

## **2nd Industrial Board meeting**

INFN LNL, all other partners
Convener: Oscar Azzolini



## **Question and Answers:**

- 1. Does the NDA (as an annex to the CA) cover de facto all background quoted in the CA for the entire project duration?
- \* The NDA will be signed by the CNRS as iSAS Coordinator in the name of the consortium. We can define the scope of information concerned. The background of all partners would be included if we wish the industrial partners to have access to it
- 2. Is it possible to have a baseline signature from companies of an NDA, and if needed down the line (if co-development or foreground), then decide to sign the CA as negotiated with the academic partners?
- \* The CA can't be signed as a case-by-case basis by one or two companies. It's either all industrial partners or none of them. If a co-development happens, a joint-ownership agreement would be negotiated in any case by all co-developers
- 3. If an NDA is signed, then the CA later on, does that make it necessary to go through an amendment to the Grant Agreement process (which is quite heavy in its own right and means the approval of the EC Project Officer)?
- \* It depends on the implication of the companies: if their actual implication is concretely a consulting role, then it won't be necessary to go through an amendment to the Grant Agreement



## **Industrial Board meeting**

INFN LNL, all other partners
Convener: Oscar Azzolini



## Conclusions for the Industrial Partners:

- 1. The companies partecipating to iSAS Project act as Consulting so far
- 2. The NDA reporting the Istitution Knowhow should be signed between companies and CNRS (as iSAS representative)
- 3. The NDA should be signed before the participation to the LNL meeting in March 2025
- 4. The NDA could be signed from new companies interested in iSAS activities
- 5. Co-development of new knowhow will be regulated by a joint-ownership agreement signed by all codevelopers