# Top-Higgs interactions and exclusive measurements

Aleksandr Azatov

ICTP

Higgs Hunting 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Current constraints on the Higgs interactions

13 TeV constraints are already comparable/stronger in some fo the channels!





◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

### Top quark Yukawa coupling



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Top quark Yukawa coupling



- Direct top Yukava coupling measurements are still weak compared to the other searches
- The dominant constraints on the top Yukawa coupling come from the measurements of the Higgs production in the gluon fusion
- What if the new physics provides simultaneous modifications of the both Higgs top Yukawa couplings and the Higgs couplings to gluons?



## Parametrizing the new physics effects

EFT provides a consistent framework for the parametrization of the new physics effects. (talk by Riva)

- If new physics states are heavier than the SM states and the typical mass scale of the process E < Λ.</li>
- We can integrate these states out and parametrize their effects in terms of the higher dimensional operators.
- ► The effects of new physics will appear as a corrections in the (<sup>E</sup>/<sub>Λ</sub>) series.



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# Range of validity

- EFT expansion is valid only below the mass of the new heavy resonance
- We are testing the deviations from the SM in the tails of the Breight -Wigner resonances.
- EFT analysis becomes important if the new resonances are too heavy to be directly produced at the collider.



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

### Higgs coupling degeneracy in the gluon fusion

We can parametrize the modification of the Higgs interactions in the following way

$$\mathcal{L} = -c_t rac{m_t}{v} \overline{t} th + rac{g_s^2}{48\pi^2} c_g rac{h}{v} G_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u}$$



Single Higgs production occurs at the scale O(m<sub>H</sub>), so that we can integrate out top quark and parametrize the Higgs interaction with gluons by the operator

$$O_g(m_H) pprox rac{g_s^2}{48\pi^2} (c_g + c_t) rac{h}{v} G^{\mu
u} G_{\mu
u}$$

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ■ ● の Q (2)

# Channels breaking $(c_t, c_g)$ degeneracy



- All the channels with *t*th production mechanism violate this degeneracy
- All the channels with  $\gamma\gamma$  final state  $\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \propto |1.26 0.26c_t|^2$

# Channels breaking $(c_t, c_g)$ degeneracy



- All the channels with *t*th production mechanism violate this degeneracy
- All the channels with  $\gamma\gamma$  final state  $\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \propto |1.26 0.26c_t|^2$

However the parametrization

$$\mathcal{L} = -c_t rac{m_t}{v} ar{t} th + rac{g_s^2}{48\pi^2} c_g rac{h}{v} G_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u}$$

is valid only if the  $O_g$  operator is generated by the fields with zero electric charge, most BSM scenarios (SUSY, Composite Higgs) predict that  $O_g$  is generated by the "top like" fields.

## Channels breaking $(c_t, c_g)$ degeneracy

Assuming that the new Higgs interaction with gluons is generated by the "top-like" fields i.e. fundamentals of SU(3) and with the electric charge 2/3, the new physics lagrangian can be parametrized as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -c_t \frac{m_t}{v} \overline{t} th + \frac{g_s^2}{48\pi^2} c_g \frac{h}{v} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{e^2}{18\pi^2} c_g \frac{h}{v} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu}$$



Only the channels with *tth* production mechanism can break this degeneracy *ATLAS-CONF-2015-044*, *CMS-PAS-HIG-15-002* 

$$\mu_{ATLAS} = 1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.7}, \ \mu_{CMS} = 2.9^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$$

$$\mu_{\textit{ATLAS}}^{13} = 1.7 \pm 0.8$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��

# **HL-LHC** projections



Constraints on the top Yukawa couplings from *tth* production  $\sim 10\%$ , roughly two times weaker than from the gluon fusion.

ATLAS Simulation Preliminary

√s = 14 TeV: ∫Ldt=300 fb<sup>-1</sup> ; ∫Ldt=3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>



∃ ∽۹(

### Resolving the gluon fusion loop

 $c_t - c_g$  degeneracy appears because the single Higgs production occurs at the energy scale  $m_H$ , where we can integrate safely the top quarks

$$O_g(m_H)pprox rac{g_s^2}{48\pi^2}(c_g+c_t)rac{h}{v}G^{\mu
u}G_{\mu
u}$$



studies of the kinematic distributions can break this degeneracy

# High $p_T$ Higgs production in $(c_t, c_g)$ plane 1309.5273, 1312.3317



The energy scale of the process can be higher than the top mass, we cannot integrate it out any more

$$rac{d\sigma}{dp_T} = \sum_i \kappa_i |f_i(p_T)c_t + c_g|^2$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T} = \alpha c_t^2 + \beta c_g^2 + 2\gamma c_t c_g$$

# High $p_T$ Higgs production in $(c_t, c_g)$ plane



The energy scale of the process can be higher than the top mass, we cannot integrate it out any more

$$rac{d\sigma}{dp_T} = \sum_i \kappa_i |f_i(p_T)c_t + c_g|^2$$



(日)、

э

#### Prospects of the constraints

► Higgs plus jet: Schlaffer, Spannowsky, Takeuchi, Weiler, Wymant 1405.4295, h → ττ, WW\*



$$c_t \in [0.71, 1.24]$$
 at 95% if  $c_t + c_g = 1$ 

Figure : 68,95 % contours extracted from 1405.4295

▶ Higgs plus two jets: Buschmann, Englert , Goncalves ,Plehn Spannowsky 1405.7651  $h \rightarrow \tau \tau$ , WW\*

 $c_t \in [0.7, 1.3]$  at 95%

Boosting the Higgs to test light quark Yukawa couplings

 At LHC it is very hard to measure the Yukawa couplings of the first two generations (talk by E. Stamou)



*p<sub>T</sub>* distributions of the Higgs production in gluon fusion are sensitive to the modifications of the light quark Yukawa couplings.

# Light quark Yukawas from $\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T}$ distribution 1606.09253,1606.09621,1608.04376



- Two types of contribution:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Direct : from the possibly enhanced value of the quark Yukawa coupling,  $\sigma \sim y_q^2$
  - New contribution to the gluon fusion loop, we can have large interference with the SM top quark loop.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

# Bounding light quark Yukawa couplings from differential distributions 1606.09253,1606.09621,1608.04376

- Modifications of the light quark Yukawa couplings modify the differential distributions.
- Sudakov's dilogarithms 1606.09253 enhance the production cross-section

$$\sim k_Q rac{m_Q^2}{m_h^2} \ln^2 rac{p_\perp^2}{m_Q^2}$$

modifications are especially important in the region  $m_Q \ll p_\perp \ll m_h$ .

► The main contribution appears from the interference with the top quark loop, which scales as y<sub>Q</sub> not y<sup>2</sup><sub>Q</sub>.



# Bounding light quark Yukawa couplings from differential distributions 1606.09253,1606.09621,1608.04376

• from  $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, ZZ, WW$  using  $p_T \in [0, 70]$  GeV



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

## Constraints on the light quark Yukawa couplings



 $\sim \bar{q}q \frac{m_b}{v} \bar{k}_q$ 

, couplings are normalized to the bottom quark Yukawa .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Other processes that can test the gluon fusion loop

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

# gg ightarrow HZ 1601.08193 ,1603.05304



- $(H^{\dagger} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} H) \overline{t}_R \gamma^{\mu} t_R$  leads to the new  $\overline{t} t Z, \overline{t} t H Z$  interactions
- The process is sensitive to the modifications of the top Yukawa couplings, through the box diagram
- ▶ No dependence on *c<sub>g</sub>*!

There is a strong correlation in constraints of the ttZ, tth couplings



(日)、

### Off-shell Higgs production gg ightarrow h ightarrow ZZ 1406.6338



In the SM there in order to preserve unitarity there is a cancellation between the triangle diagram which is growing logarithmically with ŝ and the box diagrams.

New physics contribution grows linearly with ŝ - high energy bins become very important.

# High Luminosity 3 ab<sup>-1</sup> 14 TeV LHC prospects

- Bounds presented are derived using 4-lepton final state with simple counting analysis
- K- factors: we assume the same K-factor for the signal and the interfering background.
  - ▶ black- nonlinear analysis
     68% c<sub>t</sub> ∈ [0.74, 1.28]
    - ▶ brown- linear analysis 68% c<sub>t</sub> ∈ [0.36, 1.66]
    - red- keeping √s < 600GeV 68% c<sub>t</sub> ∈ [0.1, 1.25]



Buschmann et al 1410.5806 (analysis taking into account angular distributions to suppress the background )  $c_t = 0.7, @95\% CL \ 1.7 ab^{-1}$ 

# Sensitivity to the modifications of the other couplings 1608.00977

If tt Z interactions are different from the SM ones



No more cancellations between the triangle and the box diagrams even if  $c_t = 1$ , and  $c_g = 0$ . The amplitude grows as  $\sim \log s$ 

 $e\overline{t}[\gamma_{\mu}(c_{V}F_{V}+\gamma_{5}c_{A}F_{A})]t_{R}Z^{\mu}$ 



strong correlation between  $c_A, c_g$ , similar to  $gg \rightarrow hZ$ 

# Double Higgs production (talk by Panico tomorrow)



▶ dimension six operators |H|<sup>2</sup> Q
<sub>L</sub> H
<sub>R</sub>, |H|<sup>2</sup> G
<sub>µν</sub> G<sup>µν</sup> lead to the additional interactions tthh, gghh, which can be probed in the double Higgs production 1205.5444, 1405.7040, 1410.3471, 1502.00539

HL-LHC will have sensitivity to the O(1) modifications of the cross-section, which leads to the O(5) sensitivity to the modifications of the triple Higgs coupling.

# Double Higgs production



The contact interaction gghh constrain very strongly the  $c_g$  coefficient

 $c_g \in [-0.28, 0.14]$  @95%,  $c_g = c_y$ 

## Combination @ 14 TeV 3ab<sup>-1</sup> projections 1608.00977



Figure : orange- Higgs pair production (bb  $\gamma\gamma$  final state), red off-shell Higgs pair production, grey - h+j, blue- inclusive, purple- tth

$$c_u = 1 - c_t$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

# Combination @ 100 TeV $20ab^{-1}$ projections 1608.00977



Figure : orange- Higgs pair production (bb  $\gamma\gamma$  final state), red off-shell Higgs pair production, grey - h+j, blue- inclusive, purple- tth

$$c_u = 1 - c_t$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

# Summary

- So far no significant deviations of the Higgs couplings have been observed.
- However current measurements constrain mostly the inclusive rates, also the direct constraints on the top Yukawa coupling are weak, significant new physics contribution to the gluon fusion is still allowed.
- The studies of the boosted and off-shell Higgs production can be used to test the gluon fusion loop and also provide a new handle on the light quark Yukawa couplings.
- Double Higgs production provides us with another handle on the gluon fusion loop which can be competitive with the tth measurements.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >