

Scikit-Learn in particle physics


Gilles Louppe

CERN, Switzerland

November 18, 2014



High Energy Physics (HEP)

©CERN




*Study the nature of the
constituents of matter*

Study the nature of the constituents of matter




Particle detector 101

©ATLAS CERN



Particle detector 101

©ATLAS CERN



Data analysis tasks in detectors

① Track finding

Reconstruction of particle trajectories from hits in detectors

② Budgeted classification

Real-time classification of events in triggers

③ Classification of signal / background events

Offline statistical analysis for discovery of new particles

The Kaggle Higgs Boson challenge (in HEP terms)

- Data comes as a finite set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i, w_i) | i = 0, \dots, N - 1\},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $y_i \in \{\text{signal}, \text{background}\}$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

- The goal is to find a region $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{x} | g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{signal}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, defined from a binary function g , for which the background-only hypothesis can be rejected at a strong significance level ($p = 2.87 \times 10^{-7}$, i.e., *5 sigma*).
- Empirically, this is approximately equivalent to finding g from \mathcal{D} so as to maximize $\text{AMS} \approx \frac{s}{\sqrt{b}}$, where
 - $s = \sum_{\{i | y_i = \text{signal}, g(\mathbf{x}_i) = \text{signal}\}} w_i$
 - $b = \sum_{\{i | y_i = \text{background}, g(\mathbf{x}_i) = \text{signal}\}} w_i$

The Kaggle Higgs Boson challenge (in ML terms)

Find a binary classifier

$$g : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \{\text{signal, background}\}$$

maximizing the objective function

$$AMS \approx \frac{s}{\sqrt{b}},$$

where

- s is the **weighted** number of true positives
- b is the **weighted** number of false positives.

Winning methods


#	$\Delta 1w$	Team Name <small>1 model uploaded * in the money</small>	Score <small>🏆</small>	Entries	Last Submission UTC (Best - Last Submission)
1	+4	Gábor Melis ‡ *	3.80581	110	Sun, 14 Sep 2014 09:10:04 (-0h)
2	-1	Tim Salimans ‡ *	3.78913	57	Mon, 15 Sep 2014 23:49:02 (-40.6d)
3	—	nhlx5haze ‡ *	3.78682	254	Mon, 15 Sep 2014 16:50:01 (-76.3d)
4	+55	ChoKo Team 🏆	3.77526	216	Mon, 15 Sep 2014 15:21:36 (-42.1h)
5	+23	cheng chen	3.77384	21	Mon, 15 Sep 2014 23:29:29 (-0h)

- Ensembles of neural networks (1st and 3rd);
- Ensembles of regularized greedy forests (2nd);
- Boosting with regularization (XGBoost package).

- Most contestants did not optimize AMS directly;
- But chose the prediction cut-off maximizing AMS in CV.

Lessons learned (for machine learning)

- AMS is **highly unstable**, hence the need for
 - Rigorous and stable cross-validation to avoid overfitting.
 - Ensembles to reduce variance ;
 - Regularized base models.



- Support of samples weights w_i in classification models was key for this challenge.
- Feature engineering hardly helped. (Because features already incorporated physics knowledge.)

Lessons learned (for physicists)

- Domain knowledge hardly helped.
- Standard machine learning techniques, run on a single laptop, beat benchmarks without much efforts.
- Physicists started to realize that **collaborations with machine learning experts is likely to be beneficial.**
 - *I worked on the ATLAS experiment for over a decade [...] It is rather depressing to see how badly I scored.*
 - *The final results seem to reinforce the idea that the machine learning experience is vastly more important in a similar contest than the knowledge of particle physics. I think that many people underestimate the computers.*
 - *It is probably the reason why ML experts and physicists should work together for finding the Higgs.*

Scientific software in HEP

- ROOT and TMVA are standard data analysis tools in HEP.
- Surprisingly, this HEP software ecosystem proved to be rather limited and easily outperformed (at least in the context of the Kaggle challenge).

Scikit-Learn in Particle Physics ?

- The main technical blocker for the larger adoption of Scikit-Learn in HEP remains the **full support of sample weights** throughout all essential modules.
 - Since 0.16, weights are supported in all ensembles and in most metrics.
 - Next step is to add support in grid search.



Arnaud Joly
@JolyArnaud

Gradient boosting with sample weight is in
[@scikit_learn github.com/scikit-learn/s...](https://github.com/scikit-learn/scikit-learn/s...)
Thanks to @pprett.
Used by many in the #higgsml challenge!

- In parallel, domain-specific packages are getting traction
 - ROOTpy, for bridging the gap between ROOT data format and NumPy;
 - lhcb_trigger_ml, implementing ML algorithms for HEP (mostly Boosting variants), on top of scikit-learn.

Major blocker : social reasons ?

The adoption of external solutions (e.g., the scientific Python stack or Scikit-Learn) appears to be slow and difficult in the HEP community because of

- No ground-breaking added-value ;
- The learning curve of new tools ;
- Lack of understanding of non-HEP methods ;
- Isolation from the community ;
- Genuine ignorance.




Tim Head
@betatim

Some times particle physicists make me laugh...someone just "discovered" [@scikit_learn](#) &ppl are amazed how awesome it is,watch out [@gloupe](#)

Conclusions

- Scikit-Learn has the potential to become an important tool in HEP. But we are not there yet [WIP].
- Overall, both for data analysis and software aspects, this calls for a **larger collaboration between data sciences and HEP**.

The process of attempting as a physicist to compete against ML experts has given us a new respect for a field that (through ignorance) none of us held in as high esteem as we do now.



©xkcd

Questions ?