H(125) decay to bosons at CMS

Jónatan Piedra, on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

IFCA (CSIC - Universidad de Cantabria)

Higgs Hunting July 24th 2017, Orsay-Paris, France

Higgs results covered in this talk

HIG-16-041	H → ZZ → 4 lepton <i>properties</i>	35.9 fb ⁻¹	submitted to JHEP
HIG-17-011	H → ZZ → 4 lepton <i>anomalous couplings</i>	38.6 fb ⁻¹	submitted to PLB
HIG-16-033	H → ZZ → 4 lepton <i>width</i>	12.9 fb ⁻¹	
HIG-16-040	Η → γγ <i>properties</i>	35.9 fb ⁻¹	
HIG-17-015	H → γγ <i>cross sections</i>	35.9 fb ⁻¹	
HIG-16-021	H → WW <i>measurements</i>	15.2 fb ⁻¹	NEW

https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07922 https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCHXSWG



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4$ lepton properties and anomalous couplings

What is my production mode?

 $H \rightarrow ZZ$ has high resolution and large S/B. An event categorization is performed based on the different production modes (number of leptons, jets, b-jets and MET) and ME based discriminants sensitive to signal and background kinematics

7 exclusive categories for the main Higgs production modes







How about my signal strength?

The signal strength μ is extracted from a 2D (D_{bkg}^{kin} and m_{4l}) simultaneous fit on all categories. The main theoretical uncertainties are removed in the simplified template cross sections (STXS, arXiv:1610.07922)

 $\mu = 1.05_{-0.14}^{+0.15}$ (stat.) $_{-0.09}^{+0.11}$ (syst.)



Fiducial and differential cross sections

HIG-16-041

Minimal model dependence, the integrated fiducial cross section is extracted from m₄₁ inclusive (no event categorization) maximum likelihood fit, in good agreement with the N3LO ggH prediction

Differential measurements of $p_T(H)$, N(jets) and $p_T(jet)$, with lepton ID efficiencies as the main systematic uncertainty







Give me the mass

And I'll give you precise details of my properties. Or you can estimate the mass from the cross section, together with N3LO theory predictions

We perform a 3D fit, using a constraint on the first Z mass

 $m_{H} = 125.26 \pm 0.20$ (stat.) ± 0.08 (syst.) GeV

m_H = 125.09 ± 0.21 (stat.) ± 0.11 (syst.) GeV (Run 1 ATLAS + CMS)



No $m(Z_1)$ constraint	3D: $\mathcal{L}(m_{4l}, \mathcal{D}_{mass}, \mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin})$	2D: $\mathcal{L}(m_{4l}, \mathcal{D}_{mass})$	1D: $\mathcal{L}(m_{4l})$
Expected $m_{\rm H}$ uncertainty change	+8.1%	+11.2%	+21%
Observed $m_{\rm H}$ (GeV)	125.28 ± 0.22	$125.36 {\pm} 0.24$	$125.39 {\pm} 0.25$
	an a (/ m/ mkin)		

With $m(Z_1)$ constraint	3D: $\mathcal{L}(m'_{4l}, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{mass}}, \mathcal{D}^{\text{kin}}_{\text{bkg}})$	2D: $\mathcal{L}(m'_{4l}, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{mass}})$	1D: $\mathcal{L}(m'_{4l})$	
Expected $m_{\rm H}$ uncertainty change		+3.2%	+10.7%	
Observed $m_{\rm H}$ (GeV)	125.26 ± 0.21	125.30 ± 0.21	$125.34 {\pm} 0.23$	

H⁰ decay width

HIG-16-033 HIG-16-041

1D (m_{4I}) unbinned fit performed

Off-shell width is two orders of magnitude more precise than on-shell, assuming in the off-shell no BSM particles nor interactions affecting the Higgs coupling at either production or decay

off-shell $\Gamma_H < 41 \text{ MeV} \text{ (HIG-16-033)}$

On-shell width precision limited by the fourlepton invariant mass resolution, sensitive to a width of about 1 GeV. Under these conditions interference between signal and background is important and has been included

on-shell $\Gamma_H < 1.1 \text{ GeV} (HIG-16-041)$

 m_H precision does not depend on the m_{4I} range



Anomalous HVV couplings

Using a ME likelihood to simultaneously analyze the H \rightarrow 4l decay and associated production with two quarks, in the VBF, VH and gluon fusion categories, we measure the product of effective cross-section ratios f_{ai} and phases Φ_{ai} sensitive to anomalous Higgs interactions



Anomalous couplings

HIG-17-011

No deviations from the SM are observed

Improved 68% CL constraints thanks to the inclusion of production information

Improvement in the 95% CL constraints with respect to Run 1 mostly due to the ×4 signal yield increase



Parameter	Observed	Expected
$f_{a3}\cos(\phi_{a3})$	$0.00^{+0.26}_{-0.09} \left[-0.38, 0.46 ight]$	$0.000^{+0.010}_{-0.010} \left[-0.25, 0.25 ight]$
$f_{a2}\cos(\phi_{a2})$	$0.01^{+0.12}_{-0.02} \ [-0.04, 0.43]$	$0.000^{+0.009}_{-0.008} \left[-0.06, 0.19 ight]$
$f_{\Lambda 1} \cos(\phi_{\Lambda 1})$	$0.02^{+0.08}_{-0.06} \ [-0.49, 0.18]$	$0.000^{+0.003}_{-0.002} \ [-0.60, 0.12]$
$f_{\Lambda 1}^{Z\gamma}\cos(\phi_{\Lambda 1}^{Z\gamma})$	$0.26^{+0.30}_{-0.35} \left[-0.40, 0.79 ight]$	$0.000^{+0.019}_{-0.022}$ [-0.37, 0.71]

$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ properties and cross sections

HIG-17-015

Fiducial cross section

Define three categories based on the estimated relative mass resolution. Fit $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ simultaneously for all categories



35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

All categories S/(S+B) weighted

Data

S+B fit

····· B component

B component subtracted

CMS Preliminary

inclusive

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

>²⁰⁰⁰⁰ 5 18000

600

400

Differential measurements



Can probe BSM at high p_T

Can be used to test perturbative QCD calculations

$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \rho roperties$

CMS Preliminary $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

Standard preselection $p_{T1}/m_{vv} > 0.33$, $p_{T2}/m_{vv} >$ 0.25, $100 < m_{vv} < 180$ GeV. Events are classified according to mass resolution and S/B in the **VBF, VH, ttH and gluon fusion categories**

σ_{HM} S/(S+B) σ_{eff} WH hadronic 7H hadronic **ZH** leptonic 45.8 expected events Untagged 0 480.6 expected events Untagged 1 Untagged 2 670.4 expected events 610.1 expected events Untagged 3 10.0 expected events VBF 0 VBF 1 8.6 expected events 27.8 expected events VBF 2 ttH Hadronic ttH Leptonic **ZH** Leptonic 5 expected events WH Leptonic expected events H LeptonicLoose ected events VH Hadronic 9.7 expected events VH MET 10 20 50 60 70 100 ₀ 0.5 0.2 0.3 0.4 30 40 80 90 0.1 0 1 1.5 2.5 2 S/(S+B) in $\pm \sigma_{eff}$ Signal Fraction (%) Width (GeV)

35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

0.5

0.6



Measuring the signal strength

A likelihood scan of the signal strength is performed, profiling all other nuisances including the Higgs mass. In addition, cross section ratios for each process are measured in the Stage 0 Higgs Simplified Template Cross Section (STXS) framework



35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

Best Fi

SM

— 1σ

---2σ

CMS Preliminary

m_H profiled

HN, ABF, VH

1.5

0.5

-0.5

2D likelihood scans

Signal strength for fermionic production modes (ggH and ttH) vs. signal strength for vector boson production modes (VBF, ZH, WH)

Coupling modifiers to gluons and photons k_g vs. k_γ (left) and coupling modifiers to fermions and bosons k_f vs. k_V (right)





H → WW → 2l 2v *measurements*

Divide et impera

Analysis performed on 2.3 fb⁻¹ (2015) + 12.9 fb⁻¹ (2016) in the different flavour (eµ) topology. With the DY contamination strongly reduced, the main backgrounds are top and WW



Getting there

Weighted and combined dilepton invariant mass for the 0, 1 and 2 jet categories



HWW significance and signal strength

The combined signal strength is

category	significance	$\sigma/\sigma_{\rm SM}$		CMS Preliminary $L = 15.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (13 TeV)
0-jet	2.7 (2.9)	$0.9 \ ^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	HV.	
1-jet	2.1 (2.5)	$1.1 \ ^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	h_v_BF	2 σ
2-jet	2.0 (1.0)	$1.3 \ ^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$		3 7 1
VBF 2-jet	2.2 (1.5)	$1.4 \ ^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$		2 - 6 - 5
VH 2-jet	1.0 (0.4)	$2.1 \ ^{+2.3}_{-2.2}$		
WH 3-lep	0.0 (0.5)	-1.4 $^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$		
combination	4.3 (4.1)	$1.05 \ ^{+0.27}_{-0.25}$		
				0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 μ_{ggH}

Improved H \rightarrow ZZ, $\gamma\gamma$ and WW sensitivity by splitting events in different categories, based on resolution, production modes and S/B

CMS has analyzed up to 38.6 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at 13 TeV, measuring Higgs properties like fiducial and differential cross sections, mass, width and coupling constants

The challenge for the ongoing Run 2 is high precision Higgs Physics, with an expected luminosity above 100/fb and more than 50 pileup interactions per event







35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

ggH

CMS Preliminary



Signal strengths

do	cument	decay	luminosity	μ
HIG	-16-041	$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4$ lepton	35.9 fb ⁻¹	1.05 -0.17 ^{+0.19}
HIG	-16-040	$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	35.9 fb ⁻¹	1.16 -0.14 ^{+0.15}
HIG	-16-021	$H \rightarrow WW$	15.2 fb ⁻¹	1.05 -0.25 ^{+0.27}