From Novel Imaging Sensors to Advanced Tracking Devices

FROM DELPHI AT LEP TO MIMOSIS AT FAIR AND BEYOND

Marc WINTER -- IJClab (CNRS), Orsay, France (20 years of R&D with the PICSEL team of IPHC-Strasbourg)





for their vision and leadership in the development of low-mass and high-resolution particle physics detectors, based on commercial CMOS technology, the Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors (MAPS)

ICFA

2024 ICFA Instrumentation Award

to Walter Snoeys Renato Turchetta Marc Winter



16th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors, La Biodola - Isola d'Elba, 26 May -1 June, 2024

Monolithic CMOS pixel sensors for charged particle detection

CONTENT

- Introductory remarks on Semi-Conductor Pixel sensors
- The proof of principle: efficient detection of charged particles
- Establishing charged particle tracking
- 1st CMOS sensor based vertex detector in a collider experiment
- Follow-ups: ALICE-ITS2 at CERN-LHC and CBM-MVD at FAIR/GSI
- Kernel actors of > 20 years of CMOS sensors development
- Conclusion Outlook

FROM HYBRID TO MONOLITHIC SENSORS

- □ Limitations of Hybrid Pixel Sensors
 - Bump bonding is expensive and is subject to industrial fabrication yield shortcomings (it is moreover fragile)
 - Signal processing electronics is enough power consuming to require active cooling
 - → cooling pipes on the way of particles to detect
 - Electronics chips introduces substantial passive material on the way of the particles to detect
 - Sensor is relatively thick
 - → material budget (multiple scattering issue)
 - Pixels need to be relatively large because of bump bonding and of read-out µ-circuit dimensions (e.g. in-pixel threshold dispersion correction)
- → HPS are not suited to high the precision physics programme anticipated at a future lepton collider
 - SEARCH FOR MORE COMPACT AND TRANSPARENT PIXELATED STRUCTURE







SEMI-CONDUCTOR DETECTORS: ORIGIN OF (MONOLITHIC) CMOS PIXEL SENSORS

- CMOS Pixel Sensors are derived from ASICS
 - \equiv Application-Specific Integrated Circuits
 - ASICs populate every day's life: e.g. credit cards,
 PC, cell-phones, cars, washing machines, ...
 - \Rightarrow industrial mass production item (world revenue \sim several 100 billions USD/year)
 - key element: MOSFET transistors & conductive traces
 printed in Silicon (usually)

 C.M.O.S. = Complementary Metal Oxyde Semi-conductor
 widespread technology for constructing integrated circuits used in microprocessors, microcontrollers, memories, etc.



Circuit Design, Layout, and Simulation

R. JACOB BAKER

IEEE Series on Microelectronic Systema

WILEY

♦IEEE

EMERGENCE AND RISE OF MAPS

INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC CMOS SENSORS: CONSTRAINTS

- First use of ASICs (Application Specific Integrated Circuits) for detection pionnered in the 1990's for light imaging (e.g. Eric Fossum et al. at NASA Jet Propulsion Lab)
- □ Industrial CMOS technology is not suited to particle detection by default:
 - Most commercial processes don't feature low doping epitaxial layer (= sensitive volume)
 - Thinning to $\sim 50 \ \mu m$ (default chip thickness is typically 700 μm)

First industrial CMOS process investigated: AMS-0.6

- Feature size (0.6 μ m) = precision of lithography
 - \rightarrow dictates the transistor gate dimension
 - \rightarrow restricts the density of μ -circuits inside pixels
- Planar process: only NMOS transistors may be integrated inside pixels since PMOS transistors would require dedicated n-wells, which would act as parasitic charge sensing nodes
 restricted µ-circuitry inside pixels
- EPI: 14 µm thick → ~ 1000 e- signal charge low resistivity → thermal diffusion of signal e-



EST&BLISHING & PROOF OF PRINCIPLE

LEP/e+e- coll./HF tagging with HAPS: Delphi: 200x200 μ m²; > 1% X0, > 1 W/cm²

March '99: 1st talk on MAPS for a VD at ECFA-LC workshop in Oxford

MIMOSA-1: fabricated in 2000 with AMS-0.6 µm commercial CMOS process

Edit 2 99.5 ± 0.2 % Apt < 3 jum (20x 20 jum2) (Q1,1)~ 10°e (5/2)~ 35 Cluster charge with 9 plant cluster signal/noise Nent - 1391 Mean - 101 Mean = 35.5 220 FUND - 1163 HMS - 146 S (n pixels) 160 N (n pixels) 148 120 Room **Temperature** In a stream branches and see the calibrated with 55 Fe X ray (5.9 keV)



> join TESLA collider project on VD (F.Richard)

> contact LEPSI-Strasbourg: Renato Turchetta

EST&BLISHING & PROOF OF PRINCIPLE

uch



N° d'ordre : 4091

École Doctorale Physique, Chimie physique et Mathéma ULP – IReS – LEPSI et UMM

THÈSE

présentée pour obtenir le grade de

Docteur de l'Université Louis Pasteur – Strasbo Discipline : Physique (42000 02) Spécialité : Micro-capteurs et leur électronique intég

par

Grzegorz DEPTUCH

Développement d'un capteur de nouvelle génération et son électronique intégrée pour les collisionneurs futurs

New Generation of Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors for Charged Particle Detection

Soutenue publiquement le 20 septembre 2002

Membres du jury

Directeur de thèse : M Directeur de thèse : M Codirecteur de thèse : M Rapporteur interne : M Rapporteur externe : M Rapporteur externe : M Rapporteur externe : M Examinateur : M	M. Ulrich Goerlach, professeur, ULP Strasbourg, France M. Stanislaw Kuta, professeur, UMM Krakow, Pologne M. Renato Turchetta, docteur, RAL Didcot, UK M. Daniel Mathiot, professeur, ULP Strasbourg, France M. Christopher J.C. Damerell, professeur, RAL Didcot, UK M. Wojciech Kucewicz, professeur, UMM Krakow, Pologne M. Ryszard Wojtyna, professeur, ATR Bydgoszcz, Pologne M. Veljko Radeka, docteur, BNL Upton NY, USA M. Pierre Jarron, docteur, CERN Genève, Suisse
Examinateur : N Examinateur : N	И. Pierre Jarron, docteur, CERN Genève, Suisse И. Tadeusz Pisarkiewicz, professeur, UMM Krakow, Pologne

IReS UMR 7500 LEPSI EA N°3425 standard VLSI technology, NIM A458 (11 Feb. 2001), pp 677-689

MIMOSA I (Minimum Ionising particle MOS Active pixel detector)

Goal of fabrication:

C feasibility study

- C understanding/tests
 - standard 0.6µm CMOS (tox=12.7nm)
 - 14µm thick EPI layer (10¹⁴cm³)
 - 4 arrays 64x64 pixels
 - pixel pitch 20x20µm
 - diode (nwell/pepi) size 3x3µm 3.1fF
 - readout clock f<10MHz
 - readout serial analog
- die size 3.6x4.2mm²

atedm Elskarnik







Julinski



ESTABLISHING A PROOF OF PRINCIPLE

SUCIMA EU-FP5 project MIMOSA-5 Fab. In 2003



SUCIMA for TERA: Hadrontherapy

MIMOSA-5 = proton beam monitor

CMOS technology:

- AMS 0.6 µm feature size
- 14 µm thin sensitive volume
- planar: only NMOS T inside pixels

Numerous spin-off applications

S. Katsanevas † '22





Photonis / Antares



MIMOSA-5: **512x512** pixels of 17x17 µm² with 25 ms r.o. time backthinned to 50 µm

INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC CMOS SENSORS: 2nd GENERATION

- Second industrial CMOS process used: AMS-0.35
 - Still a planar process
 - Feature size (0.35 µm) allowed to integrate noise-suppression µ-circuits inside pixels
 - \rightarrow correlated double-sampling
 - EPI: 12 & 15 μ m thick $\rightarrow \sim 10^3$ e- signal charge low resistivity \rightarrow thermal diffusion of signal e-



ESTABLISHING CHARGED PARTICLE TRACKING



O(1) μ m resolution exploiting charge sharing with read-out time > 1 ms (4 sub-arrays read out in //)

But a Higgs-Factory Vertex Detector requires < 10 µs

- → much more // read-out necessary
- \rightarrow less bits for charge encoding mandatory

INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC CMOS SENSORS: 3rd GENERATION

- Second industrial CMOS process used: AMS-0.35
 - Still the same planar process
 - Same integrated µ-circuitry as previously
 - EPI: 12 & 15 μ m thick $\rightarrow \sim 10^3$ e- signal charge
 - high resistivity \rightarrow part of signal e- collected by drift \rightarrow enhanced seed pixel signal
 - \rightarrow improved radiation tolerance
 - → improved cluster multiplicity





ESTABLISHING CHARGED PARTICLE TRACKING EUDET: 6th Framework Programme of E.U.: MAPS for Beam Telescope

Introducing on-chip signal discrimination & 1 bit charge encoding
 MIMOSA-8 proto. (TSMC-0.25): 2004/5 end of col. discri. (Yavuz Degerli/Irfu)
 → MIMOSA-16/22 proto. (AMS-0.35 OPTO)
 → MIMOSA-26 final sensor (EUDET-FP6): High resolution beam telescope

Numerous applications:

- > 10 BT (DESY, CERN, SLAC, TRIUMF, .
- Fixed target expts (NA61, ...)
- Hadrontherapy
- Industrial imager
- Research in biology
- PLUME 2-sided ladder

50 μm sensors ZIF connector to servicing board foam 0.4 % X0 Low mass flex cable

12 cm







MIMOSA-26/-28 GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION



APPLICATION TO A VERTEX DETECTOR COMPOSING A COLLIDER EXPERIMENT

VERTEX 2000

STAR AMS-0.35

A new Inner Vertex Detector for STAR

> H. Wieman Vertex 2000

MONOLITHIC ACTIVE PIXEL SENSORS FOR A LINEAR COLLIDER

(Marc WINTER - IRES (Strasbourg)) on behalf of IRES+LEPSI coll.

- Physics Motivations

- · Principle of Operation of M.A.P.S.
- · Characteristics of 1st MAPS prototype

.780 mm

- . Beam test Results (preliminary)
- Outlook







STAR-PXL: 2 layers (~ 0.4 % X0/layer), 400 ULTIMATE sensors (1600 cm²), back-thinned to 50 μm 170 mW/cm2, air-cooled (10 m/s)

AMS-0.35 OPTO (twin-well process) Customised EPI: 15 µm, High Res. 960 x 928 pixels (pitch = 20.7 µm) Spatial resolution < 4 µm Readout time: 185 µs In-pixel Amp. & Corr. Dble Sampling Deep Reactive Ion Etching (DRIE)



INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC CMOS SENSORS: 4th GENERATION

- □ Third industrial CMOS process used: TSMC-0.18 (Tower-Jazz CIS)
 - INMAPS process pioneered by Renato Turchetta at RAL
 - Quadruple well technology \rightarrow in-pixel N-MOS and P-MOS transistors
 - \rightarrow more in-pixel functionnalities or smaller pixels will less µ-circuits
 - EPI: thickness of 18/25/30/40 μ m \rightarrow up to 2.5 10^3 e- signal charge high resistivity \rightarrow substantial part of signal e- collected by drift
 - Siving 7 Substantial pair of signal e- collected by annotation toler
 - \rightarrow fast charge collection, enhanced radiation tolerance
 - \rightarrow reduced cluster multiplicity \rightarrow degraded spatial resolution



APPLICATION TO AN INNER TRACKER COMPOSING & COLLIDER EXPERIMENT

2010/11: proposal to consider CMOS pixel sensors fo the upgrade of the ALICE vertex detector, based on the same readout architecture (rolling shutter) as MIMOSA-26/-28, customised for the ALICE physics goal & more demanding running conditions

ALICE coll. approved the proposal in 2011/12, promoted by Luciano Musa, who extended the concept to the whole ITS (10 m²)

PICSEL Team (IPHC-Strasbourg):

- extensive study of the techno. charge collection
 & sensing node characteristics
- find out an **optimal sensing system** (EPI, diode, etc.)
- MISTRAL (ASTRAL):
 - rolling shutter, column // read-out
 - end-of-column discriminators
 - → 30 (15 ?) µs read-out time,
 power ≤ 200 mW/cm²

FSBB

AIDA – FP6 EU support

Spacing Diameter Spacing







APPLICATION TO AN INNER TRACKER COMPOSING A COLLIDER EXPERIMENT

ALICE





CERN Team (Walter Snoeys & coll.): ALPIDE sensor

- design based on hybrid pixel sensor read-out architecture

- → in-pixel discriminator, priority encoding, active EPI depletion
- advantage:
 - suppressed power consumption : $\leq 50 \text{ mW/cm}^2$
 - faster read-out : $\leq 10 \ \mu s$
 - improved radiation tolerance
 - less periphery (insensitive) area devoted µ-cricuits

24120 sensors (~12.5 Gpixels) equipping ~ 10 m^2



INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC CMOS SENSORS: 5th GENERATION

- □ Third industrial CMOS process used: TSMC-0.18 (Tower-Jazz CIS)
- EPI: foundry accepted to modify the doping profile (film of n-type dopant introduced on top of EPI)
 - → drift field extended laterally underneath sensing nodes
 - → benefit: faster charge collection, enhanced radiation tolerance
 - \rightarrow drawback: reduced cluster multiplicity \rightarrow somewhat degraded spatial resolution



Standard EP

Modified EPI profile (introduced by Walter S.)



APPLICATION TO A VERTEX DETECTOR COMPOSING A FIXED TARGET EXPERIMENT

March 2003:1st seminar on CMOS pixel sensors at GSI (invited by H. Gutbrod) CBM = H.I. fixed target expt Aicro-Vertex Detector faces very high hit density more demanding running conditions than ALICE-ITS2

Stretched timeline allowed to benefit from evolution of CMOS industry (Tower-Jazz 180 nm) and progress on CMOS sensor design (ALPIDE, mod. EPI)



Priority encoder & pixel design derived from ALPIDE EPI layer doping profile modified for improved radiation tolerance

20 yrs of steady support and trust from GSI / Darmstadt & Univ. Goethe / Frankfurt, with highly appreciated collaborators









CBM

TJsc-0.18

modified



EXTENSICE STUDY OF KEY PARAMETRES RULING CHARGED PARTICLE DETECTION



Support from IN2P3/CNRS Dir.:

 \rightarrow PICSEL & CMOS sensor devt:

- \approx 10 engineer positions created
- ≥ 6 post-docs, 2 phys. positions
- funding: foundry submissions, test equipment

& Support from IPHC-Strasbourg

Strasbourg PICSEL team (≤ 25 FTE):
3-5 physicists, O(10) ASIC designers,
4-5 electroniciens, ≤ 5 PhD students
> 20 PhDs on CMOS sensor R&D

Essential Partners (incomplete):

- Irfu-CEA (Y.Degerli): end-of-col. discri. (MIMOSA-8)
- DESY/Univ.Hamburg (I.Gregor): EUDET Beam Tel., AIDA
- STAR coll. (Berkeley, BNL): STAR-PXL/HFT
- Univ. Frankfurt (J.Stroth), GSI: CBM-MVD, Hadron-Physics-2
- ALICE-ITS2/CERN (L.Musa): → ALPIDE (Walter S.)

Charge collection & signal sensing:

- EPI: thickness, resistivity doping profile
- junction: dimensions, insulation
- depletion: top vs back vs field amplitude
- impact of/on pixel dimensions
- ISE/TCAD simulations

In-pixel signal processing:

- pre-amp T: size & shape vs gain & RTS
- noise suppression: double-corr. sampling, ...
- junction to preamp: DC vs AC

Read-out architecture:

- charge encoding: binary, ADC (4-5 bits, ...)
- zero-suppression, elastic buffering, ...)

Particle detection performance assessment:

- lab tests: noise, steering param. , threshold dispersion, ...
- beam test: det. eff., cluster characteristics, spatial resol.
- radiation tolerance assessment: TID, NIEL, SEE, ...

Determination of requirements:

- ILC vertex detector and inner tracke
- optimisation of conflicting design param.
- investigate charge coll. system variants

Comparaison of CMOS processes:

- impact of feature size, design rules, IP µcircuits
- planar vs triple-well vs quadruple-well
- nb of ML
- intrinsic radiation tolerance

PICSEL: Physics with Integrated Cmos Sensors and ELectron machines

INDUSTRIAL MONOLITHIC CMOS SENSORS: 6th GENERATION

- Fourth industrial CMOS process used: TPSco-65
 - Access based on close contact between CERN (Walter Snoeys) and Tower-Jazz foundry
 - Feature size : 65 nm (Vref = 1.2 V)
 - Quadruple well technology \rightarrow in-pixel N-MOS and P-MOS transistors
 - \rightarrow feature size: 65 nm
 - EPI thickness: less than with previous CMOS processes → modest (< 1000 e-) signal charge</p>
 - high resistivity → nearly all signal e- collected by drift
 - \rightarrow fast charge collection, enhanced radiation tol.
 - → BUT smallest cluster multiplicity
 - \rightarrow degraded spatial resolution
 - → partially recovered with smaller pixels ?

New step toward passive material suppression \rightarrow

Flagship: ALICE-ITS3 Vertex Detector



FLAGSHIP PROJECTS BASED ON CMOS SENSORS Carbon Foam

ALICE-ITS2 material budget.





ALICE-3 Fully pixellated tracking system

Dec. 2015

ECFA DRD-3

TPSco 65?

Expts at a future lepton collider

CONCLUSION & OUTLOOK

My involvement in the birth and devt of CMOS pixel sensors for charged particle tracking stems from an **idea proposed by Renato T.** and benefited from **R&D realised/supervised by Walter S.**

Monolithic CMOS Pixel Sensors for charged particle tracking are born 25 years ago. They have evolved in numerous aspects, relying on an industrial market which was not anticipated to preserve characteristics essential for charged particle detection

Toward a future (e+ e-) Higgs Factory:

- the challenge is still to concentrate in a single, thin, low power sensor the ambitionned spatial and time resolution
- on-going & emerging projects pave a promissing path: CBM-MVD (& STS upgrade), ALICE-ITS3 (& eIC expts), Belle-II ?, ALICE-3

Numerous pending questions:

- which CMOS technology: TPSCo 65 nm ?, XXX 28 nm ? ... or TJsc 180 nm ?
- with/without stitching (e.g. what about sensors embedded in mylar foils ?)
- why restricting to processes featuring an EPI layer ?
- what about stacked sensors ?
- etc.





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION ?

THE TRIGGER FOR CMOS PIXEL SENSORS: HYBRID PIXEL SENSORS

VOCABULARY:

- **PIXEL:** short term for **PICT**ure **Element**
- PIXEL DETECTOR: device able to detect an image with a resolution power expressing the size of the pixels

• PIXEL DETECTOR FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS:

- 1. an image is generated in a semi-conductor by ionisation,
- 2. the charge generated is converted in an electronic signal,
- 3. which is processed electronically (VLSI: Very Large System Integration)
- 4. the resulting signals are read out and transferred to the DAS
- 1st generation of pixel detectors with on-pixel electronics
 Hybrid Pixel Sensors, first used at CERN in DELPHI/LEP and in the WA-97 Heavy Ion fixed target expt (1996/97)
- → Hybrid: sensing element & read-out µ-circuits are fabricated separately and interconnected via bump-bonding



Hybrid Pixel Device

SEMI-CONDUCTOR DETECTORS: HYBRID PIXEL SENSORS

FUNCTIONNING

- When a charged particle crosses the sensor, it liberates about 70 eh pairs/µm (3.6 eV / eh pair) → Landau fluctuations !
- The ionisation electrons and holes diffuse thermally (by default)
- Sensor material: usually P-type silicon (dopant with 3 electrons populating valence band, while Si has 4 valence electrons)
- The dopant is integrated into the lattice structure of the semiconductor crystal, the number of outer electrons defining the type of doping: Elements with 3 valence electrons (e.g. Bo, In) are used for p-type doping, while 5-valued elements (e.g. P) are used for for n-doping. The conductivity of a deliberately contaminated silicon crystal can be increased by a factor of 10⁶.

Hybrid Pixel Device



SEMI-CONDUCTOR DETECTORS: CMOS TECHNOLOGY

- CMOS fabrication mode :
 - μcircuit lithography on a substrate
 sliced from a crystal ingot (or *boule*)
 - proceeds through reticules (e.g. 21x23 or 25x32 mm²) organised in wafers















SEMI-CONDUCTOR DETECTORS: CMOS TECHNOLOGY



SEMI-CONDUCTOR DETECTORS: CMOS TECHNOLOGY

