Spontaneous symmetry breaking

J.Iliopoulos

Higgs Hunting 2012

LAL, July 18-20, 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Spontaneous symmetry breaking

J.lliopoulos

Higgs Taming 2012

LAL, July 18-20, 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

THE STANDARD MODEL IS COMPLETE

Contents

• Brief Historical Remarks

• The next Steps

• Do we understand the Physics?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Two words of caution:

• Never read old papers with to-day's knowledge

• Beware of changes in notation and terminology

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

-A critical point

-Instability of the symmetric solution

-The ground state is degenerate \Rightarrow Massless excitations

-The origins go back to 19th century Classical Mechanics

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

-A critical point

-Instability of the symmetric solution

-The ground state is degenerate \Rightarrow Massless excitations

-The origins go back to 19th century Classical Mechanics

 Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in the presence of Gauge Interactions

Two parallel stories



Two parallel stories

The Theory of Superconductivity

Two parallel stories

The Theory of Superconductivity

The Gauge Theories of Elementary Particles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Two parallel stories

The Theory of Superconductivity

The Gauge Theories of Elementary Particles

They developed independently and often ignored each other

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

L.D. Landau and B.L. Ginzburg JETP 20 (1950) 1064

$$\Delta \vec{A} = \dots + \frac{4\pi e^2}{mc^2} |\Psi|^2 \vec{A} \Rightarrow \vec{A}(x) \sim \vec{A}(0) e^{-x/\lambda}$$

Note: no-one in the subsequent list refers to this paper

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

L.D. Landau and B.L. Ginzburg JETP 20 (1950) 1064

$$\Delta \vec{A} = \dots + \frac{4\pi e^2}{mc^2} |\Psi|^2 \vec{A} \Rightarrow \vec{A}(x) \sim \vec{A}(0) e^{-x/\lambda}$$

Note: no-one in the subsequent list refers to this paper

 Bardeen, Cooper and Schrieffer (BCS) Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1175

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

L.D. Landau and B.L. Ginzburg JETP 20 (1950) 1064

$$\Delta \vec{A} = \dots + rac{4\pi e^2}{mc^2} |\Psi|^2 \vec{A} \ \Rightarrow \ \vec{A}(x) \sim \vec{A}(0) e^{-x/\lambda}$$

Note: no-one in the subsequent list refers to this paper

- Bardeen, Cooper and Schrieffer (BCS) Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1175
- P.W. Anderson Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 1900 ; 110 (1958) 827

"Random Phase Approximation in the Theory of Superconductivity"

In BCS \Rightarrow Mass gap, + Longitudinal waves

From the Abstract : "The theory.... is gauge invariant *to an* adequate degree throughout."

P.W. Anderson Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 439

"Plasmons, Gauge invariance and Mass"

Shows that BCS exemplifies Schwinger's programme.

From the Abstract : "Schwinger has pointed out that the Yang-Mills vector boson (*He only considers Abelian theories*)does not necessarily have zero mass.....We show that the theory of plasma oscillations is a simple non-relativistic example exhibiting all of the features of Schwinger's idea."

 The introduction of the Yang-Mills theories forced theorists to re-examine the connection between gauge invariance and mass.

- The introduction of the Yang-Mills theories forced theorists to re-examine the connection between gauge invariance and mass.
- ► Julian Schwinger Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 397

"Gauge Invariance and Mass"

$$\Pi_{\mu
u}(q)=\Pi(q^2)\left(g_{\mu
u}-rac{q_\mu q_
u}{q^2}
ight) ~~ \Pi(0)
eq 0 \Rightarrow m
eq 0$$

- The introduction of the Yang-Mills theories forced theorists to re-examine the connection between gauge invariance and mass.
- ► Julian Schwinger Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 397

"Gauge Invariance and Mass"

$$\Pi_{\mu
u}(q)=\Pi(q^2)\left(g_{\mu
u}-rac{q_\mu q_
u}{q^2}
ight) ~~ \Pi(0)
eq 0 \Rightarrow m
eq 0$$

► Julian Schwinger Phys. Rev. **128** (1962) 2425

```
"Gauge Invariance and Mass II"
```

The Schwinger Model (2-d QED)

Note: No references to superconductivity

► In fact, Schwinger had understood the connection earlier.

From Feynman's Summary Talk at the Aix-en-Provence Conference on Elementary Particles, Sept. 14-20 1961:

".....Since gauge invariance is usually believed to imply that the mass [of the gauge bosons] is zero, the first prediction of these theories is disregarded. Schwinger pointed out to me however, that one can use gauge invariance to prove that the mass of the real photon is equal to zero, only if one assumes that in the complete dressed photon, there is a finite amplitude to find the undressed one."

► In fact, Schwinger had understood the connection earlier.

From Feynman's Summary Talk at the Aix-en-Provence Conference on Elementary Particles, Sept. 14-20 1961:

".....Since gauge invariance is usually believed to imply that the mass [of the gauge bosons] is zero, the first prediction of these theories is disregarded. Schwinger pointed out to me however, that one can use gauge invariance to prove that the mass of the real photon is equal to zero, only if one assumes that in the complete dressed photon, there is a finite amplitude to find the undressed one."

► M. Lévy Phys. Lett. 7 (1963) 36 ; Nucl. Phys. 57 (1964) 152

Non-local, gauge invariant, QED with a massive photon

► On the one hand we had Goldstone Theorem : Sp. Sym. Br. ⇒ A massless particle.

On the other we had Anderson's non-relativistic counter example.

Could we find relativistic analogues?

► On the one hand we had Goldstone Theorem : Sp. Sym. Br. ⇒ A massless particle.

On the other we had Anderson's non-relativistic counter example.

Could we find relativistic analogues?

A. Klein and B.W. Lee Phys. Rev. Lett. 12 (1964) 266

Does Spontaneous Breakdown of Symmetry Imply Zero-Mass Particles?

M. Baker, K. Johnson, B.W. Lee Phys. Rev. **133 B** (1964) 209

Broken Symmetries and Zero-Mass Bosons

▶ W. Gilbert Phys. Rev. Lett. 12 (1964) 713

"Broken Symmetries and Massless Particles"

A no-go Theorem !!

Sp. Sym. Br. $\Rightarrow \exists A < 0 | [Q, A] | 0 > \neq 0$ (1) $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(k) = \int d^4 x e^{ikx} < 0 | [j_{\mu}(x), A(0)] | 0 > = k_{\mu} F(k^2)$ (2) by Lorentz invariance and $F(k^2) \neq 0$ by (1) But $k^{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} = 0 \Rightarrow k^2 F(k^2) = 0 F(k^2) \sim \delta(k^2) \Rightarrow$

A massless particle

In a non-relativistic theory (2) does not hold.
Problem: Find the error!

► F. Englert and R. Brout Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 321

The solution as we know it to-day, using elementary scalar fields.

Some remarks on the possibility of dynamical symmetry breaking.

Abelian, Non-Abelian and chiral models are considered.

The motivation was mainly centred in strong interactions.

References include SSB (Nambu *et al*), Schwinger and Sakurai.

P. Higgs Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 132

Explicit example answering Gilbert's objection. The Abelian model in the Coulomb gauge.

References include SSB, Klein+Lee and Gilbert

P. Higgs Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 132

Explicit example answering Gilbert's objection. The Abelian model in the Coulomb gauge.

References include SSB, Klein+Lee and Gilbert

▶ P. Higgs Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964) 508

Explicit example of the Abelian model. Discussion of the SU(3) Sakurai model for strong interactions.

Explicit connection between would-be Goldstone modes and longitudinal polarisations of the massive vector bosons.

Connection with superconductivity.

References include Goldstone, Anderson, Brout+Englert, Sakurai.

 G.S. Guralnik, C.R. Hagen and T.W.B. Kibble Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 585

Detailed discussion of the Abelian model. Explicit counting 3=2+1.

Vague connection to superconductivity. No references.

References include Goldstone, Gilbert, Brout+Englert (published), Higgs (preprint)

 G.S. Guralnik, C.R. Hagen and T.W.B. Kibble Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 585

Detailed discussion of the Abelian model. Explicit counting 3=2+1.

Vague connection to superconductivity. No references.

References include Goldstone, Gilbert, Brout+Englert (published), Higgs (preprint)

S. Weinberg Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264

The Synthesis: The Englert-Brout-Higgs mechanism in the electroweak interactions. The same mechanism gives masses to the fermions.

 Study its properties. Measure as many branching ratios as possible.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

 Study its properties. Measure as many branching ratios as possible.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

How many are there?

- Study its properties. Measure as many branching ratios as possible.
- How many are there?
- Elementary versus Composite

No new strong interactions at the 100 GeV range \Rightarrow Elementary??

- Study its properties. Measure as many branching ratios as possible.
- How many are there?
- Elementary versus Composite

No new strong interactions at the 100 GeV range \Rightarrow Elementary??

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Need for a dedicated collider??

In the old approach to Particle Physics you start from the observed Particles and try to guess their interactions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Only moderate success.

In the old approach to Particle Physics you start from the observed Particles and try to guess their interactions.

Only moderate success.

Gauge theories have changed our way of thinking.

You start from the symmetry and the symmetry determines the interactions.

In the old approach to Particle Physics you start from the observed Particles and try to guess their interactions.

Only moderate success.

Gauge theories have changed our way of thinking.

You start from the symmetry and the symmetry determines the interactions.

Gauge theories contain three independent worlds:

The gauge bosons and their dynamics are determined by the Geometry

The fermions are arbitrary, but their dynamics is not.

The scalars are completely arbitrary. Their masses are unstable Why??

Possible theoretical answers:

Possible theoretical answers:

► No elementary scalars.

Does not seem to work

Possible theoretical answers:

► No elementary scalars.

Does not seem to work

 Supersymmetry. The scalars complete the massive vector supermultiplet.

We do not know the breaking.

Possible theoretical answers:

► No elementary scalars.

Does not seem to work

 Supersymmetry. The scalars complete the massive vector supermultiplet.

We do not know the breaking.

Could the scalars become also geometrical?

Gauge transformations are:

Diffeomorphisms space-time

Internal symmetries



Gauge transformations are:

Diffeomorphisms space-time

Internal symmetries

 But the internal symmetry transformations are only local in space-time.

Is Kaluza-Klein the answer?

Gauge transformations are:

Diffeomorphisms space-time

Internal symmetries

 But the internal symmetry transformations are only local in space-time.

Is Kaluza-Klein the answer?

Question: Is there a space on which Internal symmetry transformations act as Diffeomorphisms?

Gauge transformations are:

Diffeomorphisms space-time

Internal symmetries

 But the internal symmetry transformations are only local in space-time.

Is Kaluza-Klein the answer?

- Question: Is there a space on which Internal symmetry transformations act as Diffeomorphisms?
- Answer: Yes, but it is a space with non-commutative geometry.

A space defined by an algebra of matrix-valued functions

A possible way to unify gauge theories and Gravity???

A possible way to unify gauge theories and Gravity???

► A possible connection between gauge fields and scalar fields.

The scalar field is the component of the gauge field in the direction of a discrete dimension.

- A possible way to unify gauge theories and Gravity???
- A possible connection between gauge fields and scalar fields.
 The scalar field is the component of the gauge field in the direction of a discrete dimension.

A prediction for the scalar boson mass?

Not in the Standard Model. New rules?

- A possible way to unify gauge theories and Gravity???
- A possible connection between gauge fields and scalar fields.
 The scalar field is the component of the gauge field in the direction of a discrete dimension.

A prediction for the scalar boson mass?

Not in the Standard Model. New rules?

Connection with String Theory?

► These worries are for the future

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

- These worries are for the future
- **TODAY WE ARE CELEBRATING**

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ つへぐ