

Review of Dark Matter Tools

Paris Astroparticle Symposium

November 2024

LPC – Clermont Ferrand Andreas Goudelis

Introductory comments

Every review talk needs a disclaimer...

 \cdot There are *many* dark matter – related tools available in the community!

· I have not used all of them, let alone all of their functionalities.

· I apologize in advance if I don't mention or misrepresent your favourite tool. Cf also reviews on DM Tools at TOOLS2020, 2022

· Most DM codes evolve constantly (sometimes even silently), not obvious to keep up with new functionalities/features. Ultimately, one *must* read the manuals.

> The fact that we've reached a level at which it's actually hard to give a review talk on DM tools is a good thing

Which are the public dark matter tools?

For the sake of the presentation, let's split them into two categories:

Tools that compute the DM relic abundance

(but which may also serve other purposes!)

· micrOMEGAs: Generic BSM models.

· DarkSUSY: Generic BSM models.

· MadDM: Generic BSM models.

· Dark Pack: Evolutions of Superiso Relic, Generic BSM models.

NB: All of these codes also perform (at least) the most standard calculations for direct/indirect detection.

Tools that don't compute the DM relic abundance

(and which definitely serve other purposes!)

· Direct detection: DirectDM, RunDM, RAPIDD, DaMaSCUS, DDCalc...

> EFT matching, RGE evolution, scattering in the earth...

· Indirect detection: GALPROP, DRAGON, USINE, CLUMPY, PPPC4DMID, HDMSpectra... Cosmic ray propagation,

annihilation spectra...

· Additional functionalities: DarkBit, DarkHistory...

NB: Some of these codes are/can be linked to relic abundance calculation codes.

General workflow of DM tools

DarkSUSY

<https://darksusy.hepforge.org/>

A Fortran code to compute numerous dark matter observables for different dark matter candidates (current version: v6).

· Underwent *major* upgrade \sim 6 years ago, no longer SUSY-specific.

· Freeze-out, direct detection (incl. upscattering), indirect detection (under different astro assumptions).

· Possibility to link to other, modelspecific packages.

DarkSUSY

<https://darksusy.hepforge.org/>

A Fortran code to compute numerous dark matter observables for different dark matter candidates (current version: v6).

- · Underwent *major* upgrade \sim 6 years ago, no longer SUSY-specific.
- · Freeze-out, direct detection (incl. upscattering), indirect detection (under different astro assumptions).
- · Possibility to link to other, modelspecific packages.

Highlights:

- · Very modular.
- · Dark freeze-out computations w/ different sector temperatures.
- · Possibility to account for late kinetic decoupling, Sommerfeld enhancement.
- · Possibility to compute self-interaction effects.

MadDM

A Fortran/Python code to compute dark matter observables for generic dark matter candidates (current version: v3).

MadDM

A Fortran/Python code to compute dark matter observables for generic dark matter candidates (current version: v3).

Highlights:

- · Handles generic extensions of the SM, no need to compute cross-sections by hand.
- · Relies on MG5_AMC, extensively used in collider physics.
- · Readily linked with numerous HEP packages.
- \cdot Possibility to compute $2 \rightarrow n$ /loop-induced processes for ID via MadLoop.

micrOMEGAs

<https://lapth.cnrs.fr/micromegas/>

A C/Fortran code to compute dark matter observables for generic dark matter candidates (current version: v6). For any BSM model, the code can:

· Figure out which processes are relevant for the evolution of the freeze-out/freezein dark matter cosmic abundance.

· Compute the relevant matrix elements.

Based on CalcHEP. By default tree-level $1/2 \leftrightarrow 2$, possibility for some $2 \rightarrow 3/4$. Possibility to replace $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ with own expression.

- · Solve the necessary Boltzmann equations.
- · Compute additional observables, compare to EXP limits, link to other packages.

micrOMEGAs

<https://lapth.cnrs.fr/micromegas/>

A C/Fortran code to compute dark matter observables for generic dark matter candidates (current version: v6). For any BSM model, the code can:

· Figure out which processes are relevant for the evolution of the freeze-out/freezein dark matter cosmic abundance.

· Compute the relevant matrix elements.

Based on CalcHEP. By default tree-level $1/2 \leftrightarrow 2$, possibility for some $2 \rightarrow 3/4$. Possibility to replace $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ with own expression.

· Solve the necessary Boltzmann equations.

· Compute additional observables, compare to EXP limits, link to other packages.

micrOMEGAs

<https://lapth.cnrs.fr/micromegas/>

A C/Fortran code to compute dark matter observables for generic dark matter candidates (current version: v6). For any BSM model, the code can:

· Figure out which processes are relevant for the evolution of the freeze-out/freezein dark matter cosmic abundance.

· Compute the relevant matrix elements.

Based on CalcHEP. By default tree-level $1/2 \leftrightarrow 2$, possibility for some $2 \rightarrow 3/4$. Possibility to replace $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ with own expression.

- · Solve the necessary Boltzmann equations.
- · Compute additional observables, compare to EXP limits, link to other packages.

Highlights:

- · Can handle multi-component dark matter models.
- · Includes semi-annihilations, conversion-driven freeze-out.
- · Freeze-in (incl. backreactions).
- · Readily linked with numerous HEP packages.

Development of micrOMEGAs

MicrOMEGAs is a numerical code for the calculation of dark matter properties

+ intermediate versions. Until 2013, the only DM code to handle generic SM extensions.

What is new in MO6?

Numerous new features have been implemented in the latest version :

· Major upgrade : possibility to compute the DM cosmic abundance in models with multiple WIMP+FIMP dark matter candidates + consistent computation of relevant experimental constraints.

· Major upgrade : inclusion of conversion-driven freeze-out ("co-scattering") and decay terms.

· Possibility to define (and, partly, check) which sets of particles are in thermal equilibrium.

- \cdot Possibility to include 2 \rightarrow 3 and 2 \rightarrow 4 processes in single-component DM models.
- · Improvements in freeze-in computations.
- · Additional functionalities for direct/indirect detection.

Multi-component dark matter : strategy

Types of models handled in MO : one (or more) discrete symmetries **Z**i are imposed at the Lagrangian. Different (sets of) particles may transform differently under the direct product $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}_1 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{Z}_N$ of these symmetries. We divide the model content in sectors.

Multi-WIMP case

Any DS may (or may not) contain a dark matter candidate. The evolution of the μ -th candidate's abundance as a function of the entropy density follows :

$$
3H\frac{dY_{\mu}}{d\mathfrak{s}} = \sum_{\alpha \leq \beta; \ \gamma \leq \delta} Y_{\alpha} Y_{\beta} C_{\alpha\beta} \langle v \sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rangle (\delta_{\mu\alpha} + \delta_{\mu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\gamma} - \delta_{\mu\delta})
$$

where:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\langle v\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\rangle = \frac{1}{C_{\alpha\beta}\bar{n}_{\alpha}(T)\bar{n}_{\beta}(T)} \sum_{\substack{a\in\alpha,b\in\beta,c\in\gamma,d\in\delta\\ \text{if }(\alpha=\beta)a\leq b;\text{ if }(\gamma=\delta)c\leq d}} \bar{N}_{a,b\to c,d} \\
\bar{N}_{a,b\to c,d} = \frac{Tg_a g_b}{8\pi^4} \int \sqrt{s}p_{ab}^2(s)K_1(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T})C_{ab}\sigma_{a,b\to c,d}(s)ds\n\end{cases}
$$

Multi-WIMP case

Any DS may (or may not) contain a dark matter candidate. The evolution of the μ -th candidate's abundance as a function of the entropy density follows :

$$
3H\frac{dY_{\mu}}{d\mathfrak{s}} = \sum_{\alpha \leq \beta; \ \gamma \leq \delta} Y_{\alpha} Y_{\beta} C_{\alpha\beta} \langle v \sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rangle (\delta_{\mu\alpha} + \delta_{\mu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\gamma} - \delta_{\mu\delta})
$$

 $\setlength{\abovedisplayskip}{12pt} \setlength{\belowdisplayskip}{12pt} \setlength{\belowdisplayskip}{12pt} \setlength{\belowdisplayskip}{12pt} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \langle v\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\rangle = \frac{1}{C_{\alpha\beta}\bar{n}_{\alpha}(T)\bar{n}_{\beta}(T)} \sum_{\substack{a\in \alpha, b\in \beta, c\in \gamma, d\in \delta \\ \text{if} \, (\alpha=\beta)a\leq b; \, \text{if} \, (\gamma=\delta)c\leq d}} \bar{N}_{a,b\rightarrow c,d} \\ & \\ \bar{N}_{a,b\rightarrow c,d} = \frac{Tg_{a}g_{b}}{8\pi^{$

If some particle species in a DS decay slowly, we get additional terms of the type :

$$
\frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}^2(T)} \sum_{\alpha; \gamma \leq \delta} \left(\frac{Y_{\alpha}}{\bar{Y}_{\alpha}} - \frac{Y_{\beta}}{\bar{Y}_{\beta}} \frac{Y_{\gamma}}{\bar{Y}_{\gamma}} \right) (\delta_{\mu\alpha} - \delta_{\mu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\gamma}) \sum_{a \in \alpha, c \in \beta, d \in \gamma} \bar{N}_{a \to c, d}
$$

where: $\bar{N}_{a\to c,d} = \frac{Tg_a}{2\pi^2} m_a^2 \Gamma^0(a\to c,d) K_1\left(\frac{m_a}{\tau}\right)$

Including co-scattering, freeze-in

Co-scattering corresponds to processes of the type $\mu + 0 \rightarrow \nu + 0$. It turns out that these contributions enter the Boltzman eqs. similarly to decay terms $\mu \rightarrow \nu + 0$

$$
3H\frac{dY_{\mu}}{d\mathfrak{s}} \approx (Y_{\mu} - Y_{\nu}\frac{\bar{Y}_{\mu}}{\bar{Y}_{\nu}})\Gamma_{\mu \to \nu}
$$

where:

$$
\Gamma_{\mu \to \nu} = Y_0 \langle \sigma_{\mu 0 \nu 0} v \rangle(T)
$$

+
$$
\frac{\sum_{a \in \mu, c \in \nu} g_a m_a^2 \Gamma^0(a \to c, 0) K_1(\frac{m_a}{T}) + \sum_{a \in \nu, c \in \mu} g_a m_a^2 \Gamma^0(a \to c, 0) K_1(\frac{m_a}{T})}{\sum_{a \in \mu} g_a m_a^2 K_2(\frac{m_a}{T})}
$$

can be seen as an effective width between sectors μ and ν.

Freeze-in can also be implemented through the same set of equations, but setting the initial DM abundance to zero as usual.

 \cdot Important difference wrt single-component case: DM annihilations *are* taken into account. N_{B} : Kinetic equilibrium is assumed even for FIMPs, otherwise need to solve un-integrated Boltzmann eqs!

Validation and example results

The code was validated using different models as examples :

- · Singlet scalar (sanity checks for single-component DM, 1 WIMP or 1 FIMP).
- \cdot Z5M (two singlets w/ Z_5 symmetry, 2 WIMPs or 1 WIMP + 1 FIMP).
- · Z4IDSM (Inert Doublet plus Singlet w/ **Z**4 symmetry, 1 WIMP + 1 FIMP).

Two examples from the Z5M :

Excellent agreement w/ previous versions until decays become relevant.

Another application: FI at strong coupling

Usually, relic abundance calculations are performed assuming T_R to be much larger than all mass scales in the theory. However, this is an arbitrary assumption.

· Consider the singlet scalar model : $-\Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{scal}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{hs} H^{\dagger} H s^2$

 \cdot If T_R < m_s \rightarrow Production becomes Boltzmann-suppressed \rightarrow Larger couplings required for successful freeze-in.

 \cdot Backreactions can become relevant \rightarrow Can be computed with micrOMEGAs 6.

Another application: FI at strong coupling

Usually, relic abundance calculations are performed assuming T_R to be much larger than all mass scales in the theory. However, this is an arbitrary assumption.

· Consider the singlet scalar model : $-\Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{scal}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{hs} H^{\dagger} H s^2$

 \cdot If T_R < m_s \rightarrow Production becomes Boltzmann-suppressed \rightarrow Larger couplings required for successful freeze-in.

 \cdot Backreactions can become relevant \rightarrow Can be computed with micrOMEGAs 6.

· Smooth passage from freeze-in to freeze-out, as backreactions become more important.

· New parameter space regions open up, which re-motivates old (and new) searches.

> Traditional dark matter searches remain relevant

Issues with t-channels : the problems

Although in principle quite straightforward, processes involving particle exchange in the t-channel may present some peculiarities :

Spin-1 particle exchange leads to constant σ at high temperatures \rightarrow Y_{DM} \sim T_R even for renormalizable models.

Issue only appears in FI

If a stable particle is exchanged in the t-channel, σ diverges as the particle becomes on-shell.

Issue appears both in FI and in FO

Both problems appear due to the utilisation of zero-temperature, in-vacuum QFT. Physically, they are ficticious.

Issues with t-channels : the problems

Although in principle quite straightforward, processes involving particle exchange in the t-channel may present some peculiarities :

Spin-1 particle exchange leads to constant σ at high temperatures \rightarrow Y_{DM} \sim T_R even for renormalizable models.

Issue only appears in FI

If a stable particle is exchanged in the t-channel, σ diverges as the particle becomes on-shell.

Issue appears both in FI and in FO

Both problems appear due to the utilisation of zero-temperature, in-vacuum QFT. Physically, they are ficticious.

In particular, *in a medium*, at finite temperature :

 \cdot The vector mass receives a *T*-dependent contribution that scales as $M^2 \sim T^2$.

· Every particle (even a stable one) has a finite absorption probability ("width").

Issues with t-channels : solutions

Computing full-blown thermal corrections to masses/widths is beyond the scope of micrOMEGAs. Matrix elements calculated at tree-level

NB: We *cannot* simply replace T-dependent masses (gauge invariance).

Issues with t-channels : solutions

Computing full-blown thermal corrections to masses/widths is beyond the scope of micrOMEGAs. Matrix elements calculated at tree-level

NB: We *cannot* simply replace T-dependent masses (gauge invariance).

Observation : consider e^+ $e \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e$ and compute the integrated cross-section with a cut c on the scattering angle

$$
\sigma(\sqrt{s}, M_W, c) = 4\hat{\sigma}_{e\nu_e} \left(\frac{1}{2\mu^2 + c} + \log \left(\frac{2\mu^2 + c}{2} \right) + \mu^2 \log \left(\frac{2\mu^2 + c}{2} \right) + 1 - c + \frac{c^2}{4(-2 + c - 2\mu^2)} + \frac{c(c - 4)}{4(c + 2\mu^2)} - (1 + \mu^2) \log \left(1 + \mu^2 - c/2 \right) \right)
$$

Where $\mu^2 = M_W^2/s$, $\hat{\sigma}_{e\nu_e} = \pi \alpha^2/(8s_W^4 s)$ and $\{\mu, c\}$ enter both singularities through the same combination $2\mu^2+c$

The effect of a T-dependent mass can be captured by a zero-temperature calculation with a T-dependent cut on the scattering angle (or the p_T).

> In practice: user-defined p_T cuts for all relevant particles

Issues with t-channels : solutions

Computing full-blown thermal corrections to masses/widths is beyond the scope of micrOMEGAs. Matrix elements calculated at tree-level

NB: We *cannot* simply replace T-dependent masses (gauge invariance).

Observation : consider e^+ $e \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e$ and compute the integrated cross-section with a cut c on the scattering angle

$$
\sigma(\sqrt{s}, M_W, c) = 4\hat{\sigma}_{e\nu_e} \left(\frac{1}{2\mu^2 + c} + \log \left(\frac{2\mu^2 + c}{2} \right) + \mu^2 \log \left(\frac{2\mu^2 + c}{2} \right) + 1 - c + \frac{c^2}{4(-2 + c - 2\mu^2)} + \frac{c(c - 4)}{4(c + 2\mu^2)} - (1 + \mu^2) \log \left(1 + \mu^2 - c/2 \right) \right)
$$

Where $\mu^2 = M_W^2/s$, $\hat{\sigma}_{e\nu_e} = \pi \alpha^2/(8s_W^4 s)$ and $\{\mu, c\}$ enter both singularities through the same combination $2\mu^2+c$

The effect of a T-dependent mass can be captured by a zero-temperature calculation with a T-dependent cut on the scattering angle (or the p_T).

> In practice: user-defined p_T cuts for all relevant particles

For stable particles: introduce a small width $\sim M/100$ for *t*-channel particles.

Improvements for other observables

cf also Bryan's talk

· Direct detection:

In general multi-component models, one cannot naïvely impose DD limits: simple rescaling by the fraction of each component is not enough.

> In MO6 a function is provided in order to compute whether a model is excluded or not by the leading DD experiments.

· Indirect detection:

Consistent tabulation of of DM annihilation photon spectra also for $m_{DM} < 2$ GeV.

In MO6 the gamma-ray tables have been updated/improved to include annihilations into light leptons, pions, Kaons.

+ new Planck CMB constraints from electron/photon injection.

· Structure formation:

Free-streaming length of DM particles through:

$$
\lambda_{FS} = \int\limits_{T_2}^{T_1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a(T)m}{a(T_1)p}\right)^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dT}{a(T)\overline{H}(T)T}
$$

Summary and outlook

 \cdot Dark matter tools have evolved *significantly* during the last few years, and they continue doing so.

· They are now capable of dealing with issues such as: generalized cosmological settings, self-interactions, loop-induced processes, alternative dark matter generations mechanisms, generic dark matter models.

· Which tool you should use really depends on what exactly it is that you're trying to do. Apart from a common core, each code may offer specific functionalities which might be best suited for your purposes.

· Specialized tasks may require specialized codes. Each code has its limitations! cf codes that don't compute the DM abunance

· All of these tools have been developed by people from within our community and they evolve thanks to the feedback *from* the community.

· Future directions: DM production during/due to inflaton decay, phase transitions, unintegrated Boltzmann equations...

With N. Bernal