# **The hybrid Lagrangian bias model:**  small-scale galaxy clustering and galaxy-galaxy lensing with the baccoemu emulators





Matteo Zennaro

 University of Oxford Paris, Nov 21st 2024



## Lagrangian Bias Expansion Model



Galaxy samples generated with SHAMe (S. Contreras, R. E. Angulo and MZ, 2020b)

## Lagrangian Bias Expansion Model

Change galaxy formation / selection:

very different galaxy samples

Assume galaxy density is a function of the matter field

At 2nd order the ONLY terms that don't break symmetries are

$$
\delta_\mathrm{g}(\bm{q}) = F(\delta,\delta^2,s^2,\nabla^2\delta)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned} \Big( \delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\bm{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \bm{q} [1+b_1^\mathrm{L} \delta(\bm{q}) + b_2^\mathrm{L} \delta^2(\bm{q}) \\ + b_{s^2}^\mathrm{L} s^2(\bm{q}) + b_{\nabla^2 \delta}^\mathrm{L} \nabla^2 \delta(\bm{q})] \delta_\mathrm{D}(\bm{x}-\bm{q}-\Psi) \end{aligned}
$$

$$
P_{\rm gg}(k)=\textstyle\sum_{i,j}b_ib_jP_{ij}(k)+\frac{A_{\rm sn}}{\bar{n}}
$$

5 free parameters  $b_1^L, b_2^L, b_{s^2}^L, b_{\nabla^2 \delta}^L, A_{sn}$ 

Modi & White (2020), MZ et al (2021); Pellejero-Ibañez et al (2022); Kokron et al (2021), Maion, Angulo, MZ (2022)

$$
\boxed{\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{q} [1+b_1^\mathrm{L}\delta(\boldsymbol{q})+b_2^\mathrm{L}\delta^2(\boldsymbol{q}) \\& + b_{s^2}^\mathrm{L} s^2(\boldsymbol{q}) + b_{\nabla^2\delta}^\mathrm{L} \nabla^2 \delta(\boldsymbol{q})] \delta_\mathrm{D}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{q}\cdot \boxed{\Psi}) \end{aligned}}
$$

 $\boxed{P_{\rm gg}(k)=\sum_{i,j}b_ib\big(p_{ij}(k)\big)+\frac{A_{\rm sn}}{\bar{n}}}.$ 

5 free parameters  $b_1^L, b_2^L, b_{s2}^L, b_{\nabla^2\delta}^L, A_{sn}$ 

Modi & White (2020), MZ et al (2021); Pellejero-Ibañez et al (2022); Kokron et al (2021), Maion, Angulo, MZ (2022)

 $P_{\rm gg}(k) = \sum_{i,j} b_i b_j (P_{ij}(k)) + \frac{A_{\rm sn}}{\bar{n}}$ 

$$
\left(\begin{matrix} \delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{q} [1+b_1^{\mathrm{L}} \delta(\boldsymbol{q}) + b_2^{\mathrm{L}} \delta^2(\boldsymbol{q}) \\ + b_{s^2}^{\mathrm{L}} s^2(\boldsymbol{q}) + b_{\nabla^2 \delta}^{\mathrm{L}} \nabla^2 \delta(\boldsymbol{q})] \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{\Psi}) \end{matrix}\right)
$$
from simulations - fully nonlinear

5 free parameters  $b_1^L, b_2^L, b_{s^2}^L, b_{\nabla^2\delta}^L, A_{sn}$ 

Modi & White (2020), MZ et al (2021); Pellejero-Ibañez et al (2022); Kokron et al (2021), Maion, Angulo, MZ (2022)

## We rely on emulators

**5 sims:** Narya Nenya Vilya The One Barahir

(order 2 million core hours per paired sim)

sim details (81x10 $^9$  part.s, 3 Gpc<sup>3</sup>/h<sup>3</sup>, …)

**4000 combinations of cosmological parameters and redshifts**

(order 10 core hours per paired scaling)

> cosmology rescaling

**measure 15 bacco hybrid model templates**

(< 1 core hours per measurement)

lagrangian fields advected

#### **train Neural Network**

(1 eval in  $\sim$  40 ms)

architecture, accuracy

MZ et al (2023)

## **Performance**

8000 samples between haloes and SHAMe galaxies with different cosmologies, redshifts, number densities, SHAMe properties

Fits always accurate up to  $k = 0.7$  h/Mpc



MZ et al (2022)

## **Performance**

8000 samples between haloes and SHAMe galaxies with different cosmologies, redshifts, number densities, SHAMe properties

Fits always accurate up to  $k = 0.7$  h/Mpc



**Marcos Pellejero-Ibáñez**

model in **redshift space** (**emulator** available)



MZ et al (2022)

# Galaxy bias priors

8000 samples between haloes and SHAMe galaxies with different cosmologies, redshifts, number densities, SHAMe properties

Fits always accurate up to  $k = 0.7$  h/Mpc

Coevolution relations for these bias parameters:

 $b_{s^2}(b_1)$  $b_2(b_1)$ 





#### The effect of baryons - matter:  $S_{mm}$  $P_{mm,hydro}$  /  $P_{mm,dmo}$



#### The effect of baryons - gm cross spectrum



#### The effect of baryons - gm cross spectrum

$$
R = S_{gm} / \sqrt{S_{mm}}
$$

Hybrid model valid up to  $k \sim 1$  h/Mpc

1% accuracy on these scales

MZ et al, in prep



# The effect of baryons

#### **Ignore baryons in Pgm:**

- still good fits
- bias parameters different from fiducial ones

**Include baryons** (fixed to best fits):

recover fiducial bias params

#### **Include baryons** (free):

- recover fiducial bias params
- larger contours (more free params)

MZ et al, in prep



## The effect of baryons - free cosmology

For all baryon models, including baryons in the  $P_{gm}$ model, **unbiased** cosmological parameters



### **Conclusions**

Hybrid Lagrangian bias model:

- percent-level accuracy for galaxy clustering up to k=0.7 h/Mpc
- fast emulator to predict  $P_{gg}$  and  $P_{gm}$  for LCDM + neutrinos +  $w_0w_a$
- priors on galaxy bias parameters
- very promising for 3x2points analysis

Effect of baryons on  $P_{gm}$ :

- can be captured by galaxy bias, but inconsistently
- matter power spectrum suppression is a good approximation (1%) accurate) on interesting scales (k < 1 h/Mpc)
- unbiased cosmological parameters



# baccoemu: a full suite of emulators

Nonlinear **matter** power spectrum

**Baryon** Correction Model (BCM)

**Nonlinear templates for hybrid Lagrangian bias expansion in real space**

Nonlinear templates for hybrid Lagrangian **bias** expansion in **redshift space**

**Galaxy clustering** from SHAMe models

**Linear** matter power spectrum (tot matter)

**Linear** matter power spectrum (cdm+b)

Linear matter power spectrum with **smeared BAO** (cdm+b) in real and redshift space

Linear matter power spectrum **dewiggled** (cdm+b)

**2LPT** templates for hybrid Lagrangian **bias** expansion is real and redshift space

As ->  $\sigma_{8,\text{cold}}$ ,  $\sigma_{8,\text{tot}}$ ,  $\sigma_{12,\text{cold}}$ ,  $\sigma_{12,\text{tot}}$ 

https://bacco.dipc.org/emulator.html







 $k_{\text{max}} = 0.40 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $N_{\text{dof}} = 308$ ,  $\chi^2 = 4.31$  $k_{\text{max}} = 0.30 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $N_{\text{dof}} = 228$ ,  $\chi^2 = 1.95$  $k_{\text{max}} = 0.15 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $N_{\text{dof}} = 108$ ,  $\chi^2 = 0.22$ 

#### **Work in progress:**

**2x2point** (and **3x2point** when combined with matter power spectrum + baryons emulator)

Reanalysis of current **weak lensing surveys** with nonlinear bias to small scales

## One random realization



● **original simulation** with outputs at different redshifts

time

- **original simulation** with outputs at different redshifts
- **• target cosmology** at a given redshift



time

- **original simulation** with outputs at different redshifts
- **target cosmology** at a given redshift
- match the linear variance of the two cosmologies and get
	- a **time transformation** (this selects an output of the original simulation)

time



- **original simulation** with outputs at different redshifts
- **target cosmology** at a given redshift
- match the linear variance of the two cosmologies and get
	- a **time transformation** (this selects an output of the original simulation)
	- a **space transformation** (this shrinks or expands the box)
- then apply **other corrections** to make the rescaling more accurate (bulk flow velocities, virialised object velocities, match large scales…)



#### Matching the linear variance



● Minimize (any cost function will do)

$$
\delta_{\rm rms}^2(s,z_*)\equiv\frac{1}{\ln(R_2/R_1)}\int_{R_1}^{R_2}\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{R}\left[1-\frac{\sigma(s^{-1}_-R,\underline{z_*})}{\sigma'(R,z_\mathrm{t})}\right]^2
$$

● which σ(R, z)? **cdm+baryons**

$$
\sigma^2(R,z)=\int_0^\infty \frac{k^2\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi^2}W^2(kR)D_\mathrm{cold}^2(k,z)P_0(k)
$$

#### Matching the predicted cold matter P(k)



 $\bullet$  Only  $\sigma_{_8}$  matching leaves spurious structure in the P(k) shape

**Large-scale correction** essential

#### Large-scale correction

- Matching σ(R, z) reproduces well the clustering on **mildly-nonlinear** to **nonlinear** scales
- Spurious contribution of long wavelength modes: **subtract** and **add** back with a displacement field

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\text{original}}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\text{target}}\\ \boldsymbol{\Psi}=\operatorname{iFT}\left[-i\frac{\boldsymbol{k}}{k^{2}}\delta(\boldsymbol{k})\right]
$$

• Same for velocities, using the large-scale limit of the scale dependent growth rate  $f(k)$ 

$$
\bm{v}'=\bm{v}-(aHf\bm{\Psi})_{\text{original}}+(aHf\bm{\Psi})_{\text{target}}
$$

#### Concentration correction



- Ludlow et al (2016) using  $\Omega_{\text{cold}}$ instead of  $\Omega_{_{\sf m}}$
- At a fixed time and halo mass, higher neutrino mass means less concentrated halo

#### Concentration correction



… yeah but, is Ludlow+16 a good description of the c-M relation with neutrinos? **Lopez-Cano et al (2023)**

- Ludlow et al (2016) using  $\Omega_{\text{cold}}$ instead of  $\Omega_{_{\sf m}}$
- At a fixed time and halo mass, higher neutrino mass means less concentrated halo

#### Concentration correction



- Compute **halo-by-halo**  displacement field
- Displacement computed from difference of **theoretical NFW** profiles
- Applied to **actual in-halo particles**
	- No profile is 'forced'
	- Keep triaxiality of halo

#### Velocities of virialised particles in haloes

Correct particles inside haloes to guarantee they are virilised even with the new halo mass and radius

$$
\bm{v}^{\prime}_{\mathrm{in-halo}}=\sqrt{\frac{a\Omega^{\prime}_{\mathrm{cold}}}{a^{\prime}\Omega_{\mathrm{cold}}}}\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}s\bm{v}_{\mathrm{in-halo}}
$$

primed = target cosmology non-primed = original cosmology