NLO description of exclusive diffractive processes with saturation effects

Renaud Boussarie

Institute of Nuclear Physics
Polish Academy of Sciences

GDR QCD 2017
December 2017

In collaboration with
A.V.Grabovsky, D.Yu.Ivanov, L.Szymanowski, S.Wallon

Diffractive DIS

Rapidity gap events at HERA

Experiments at HERA : about 10% of scattering events reveal a rapidity gap



DIS events



DDIS events

DIS : Deep Inelastic Scattering, DDIS : Diffractive DIS

Rapidity gap $\equiv$ Pomeron exchange

Diffractive DIS

Theoretical approaches for DDIS using pQCD



- Collinear factorization approach
  - Relies on a QCD factorization theorem, using a hard scale such as the virtuality Q^2 of the incoming photon
  - One needs to introduce a diffractive distribution function for partons *within a pomeron*
- k_T factorization approach for two exchanged gluons
  - low-x QCD approach : $s \gg Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$
  - The pomeron is described as a two-gluon color-singlet state

Theoretical approaches for DDIS using pQCD

Collinear factorization approach



Direct



Resolved

Theoretical approaches for DDIS using pQCD

k_T-factorization approach : two gluon exchange



Bartels, Diehl, Ewerz, Ivanov, Jung, Lotter, Wüsthoff
Braun and Ivanov developed a similar model in collinear factorization

Theoretical approaches for DDIS using pQCD

Confrontation of the two approaches with HERA data



ZEUS collaboration, 2015

[cf. Paul Newman's talk]

Diffractive DIS



- Shockwave (CGC) approach
  - low-x QCD approach : $s \gg Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$
  - The pomeron exchange is described as the action of a color singlet Wilson line operator on the target states





[Bartels, Wüsthoff]

Kinematics



$$p_1 = p^+ n_1 - \frac{Q^2}{2s} n_2$$

$$p_2 = \frac{m_t^2}{2p_2^-} n_1 + p_2^- n_2$$

$$p^+ \sim p_2^- \sim \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}}$$

Lightcone (Sudakov) vectors

$$n_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(1, 0_\perp, 1), \quad n_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(1, 0_\perp, -1), \quad (n_1 \cdot n_2) = 1$$

Lightcone coordinates:

$$x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \to (x^+, x^-, \vec{x})$$

$$x^+ = x_- = (x \cdot n_2) \quad x^- = x_+ = (x \cdot n_1)$$

Rapidity separation



Let us split the gluonic field between "fast" and "slow" gluons

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\mu a}(k^+, k^-, \vec{k}) &= A^{\mu a}_{\eta}(|k^+| > e^{\eta} p^+, k^-, \vec{k}) \\ &+ b^{\mu a}_{\eta}(|k^+| < e^{\eta} p^+, k^-, \vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$

$e^{\eta} = e^{-Y} \ll 1$

Large longitudinal boost to the projectile frame





$$b^+(x^+, x^-, \vec{x}) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\Lambda} b^+(\Lambda x^+, \frac{x^-}{\Lambda}, \vec{x})$$

$$b^-(x^+, x^-, \vec{x}) \longrightarrow \Lambda b^-(\Lambda x^+, \frac{x^-}{\Lambda}, \vec{x})$$

$$b^k(x^+, x^-, \vec{x}) \qquad \Lambda \sim \sqrt{\frac{s}{m_t^2}} \qquad b^k(\Lambda x^+, \frac{x^-}{\Lambda}, \vec{x})$$

$$b^\mu(x) \to b^-(x)\, n_2^\mu = \delta(x^+)\, \mathbf{B}(\vec{x})\, n_2^\mu + O(\sqrt{\frac{m_t^2}{s}})$$

Shockwave approximation

Propagator through the external shockwave field

$$G\left(z_2,\, z_0\right) = -\int d^4 z_1 \theta\left(z_2^+\right) \delta\left(z_1^+\right) \theta\left(-z_0^+\right) G\left(z_2 - z_1\right) \gamma^+ G\left(z_1 - z_0\right) U_1$$

Wilson lines :

$$U_i^\eta = U_{\vec{z}_i}^\eta = P \exp\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b_\eta^-\left(z_i^+, \vec{z}_i\right) dz_i^+\right]$$

$$U_i^\eta = 1 + ig \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b_\eta^-(z_i^+, \vec{z}_i) dz_i^+ + (ig)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b_\eta^-(z_i^+, \vec{z}_i) b_\eta^-(z_j^+, \vec{z}_j) \theta(z_{ji}^+) dz_i^+ dz_j^+$$

...



Quark propagator through the external field in momentum space

Fourier transform of a Wilson line

$$\tilde{U}^{\eta}(\vec{p}) = \int d^{D-2}\vec{z}\; e^{-i(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{z})}\, U^{\eta}_{\vec{z}}$$



$$G(p_2, p_1) \propto \theta(p_1^+) \int d^D p\; \delta(p^+)\, \delta(p + p_1 - p_2)\, G(p_2)\gamma^+ G(p_1)\tilde{U}^{\eta}_{\vec{p}}$$

Exchange in t-channel of an effective off-shell particle with 0 momentum along n_1

Factorized picture



Factorized amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}^\eta = \int d^{D-2}\vec{z}_1 d^{D-2}\vec{z}_2\, \Phi^\eta(\vec{z}_1, \vec{z}_2)\, \langle P'|[\mathrm{Tr}(U^\eta_{\vec{z}_1} U^{\eta\dagger}_{\vec{z}_2}) - N_c]|P\rangle$$

Dipole operator $\mathcal{U}^\eta_{ij} = \frac{1}{N_c}\mathrm{Tr}(U^\eta_{\vec{z}_i} U^{\eta\dagger}_{\vec{z}_j}) - 1$

Written similarly for any number of Wilson lines in any color representation!

Evolution for the dipole operator

$$\mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta+\delta\eta} - \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta}$$



B-JIMWLK hierarchy of equations

[Balitsky, Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Leonidov, Kovner]

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} \int d\vec{z}_3 \frac{\vec{z}_{12}^{\,2}}{\vec{z}_{13}^{\,2}\,\vec{z}_{23}^{\,2}} \left[\mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} + \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta} - \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} + \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta}\mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta}\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta}\mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta}}{\partial \eta} = \ldots$$

Evolves a dipole into a double dipole

The BK equation

Mean field approximation, or 't Hooft planar limit $N_c \to \infty$ in the dipole B-JIMWLK equation



[Mueller]

$\Rightarrow$ BK equation [Balitsky, 1995] [Kovchegov, 1999]

$$\frac{\partial \langle \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} \rangle}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} \int d\vec{z}_3 \, \frac{\vec{z}_{12}^{\,2}}{\vec{z}_{13}^{\,2} \vec{z}_{23}^{\,2}} \left[\langle \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} \rangle \, \langle \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta} \rangle \right]$$

BFKL/BKP part — Triple pomeron vertex

Non-linear term : saturation

Equivalence with BFKL at NLL accuracy

Linear limit: usual k_t-factorization (BFKL framework)

s-channel discontinuity of $A + B \to A' + B'$ scattering amplitudes

$$\delta(p_{A'} + p_{B'} - p_A - p_B) Disc_s \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{A'B'} \propto \Phi(A', A) \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \Phi(B', B)$$

For any non-singular operator $\mathcal{O}$ this discontinuity is invariant under

$$\Phi(A', A) \to \Phi(A', A)\,\mathcal{O}, \quad \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{O}^{-1}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}, \quad \Phi(B', B) \to \mathcal{O}^{-1}\Phi(B', B)$$

i.e. there is an ambiguity of distribution of corrections between the impact factors and the kernel. In the linear approximation of BK there exists an operator $\mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\Phi_{BK} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{BK} \otimes \Phi_{BK} = (\Phi_{BFKL} \otimes \mathcal{O}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{BFKL} \otimes \mathcal{O}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}^{-1} \otimes \Phi_{BFKL})$$

The expression for $\mathcal{O}$ to make the kernels explicitly equivalent at NLO accuracy under such a change of variables is known [Fadin, Fiore, Grabovsky, Papa]

Practical use of the formalism

- Compute the upper impact factor using the effective Feynman rules
- Build non-perturbative models for the matrix elements of the Wilson line operators acting on the target states
- Solve the B-JIMWLK evolution for these matrix elements with such non-perturbative initial conditions at a typical target rapidity $\eta = Y_0$
- Evaluate the solution at a typical projectile rapidity $\eta = Y$, or at the rapidity of the slowest gluon (cf. Bertrand's talk)
- Convolute the solution and the impact factor



$$\mathcal{A} = \int d\vec{z}_1 ... d\vec{z}_n \; \Phi(\vec{z}_1, ..., \vec{z}_n) \times \langle P'|U_{\vec{z}_1} ... U_{\vec{z}_n}|P\rangle$$

Exclusive diffraction allows one to probe the $b_\perp$-dependence of the non-perturbative scattering amplitude

First step: open parton production

- Regge-Gribov limit : $s \gg Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$
- Otherwise completely general kinematics
- Shockwave (CGC) Wilson line approach
- Transverse dimensional regularization $d = 2 + 2\varepsilon$, longitudinal cutoff

$$|p_g^+| > \alpha p_\gamma^+$$

LO diagram



$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\delta^{ik}}{\sqrt{N_c}} \int d^D z_0 [\bar{u}(p_q, z_0)]_{ij} (-ie_q) \hat{\varepsilon}_\gamma e^{-i(p_\gamma \cdot z_0)} [v(p_{\bar{q}}, z_0)]_{jk} \theta(-z_0^+)$$

Color factor

$$\frac{\delta^{ik}}{\sqrt{N_c}} [(\tilde{U}^\alpha_{\vec{p}_1})_{ij} (\tilde{U}^\alpha_{-\vec{p}_2})_{jk} - \delta_{ij}\delta_{jk}] = \sqrt{N_c}\, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^\alpha(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^\alpha(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) = \int d^d \vec{z}_1 d^d \vec{z}_2\, e^{-i(\vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{z}_1) - i(\vec{p}_2 \cdot \vec{z}_2)} [\tfrac{1}{N_c} \mathrm{Tr}(U^\alpha_{\vec{z}_1} U^{\alpha\dagger}_{\vec{z}_2}) - 1]$$

$$p_{ij} = p_i - p_j$$

NLO open $q\bar{q}$ production



Diagrams contributing to the NLO correction

First kind of virtual corrections



Color factor

$$\frac{C_F}{\sqrt{N_c}}\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\alpha}(\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2)$$

Impact factor

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{NLO} \propto \delta(p_q^+ + p_{\bar{q}} - p_\gamma^+)\int d^d\vec{p}_1 d^d\vec{p}_2 \delta(\vec{p}_q + \vec{p}_{\bar{q}} - \vec{p}_\gamma - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)\,\Phi_{V1}(\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2)$$
$$\times C_F \left\langle P' \middle| \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\alpha}(\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2) \middle| P \right\rangle$$

Second kind of virtual corrections





Color factor

$$\frac{\delta^{ik}}{\sqrt{N_c}}(t^a U_1 t^b U_2^\dagger)_{ik}(U_3)^{ab}$$

Action of the Wilson line in the adjoint representation

$$(U_3)^{ab} t^b = U_3 t^a U_3^\dagger \quad \Rightarrow \quad (U_3)^{ab} = 2\mathrm{Tr}(t^a U_3 t^b U_3^\dagger)$$

+ Fierz identity

$$C_F \mathcal{U}_{12} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{U}_{13} + \mathcal{U}_{32} - \mathcal{U}_{12} + \mathcal{U}_{13}\mathcal{U}_{32}] \quad = C_F \mathcal{U}_{12} + \mathcal{W}_{123}$$

Second kind of virtual corrections





$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{NLO} \propto \delta(p_q^+ + p_{\bar{q}} - p_\gamma^+) \int d^d\vec{p}_1 d^d\vec{p}_2 d^d\vec{p}_3 \delta(\vec{p}_q + \vec{p}_{\bar{q}} - \vec{p}_\gamma - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)$$

$$\times[\Phi'_{V1}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)\, C_F \left\langle P' \middle| \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^\alpha(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) \middle| P \right\rangle$$

$$+\Phi_{V2}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3) \left\langle P' \middle| \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3) \middle| P \right\rangle]$$

LO open $q\bar{q}g$ production



$$\mathcal{A}_R^{(2)} \propto \delta(p_q^+ + p_{\bar{q}} + p_g^+ - p_\gamma^+) \int d^d\vec{p}_1 d^d\vec{p}_2 d^d\vec{p}_3 \delta(\vec{p}_q + \vec{p}_{\bar{q}} + \vec{p}_g - \vec{p}_\gamma - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)$$
$$\times[\Phi'_{R1}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)C_F \langle P'|\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^\alpha(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)|P\rangle$$
$$+\Phi_{R2}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3)\langle P'|\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3)|P\rangle]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_R^{(1)} \propto \delta(p_q^+ + p_{\bar{q}} + p_g^+ - p_\gamma^+) \int d^d\vec{p}_1 d^d\vec{p}_2 \delta(\vec{p}_q + \vec{p}_{\bar{q}} + \vec{p}_g - \vec{p}_\gamma - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2)$$
$$\times\Phi_{R1}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)C_F \langle P'|\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^\alpha(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)|P\rangle$$

Generic computation method



- Perform the $k_\perp$ integration with the usual d-dimensional regularization methods
- Perform the k^+ integration with the longitudinal cutoff αp_γ^+ when possible, or isolate the divergent term by $+$ prescription

$$\int_{\alpha p_\gamma^+}^{p^+} dk^+ \frac{F(k^+)}{k^+} = \int_{\alpha p_\gamma^+}^{p^+} dk^+ \frac{F(0)}{k^+} + \int_0^{p^+} dk^+ \left[\frac{F(k^+)}{k^+}\right]_+$$

Divergences

Remaining divergences

- Rapidity divergence $p_g^+ \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{V2}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V2}^*$
- UV divergence $\vec{p}_g^{\,2} \to +\infty$ $\qquad \Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^*$
- Soft divergence $p_g \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^*, \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$
- Collinear divergence $p_g \propto p_q$ or $p_{\bar{q}}$ $\qquad \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$
- Soft and collinear divergence $p_g = \frac{p_g^+}{p_q^+} p_q$ or $\frac{p_g^+}{p_{\bar{q}}^+} p_{\bar{q}}$, $p_g^+ \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$

Rapidity divergence





Double dipole virtual correction Φ_{V2}



B-JIMWLK evolution of the LO term : $\Phi_0 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{BK}$

Rapidity divergence

B-JIMWLK equation for the dipole operator

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{12}^{\alpha}}{\partial \log \alpha} = 2\alpha_s N_c \mu^{2-d} \int \frac{d^d \vec{k}_1 d^d \vec{k}_2 d^d \vec{k}_3}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2) \left(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{13}^{\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{32}^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{13}^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{32}^{\alpha} - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{12}^{\alpha} \right)$$
$$\times \left[2 \frac{(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1) \cdot (\vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_2)}{(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1)^2 (\vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_2)^2} + \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(1 - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(d-1)} \left(\frac{\delta(\vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_2)}{\left[(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1)^2 \right]^{1-\frac{d}{2}}} + \frac{\delta(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{p}_1)}{\left[(\vec{k}_2 - \vec{p}_2)^2 \right]^{1-\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \right]$$

η rapidity divide, which separates the upper and the lower impact factors

$$\Phi_0 \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{12}^{\alpha} \to \Phi_0 \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{12}^{\eta} + 2 \log \left(\frac{e^{\eta}}{\alpha} \right) \mathcal{K}_{BK} \Phi_0 \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{123}$$

Rapidity divergence

Virtual contribution

$$(\Phi^{\mu}_{V2})_{div} \propto \Phi^{\mu}_{0} \left\{ 4 \ln \left(\frac{x\bar{x}}{\alpha^2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \left(\frac{\vec{p}_3^{\,2}}{\mu^2} \right) \right] - \frac{6}{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

BK contribution

$$(\Phi^{\mu}_{BK})_{div} \propto \Phi^{\mu}_{0} \left\{ 4 \ln \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{e^{2\eta}} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \left(\frac{\vec{p}_3^{\,2}}{\mu^2} \right) \right] \right\}$$

Sum : the α dependence cancels

$$(\Phi'^{\mu}_{V2})_{div} \propto \Phi^{\mu}_{0} \left\{ 4 \ln \left(\frac{x\bar{x}}{e^{2\eta}} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \left(\frac{\vec{p}_3^{\,2}}{\mu^2} \right) \right] - \frac{6}{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

Rapidity divergence

Cancellation of the remaining $1/\epsilon$ divergence

Convolution

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Phi'^{\mu}_{V2} \otimes \mathcal{W}) &= 2\int d^d\vec{p}_1 d^d\vec{p}_2 d^d\vec{p}_3 \left\{ 4\ln\left(\frac{x\bar{x}}{e^{2\eta}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln\left(\frac{\vec{p}_3^{\,2}}{\mu^2}\right)\right] - \frac{6}{\varepsilon}\right\} \\
&\times \quad \delta(\vec{p}_{q1} + \vec{p}_{\bar{q}2} - \vec{p}_3)\left[\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{13} + \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{32} - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{12} - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{13}\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{32}\right]\Phi_0^{\mu}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Rq :

- $\Phi_0(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)$ only depends on one of the t-channel momenta.
- The double-dipole operators cancels when $\vec{z}_3 = \vec{z}_1$ or $\vec{z}_3 = \vec{z}_2$.

This permits one to show that the convolution cancels the remaining $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ divergence.

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\alpha}_{12}\Phi_0 + \Phi_{V2}$ is finite

Divergences

- Rapidity divergence
- UV divergence $\vec{p}_g^{\,2} \to +\infty$ $\qquad \Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^*$
- Soft divergence $p_g \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^*, \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$
- Collinear divergence $p_g \propto p_q$ or $p_{\bar{q}}$ $\qquad \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$
- Soft and collinear divergence $p_g = \frac{p_g^+}{p_q^+} p_q$ or $\frac{p_g^+}{p_{\bar{q}}^+} p_{\bar{q}}$, $p_g^+ \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$

UV divergence

Cancelling tadpole integrals in dimensional regularization

Split the phase space between a UV part and an IR part

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{d^D k}{(k^2+i0)^2} &= i\, S_{D-1} \int_0^{+\infty} dk_E (k_E)^{D-5} \\ &= \lim_{k_{IR}\to 0, k_{UV}\to +\infty} \left[\int_{k_{IR}}^{\Lambda} dk_E (k_E)^{D-5} + \int_{\Lambda}^{k_{UV}} dk_E (k_E)^{D-5} \right]\end{aligned}$$

For $D = 4+\epsilon$, the divergence is regulated by $\epsilon_{IR} > 0$ in the IR part and by $\epsilon_{UV} < 0$ in the UV part. The pole in the previous integral then reads

$$\int \frac{d^D k}{(k^2+i0)^2} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{IR}} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{UV}}$$

Then one can cancel the result in the analytic continuation for $\epsilon_{IR} = \epsilon_{UV} = \epsilon \simeq 0$.

UV divergence

Tadpole diagrams



Some null diagrams just contribute to turning UV divergences into IR divergences

$$\Phi = 0 \propto \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon_{IR}} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{UV}} \right)$$

In the massless limit, renormalization of the external quark lines is absent in dimensional regularization.

Divergences

- Rapidity divergence
- UV divergence
- Soft divergence $p_g \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^*, \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$
- Collinear divergence $p_g \propto p_q$ or $p_{\bar{q}}$ $\qquad \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$
- Soft and collinear divergence $p_g = \frac{p_g^+}{p_q^+} p_q$ or $\frac{p_g^+}{p_{\bar{q}}^+} p_{\bar{q}}$, $p_g^+ \to 0$ $\qquad \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$

Constructing a finite cross section

Exclusive diffractive production of a forward dijet

From partons to jets

Soft and collinear divergence

Jet cone algorithm

We define a cone width for each pair of particles with momenta p_i and p_k, rapidity difference ΔY_{ik} and relative azimuthal angle $\Delta\varphi_{ik}$

$$(\Delta Y_{ik})^2 + (\Delta\varphi_{ik})^2 = R_{ik}^2$$

If $R_{ik}^2 < R^2$, then the two particles together define a single jet of momentum $p_i + p_k$.



Applying this in the small R^2 limit cancels our soft and collinear divergence.

Remaining divergence



- Soft divergence $p_g \to 0$

$$\Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^*, \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$$

- Collinear divergence $p_g \propto p_q$ or $p_{\bar{q}}$

$$\Phi_{V1}\Phi_0^* + \Phi_0\Phi_{V1}^* + \Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^*$$

Remaining divergence

Soft real emission

$$\left(\Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^{*}\right)_{soft} \propto \left(\Phi_0\Phi_0^{*}\right)\int_{\text{outside the cones}}\left|\frac{p_q^{\mu}}{(p_q.p_g)}-\frac{p_{\bar{q}}^{\mu}}{(p_{\bar{q}}.p_g)}\right|^2\frac{dp_g^{+}}{p_g^{+}}\frac{d^d p_g}{(2\pi)^d}$$

Collinear real emission

$$\left(\Phi_{R1}\Phi_{R1}^{*}\right)_{col} \propto \left(\Phi_0\Phi_0^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}_q+\mathcal{N}_{\bar{q}}\right)$$

Where $\mathcal{N}$ is the number of jets in the quark or the antiquark

$$\mathcal{N}_k=\frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(2-\frac{d}{2})}\int_{\alpha p_\gamma^{+}}^{p_{jet}^{+}}\frac{dp_g^{+}dp_k^{+}}{2p_g^{+}2p_k^{+}}\int_{\text{in cone k}}\frac{d^d\vec{p}_g d^d\vec{p}_k}{(2\pi)^d\,\mu^{d-2}}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{p}_k\gamma^{\mu}\hat{p}_{jet}\gamma^{\nu}\right)d_{\mu\nu}(p_g)}{2p_{jet}^{+}\left(p_k^{-}+p_g^{-}-p_{jet}^{-}\right)^2}$$

Those two contributions cancel exactly the virtual divergences

Cancellation of divergences

Total divergence

$$(d\sigma_1)_{div} = \alpha_s \frac{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)}{(4\pi)^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{N_c^2-1}{2N_c}\right) (S_V + S_V^* + S_R + \mathcal{N}_{jet1} + \mathcal{N}_{jet2}) d\sigma_0$$

Virtual contribution

$$\begin{aligned} S_V &= \left[2\ln\left(\frac{x_j x_{\bar{j}}}{\alpha^2}\right) - 3\right]\left[\ln\left(\frac{x_j x_{\bar{j}} \mu^2}{(x_j \vec{p}_{\bar{j}} - x_{\bar{j}} \vec{p}_j)^2}\right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] \\ &+ 2i\pi \ln\left(\frac{x_j x_{\bar{j}}}{\alpha^2}\right) + \ln^2\left(\frac{x_j x_{\bar{j}}}{\alpha^2}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 6 \end{aligned}$$

Real contribution

$$\begin{aligned} S_R + \mathcal{N}_{jet1} + \mathcal{N}_{jet2} &= 2\left[\ln\left(\frac{(x_{\bar{j}} \vec{p}_j - x_j \vec{p}_{\bar{j}})^4}{x_{\bar{j}}^2 x_j^2 R^4 \vec{p}_{\bar{j}}^{\,2} \vec{p}_j^{\,2}}\right) \ln\left(\frac{4E^2}{x_{\bar{j}} x_j (p_\gamma^+)^2}\right)\right. \\ &+ 2\ln\left(\frac{x_{\bar{j}} x_j}{\alpha^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \ln\left(\frac{x_{\bar{j}} x_j \mu^2}{(x_{\bar{j}} \vec{p}_j - x_j \vec{p}_{\bar{j}})^2}\right)\right) - \ln^2\left(\frac{x_{\bar{j}} x_j}{\alpha^2}\right) \\ &+ \left.\frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{16\mu^4}{R^4 \vec{p}_j^{\,2} \vec{p}_{\bar{j}}^{\,2}}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{x_j}{x_{\bar{j}}}\right) \ln\left(\frac{x_j \vec{p}_{\bar{j}}^{\,2}}{x_{\bar{j}} \vec{p}_j^{\,2}}\right) - \frac{3}{\epsilon} - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} + 7\right] \end{aligned}$$

Cancellation of divergences

Total "divergence"

$$
\begin{aligned}
div &= S_V + S_V^* + S_R + \mathcal{N}_{jet1} + \mathcal{N}_{jet2} \\
&= 4\left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{(x_{\bar{j}}\vec{p}_j - x_j\vec{p}_{\bar{j}})^4}{x_{\bar{j}}^2 x_j^2 R^4 \vec{p}_{\bar{j}}^{\,2}\vec{p}_j^{\,2}}\right)\left(\ln\left(\frac{4E^2}{x_{\bar{j}}x_j(p_\gamma^+)^2}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\right)\right. \\
&+ \left.\ln(8) - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{x_j}{x_{\bar{j}}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{x_j\vec{p}_{\bar{j}}^{\,2}}{x_{\bar{j}}\vec{p}_j^{\,2}}\right) + \frac{13-\pi^2}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Our cross section is thus finite

Constructing a finite amplitude

Exclusive diffractive production of a light neutral vector meson

Towards an extension of [Munier, Staśto, Mueller] and [Ivanov, Kotsky, Papa]

Additional factorization



Once the amplitude is factorized in terms of impact factors, we perform an additional twist expansion in powers of a hard Björken scale (photon virtuality, Madelstam t..).
Thus we can factorize, in terms of collinear factorization, the bilocal matrix element

$$\langle V(p)|\bar{\psi}(z_{12})\gamma^{\mu}\psi(0)|0\rangle|_{z_{12}^2\to 0} = p_{\mu} m_V f_V \int_0^1 dx\, e^{ix(p\cdot z_{12})}\phi_{\parallel}(x)$$

$\phi_{\parallel}(x)$ = meson Distribution Amplitude (DA)

Exclusive diffractive production of a light neutral vector meson



$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_0 &= -\frac{e_V\, f_V\, \varepsilon_\beta}{N_c}\int_0^1 dx\varphi_{\parallel}(x)\int\frac{d^d\vec{p}_1}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{d^d\vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^d}\\ &\times\ (2\pi)^{d+1}\,\delta\left(p_V^+ - p_\gamma^+\right)\delta\left(\vec{p}_V - \vec{p}_\gamma - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2\right)\\ &\times\ \Phi_0^\beta\left(x,\,\vec{p}_1,\,\vec{p}_2\right)\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{12}^\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Leading twist for a longitudinally polarized meson

Otherwise general kinematics, including transverse virtual photon (twist 3) contributions, and the photoproduction limit (for large $t-$channel momentum transfer)

ERBL evolution equation

Efremov, Radyushkin, Brodsky, Lepage evolution equation for a DA

Renormalization of the bilocal operator

$$\bar{\psi}(z_{12})\gamma^{\mu}\psi(0)$$

$\Rightarrow$ Evolution equation for the distribution amplitude in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme

$$\frac{\partial\varphi(x,\mu_F^2)}{\partial\ln\mu_F^2} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi}\frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\epsilon}\int_0^1 dz\varphi(z,\mu_F^2)\mathcal{K}(x,z),$$

$\mathcal{K}$ = ERBL kernel

ERBL evolution equation

Evolution equation for the distribution amplitude in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(x,\mu_F^2)}{\partial \ln \mu_F^2} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{(4\pi)^\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)^\epsilon \int_0^1 dz \varphi(z,\mu_F^2) \mathcal{K}(x,z),$$

where we parameterize the ERBL kernel for consistency as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x,\,z) &= \frac{x}{z}\left[1+\frac{1}{z-x}\right]\theta(z-x-\alpha) \\ &+ \frac{1-x}{1-z}\left[1+\frac{1}{x-z}\right]\theta(x-z-\alpha) \\ &+ \left[\frac{3}{2}-\ln\left(\frac{x(1-x)}{\alpha^2}\right)\right]\delta(z-x). \end{aligned}$$

It is equivalent to the usual ERBL kernel

It provides the right counterterm to obtain a finite amplitude

End point singularities and factorization

End point singularities?

Leading order impact factor for, respectively, $\gamma_L^* \to V_L$ and $\gamma_T^* \to V_L$ transitions:

$$\Phi_L^{(0)} = \frac{2x\bar{x}p_V^+ Q}{(\bar{x}\vec{p}_1 - x\vec{p}_2)^2 + x\bar{x}Q^2},$$

$$\Phi_T^{(0)} = -\frac{(x-\bar{x})p_V^+(\bar{x}\vec{p}_{1\perp} - x\vec{p}_{2\perp})\cdot\vec{\varepsilon}_{\gamma_T}}{(\bar{x}\vec{p}_1 - x\vec{p}_2)^2 + x\bar{x}Q^2}$$

No end point singularity, even for a transverse photon and even in the photoproduction limit and even at NLO.

With null transverse momenta in the t channel, one could encounter $x \in \{0, 1\}$ end point singularities as $\frac{1}{x\bar{x}Q^2}$ thus breaking collinear factorization.

Towards higher twist corrections (Non-forward extension of [Anikin, Besse, Ivanov, Pire, Szymanowski])

2-body correlators



$$\left\langle V\left(p_V\right)\left|\bar{\psi}\left(z\right)\left[z,0\right]\gamma_\mu\psi\left(0\right)\right|0\right\rangle$$
$$= f_V m_V \int_0^1 dx e^{ix(p_V\cdot z)}\left[-ip_{V\mu}(\varepsilon_V^*\cdot z)\,h\left(x\right)+\varepsilon_V^{*\mu}g_\perp^{(v)}\left(x\right)\right]$$

$$\left\langle V\left(p_V\right)\left|\bar{\psi}\left(z\right)\left[z,0\right]\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi\left(0\right)\right|0\right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}f_V m_V \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_V^{*\alpha}p_V^\beta\int_0^1 dx e^{ix(p_V\cdot z)}z^\gamma g_\perp^{(a)}\left(x\right)$$

Towards higher twist corrections

3-body correlators



$$\left\langle V\left(p_V\right)\left|\bar{\psi}\left(z\right)\left[z,tz\right]\gamma_\alpha g G_{\mu\nu}\left(tz\right)\left[tz,0\right]\psi\left(0\right)\right|0\right\rangle$$
$$= -if_{3V}^{(v)} m_V p_{V\alpha}\left[p_{V\mu}\varepsilon^*_{V\perp\nu} - p_{V\nu}\varepsilon^*_{V\perp\mu}\right]$$
$$\times\int_0^1 dx_1\int_0^1 dx_2\int_0^1 dx_g\,\delta\left(1-x_1-x_2-x_g\right)e^{i\left(x_1+tx_g\right)(p\cdot z)}\mathcal{V}\left(x_1,x_2\right)$$

$$\left\langle V\left(p_V\right)\left|\bar{\psi}\left(z\right)\left[z,tz\right]\gamma_\alpha\gamma_5\frac{-g}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}G^{\rho\sigma}\left(tz\right)\left[tz,0\right]\psi\left(0\right)\right|0\right\rangle$$
$$= -f_{3V}^{(a)} m_V p_{V\alpha}\left[p_{V\mu}\varepsilon^*_{V\perp\nu} - p_{V\nu}\varepsilon^*_{V\perp\mu}\right]$$
$$\times\int_0^1 dx_1\int_0^1 dx_2\int_0^1 dx_g\,\delta\left(1-x_1-x_2-x_g\right)e^{i\left(x_1+tx_g\right)(p\cdot z)}\mathcal{A}\left(x_1,x_2\right)$$

Towards higher twist corrections

- 5 independent Distribution Amplitudes, including 2 coming with derivatives of the hard part and 2 3-body contributions
- Equations of motion $\Rightarrow$ reduction to 3 independent DAs
- "Wandzura Wilczek" approximation (phenomenologically dominant): neglect the 3-body contributions
- Thus from our NLO open production result, we can in principle extract the Wandzura-Wilczek approximation for the NLO and higher twist impact factor for the production of a transversely polarized light neutral vector meson
- Again the presence of transverse momentum in the t channel should restore factorization at NLO

Practical use of such results for phenomenology

Practical use of such results

- Compute the upper impact factor using the effective Feynman rules
- Build non-perturbative models for the matrix elements of the Wilson line operators acting on the target states
- Solve the B-JIMWLK evolution for these matrix elements with such non-perturbative initial conditions at a typical target rapidity $\eta = Y_0$
- Evaluate the solution at a typical projectile rapidity $\eta = Y$, or at the rapidity of the slowest gluon (cf. Bertrand's talk)
- Convolute the solution and the impact factor



$$\mathcal{A} = \int d\vec{z}_1 ... d\vec{z}_n \; \Phi(\vec{z}_1, ..., \vec{z}_n) \times \langle P' | U_{\vec{z}_1} ... U_{\vec{z}_n} | P \rangle$$

Residual parameter dependence

Required parameters

- Renormalization scale μ_R
- Factorization scale μ_F in the case of meson production (if assumed that $\mu_F \neq \mu_R$)
- Typical target rapidity Y_0
- Typical projectile rapidity Y

In the linear BFKL limit, the cross section only depends on $Y - Y_0$, so one only needs one arbirary parameter s_0 defined by

$$Y - Y_0 = \ln\left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right).$$

Modifying any of these parameter results in a higher order (NNLO) contribution

General amplitude

- Most general kinematics
- The hard scale can be Q^2, t, M_X^2...
- The target can be either a proton or an ion, or another impact factor.
- Finite results for $Q^2 = 0$
- One can study ultraperipheral collision by tagging the particle which emitted the photon, in the limit $Q^2 \to 0$.



The general amplitude

Phenomenological applications : exclusive dijet production at NLO accuracy

- HERA data for exclusive dijet production in diffractive DIS can be fitted with our results
- For $Q^2 = 0$ we can give predictions for ultraperipheral pp and pA collisions
- Our results are best suited for electron ion colliders for precision saturation physics



Amplitude for diffractive dijet production

Phenomenological applications : exclusive trijet production at LO accuracy

- HERA data for exclusive trijet production in diffractive DIS can be fitted with our results
- For $Q^2 = 0$ we can give predictions for ultraperipheral pp and pA collisions
- Our results are best suited for electron ion colliders for precisior saturation physics



Amplitude for diffractive trijet production

[Ayala, Hentschinski, Jalilian-Marian, Tejeda-Yeomans]

Phenomenological applications

- HERA data can be fitted with our results
- For $Q^2 = 0$ we can give predictions for ultraperipheral pp and pA collisions at large t
- Our results are best suited for electron ion colliders for precision saturation physics



Amplitude for diffractive V production

Comparison with previous results [Work in progress]

- The $\gamma_L^* \to V_L$ contribution in the forward limit should coincide with previous results of Ivanov, Kotsky, Papa
- The comparison is non-trivial due to additional contributions from the formal BFKL/BK transition

$$\Phi_{BK} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{BK} \otimes \Phi'_{BK} = (\Phi_{BFKL} \otimes \mathcal{O})(\mathcal{O}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{BFKL}\mathcal{O})(\mathcal{O}^{-1} \otimes \Phi'_{BFKL})$$

$\mathcal{O}$ was obtained to prove the kernel equivalence, but never checked on an impact factor

Conclusion

- We provided the full computation of the impact factor for the exclusive diffractive production of a forward dijet and of a light neutral vector meson with NLO accuracy in the shockwave approach
- It leads to an enormous number of possible phenomenological applications to test QCD in its Regge limit and towards saturation in past, present and future ep, eA, pp and pA colliders
- Our results open up possibilities for precision saturation physics with $b_\perp$ dependence in future eA colliders
  - Exclusive diffractive dijet production $\Rightarrow$ Wigner distribution at small x [Hatta, Xiao, Yuan] ; [hagiwara, Hatta, Pasechnik, Tasevsky, Teryaev]
  - Deeply Virtual Meson Production $\Rightarrow$ Generalized Parton Distributions at small x