3/2}) e^λ_{ij}(k)h^λ_k(η)e^{ik·x}, e^λ_{ij}(k) → polarization tensor