Neutrinos and Dark Matter Symposium Paris, September 4-9 2006

Two-neutrino double beta decay and bosonic neutrino Fedor Šimkovic Comenius University, Bratislava

In collaboration with Alexander Barabash, Alexander Dolgov, Rastislav Dvornický and Alexei Smirnov

5/09/06

OUTLINE

- Introduction
- 2νββ -decay with bosonic ν (⁸²Se, ¹⁰⁰Mo)
- 2νββ-decay and partially bosonic ν (¹⁰⁰M0)
- Conclusions

5/09/06



5/09/06

Pauli proposed existence of "neutron" (with spin ¹/₂ and mass not more than 0.01 mass of proton) in nucleus





Since v's have spin one half they are believed to obey Fermi statistics Can you imagine bosonic v (with spin one half)?

Bosonic v introduced: Ignatiev, Kuzmin, Yad. Fiz 46 (1987) 786

Bosonic v in 2vββ-decay: Dolgov, Smirnov, PLB 621 (2005) 1

Motivations: Dark matter, BBN, supernova v

For electrons and nucleons, a possible violation of statistics is strongly restricted by experiments.

A consistent quantum field theory with of half-integer spin particles with any other statistics than the Fermi one is missing



Please, forget 0vββ-decay for a moment!

5/09/06

The NEMO3 DBD Experiment

Fréjus Underground Laboratory : 4800 m.w.e.



Source: 10 kg of ββ isotopes cylindrical, $S = 20 \text{ m}^2$, $e \sim 60 \text{ mg/cm}^2$

<u>Tracking detector:</u> drift wire chamber operating in Geiger mode (6180 cells) Gas: He + 4% ethyl alcohol + 1% Ar + 0.1% H₂O

<u>Calorimeter</u>: 1940 plastic scintillators coupled to low radioactivity PMTs

Magnetic field: 25 Gauss Gamma shield: Pure Iron (e = 18

cm)

Neutron shield: 30 cm water (ext. wall)

40 cm wood (top and bott.) (since march 2004: water + boron)

7

 $2\nu\beta\beta$ and $0\nu\beta\beta$ search

$2\nu\beta\beta$ -decay: fermionic (f) or bosonic (b) ν

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_1 \ \nu_2 > \ = \ \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \ \hat{a}_2^{\dagger} |0 > \\ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}_+ \ = \ \delta_{i,j} \quad (fermionic \ \nu) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}_- \ = \ \delta_{i,j} \quad (bosonic \ \nu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{f,b}{}_{K} = \sum_{m} \left(\frac{M_{m}^{I}(1^{+})M_{m}^{F}(1^{+})}{E_{m} - E_{i} + e_{1} + \nu_{1}} \pm \frac{M_{m}^{I}(1^{+})M_{m}^{F}(1^{+})}{E_{m} - E_{i} + e_{2} + \nu_{2}} \right)$$
$$\mathcal{M}^{f,b}{}_{K} = \mathcal{M}^{f,b}{}_{L}(\nu_{1} \leftrightarrow \nu_{2})$$
Sign difference!!!
Lepton energies!!!

Fedor Simkovic

5/09/06

Higher states dominance (⁷⁶Ge, ⁸²Se, ¹³⁰Te, ¹³⁶Xe)

$$\begin{split} \left|\mathcal{M}^{f}_{K} + \mathcal{M}^{f}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq 16 \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(1)}\right|^{2} \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{f}_{K} - \mathcal{M}^{f}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{4}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(3)}\right|^{2} \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{K} + \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{K} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{K} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{K} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{K} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{K} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{L}\right|^{2} &\simeq \frac{4(e_{1} - e_{2})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \left|\mathcal{M}_{GT}^{(2)}\right|^{2} \\ \left|\mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT} - \mathcal{M}^{b}_{GT}\right|^{2} \\ \left|\mathcal$$



¹⁰⁰Mo 2νββ: Experimental Study of SSD Hypothesis



Looking for a signature of bosonic v





2vββ-decay half-lives (SSD hypothesis)

transition	$T_{1/2}^{2\nu-exp}$ [y]	$T_{1/2}^{2\nu-SSD}$ [y]	
		fermion. ν	boson. ν
$0^+_{g.s.} \to 0^+_{g.s.}$	$7.1 10^{18}$	$7.2 \ 10^{18}$	$8.3 \ 10^{19}$
$0^+_{g.s.} ightarrow 0^+_1$	$6.8 \ 10^{20}$	$4.5 \ 10^{20}$	$5.7 \ 10^{21}$
$0^+_{q.s.} \rightarrow 2^+_1$	$> 1.6 \ 10^{21}$	$1.7 \ 10^{23}$	$3.4 \ 10^{22}$

B(GT,0⁺→1⁺) = 0.66±0.33 (log ft_{EC}=4.45) PRC 47 (1993) 2910 =2.01±0.45 (log ft_{EC}=3.96) NNR05, Cast/Spring-8, (2005)

ratio of	exper.	SSD mechanism		
half-lives		fermion. ν	boson. ν	
$T_{1/2}^{2\nu}(0_{1}^{+})/T_{1/2}^{2\nu}(0_{g.s.}^{+})$	96.	62.	69.	Free of log ft_{EC}
$T_{1/2}^{2\nu}(2^+_1)/T_{1/2}^{2\nu}(0^+_{g.s.})$	> 225.	24261.	406.	13





Mixed statistics

Definition: $|\nu > = \hat{a}^{\dagger}|0 >$ $\equiv \cos \delta \ \hat{f}^{\dagger}|0 > + \sin \delta \ \hat{b}^{\dagger}|0 >$ $= \cos \delta \ |f > + \sin \delta \ |b >$

Commutators:
$$\hat{f}\hat{b} = e^{i\phi}\hat{b}\hat{f} \quad \hat{f}^{\dagger}\hat{b}^{\dagger} = e^{i\phi}\hat{b}^{\dagger}\hat{f}^{\dagger}$$

 $\hat{f}\hat{b}^{\dagger} = e^{-i\phi}\hat{b}^{\dagger}\hat{f} \quad \hat{f}^{\dagger}\hat{b} = e^{-i\phi}\hat{b}\hat{f}^{\dagger}$

Amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2\nu} &= \left[\cos\delta^4 + \cos\delta^2 \sin\delta^2 (1 - \cos\phi)\right] A^f + \left[\cos\delta^4 + \cos\delta^2 \sin\delta^2 (1 + \cos\phi)\right] A^b \\ &= \cos\chi^2 A^f + \sin\chi^2 A^b \end{aligned}$$

Decay rate:

$$W^{2\nu} = \cos \chi^4 W^f + \sin \chi^4 W^b$$

$$= (1-b^2) W^f + b^2 W^b$$

5/09/06

HSD nuclei even more sensitive to admixture of bosonic v but calculation of M.E. needed

5/09/06

Conclusions

• 2νββ-decay excludes the possibility of pure bosonic ν thanks NEMO 3 (Could you imagine it would be opposite?)

• For study of partially bosonic ν we need to measure $2\nu\beta\beta$ -decay transition to 2^+_1 state. From $0^+_{g.s.} \rightarrow 0^+_{g.s.}$ transition there is only a weak bound on admixture on bosonic ν ($\alpha \sigma \sigma \upsilon \mu \iota \nu \gamma \Sigma \Sigma \Delta$).