

## Chiara Amendola



### on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

Higgs Hunting July 30, 2019





## Non-resonant HH production

- Unique probe of the B.E.H. mechanism
  - provides access to the measurement of the Higgs self-coupling  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$
  - brings information on the shape of the Higgs potential



\*State-of-the-art NNLO prediction of ggF cross section at 13 TeV: 31.05 $^{+2.2\%}_{-5.0\%}$  fb JHEP 05 (2018) 059 In the 2016 analyses,  $\sigma_{ggF}(13TeV) = 33.49^{+4.3\%}_{-6.0\%}$  fb is used 10.23731/CYRM-2017-002

C. Amendola (LLR)

### **Resonant HH production**

Look for a new narrow resonance X with mass m<sub>X</sub> > 2m<sub>H</sub>



- Not predicted in the SM, but in several extensions
- Different theoretical scenarios, but similar signature
- CP-even spin-0 or spin-2 particles predicted
- Need to cover a wide mass range:

MSSM/2HDM	250 to 350 GeV	Phys. Rep. 516 (2012) 1
Singlet Model	250 GeV to 1 TeV	J. Z Phys C 75 (1997) 17
Warped Extra Dimensions	250 GeV to 3 TeV	Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370

### **Final states**

# Rich set of final states accessible at the LHC 2016 (35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>) CMS public analyses:



- Trade-off between BR and purity
- coverage of different phase spaces
- different sensitivity in different mass ranges



## $HH \rightarrow bbbb$ (non-resonant)

Two searches performed:

- 4 resolved b-tagged jets (best sensitivity to SM), results shown here
- one bb pair highly boosted (sensitive to specific BSM topologies)

Main challenge: QCD background contamination

- b-tag is crucial, used from trigger level (3 bjets)
- BDT technique optimised for SM HH signal
- Dedicated data-driven method for QCD estimation: hemisphere mixing
- Signal extraction from BDT output

Events

residuals

CMS

h Eh E clanati



### NEW! JHEP04 (2019) 112 NEW! JHEP01 (2019) 040

Mixed Event



Hemisphere library

filled in 1<sup>st</sup> nass, queried on 2<sup>st</sup>

C. Amendola (LLR) Original Event

break in two bemispheres

## HH→bbbb (resonant)





### NEW! JHEP08 (2018) 152 PLB 781 (2018) 244

### Low mass Resolved

- At least 3 b-tagged jets
- Data-driven QCD estimation from sidebands of Higgs candidates masses

### High mass Boosted + Semi-boosted

- 2 large-area jets or 1 large-area jet + 2 jets, passing b-tag or double-b discriminator
- QCD background measured from sidebands of large-area jet mass and double-b discriminator

#### Excluded mass ranges

Radion: 260-280 GeV; 300-450 GeV; 480-1120 GeV; no exclusion in high mass region

Graviton: 320-450 GeV; 480-720 GeV; no exclusion in high mass region

(backup)

## HH->bbVV

Resonant and non-resonant searches performed

- Event categories: bbee, bbμμ, bbeμ
- Dominant backgrounds: tt (irreducible), DY
  - Data-driven DY estimation
- DNN to improve signal-background separation



### JHEP 01 (2018) 054



C. Amendola (LLR)

**Higgs Hunting** 

## $HH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$

### PLB 778 (2018) 101

Data

Multijet Droll-Yon Other bkg

35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

Comprehensive set of results on resonant and non-resonant searches

- Final states:  $(e\tau_h, \mu\tau_h, \tau_h\tau_h) + 2$  jets
- Categorisation on number (1/2) of b-tagged jets
  - Boosted category: only 1 b-tagged large-area jet + substructure requirements
- BDT technique to reject tt background in  $e\tau_h$ ,  $\mu\tau_h$  channels
- Signal extraction from kinematic variables:
  - Resonant search: kinematic fit of HH decay (fit based on 4-momenta of the  $\tau$  and b candidates and on  $\vec{p}_{\tau}^{miss}$ )







CMS

channel

resolved 2b τ.τ.



## $HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$

### NEW! PLB 788 (2019) 7

#### Resonant and non-resonant searches performed: most sensitive channel to SM HH

- Main backgrounds: nγ+jets, single-H
- Categorisation in MVA and reduced mass:

$$M_X = m_{\gamma\gamma bb} - (m_{bb} - m_H) - (m_{\gamma\gamma} - m_H)$$

Signal extraction through 2D likelihood  $m_{\gamma\gamma} imes m_{bb}$ 

 10% improvement w.r.t. 1D fit on m<sub>γγ</sub>: better discrimination against single-H



## Combination: resonant searches



#### Different sensitivities in different regions

NEW! Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 121803

- Limits also set for spin-2 hypothesis
- No significant excess observed

## Combination: non-resonant production NEW! Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 121803



SM combined limit: 22 (13) $\times \sigma_{SM}$ 

 Run I combination obs (exp) limit: 43 (46)×σ<sub>SM</sub>



BSM obs (exp) constraints:

 $-11.8 < k_{\lambda} < 18.8 \ (-7.1 < k_{\lambda} < 13.6)$ 

## **BSM** benchmarks

Producing samples for all the combinations of couplings would be computationally prohibitive

Anomalous couplings clustering strategy used in all analyses: JHEP 04 (2016) 126

Parameter space divided into 12 regions (+ SM +  $\lambda$  = 0 scenarios) with similar kinematics



## Perspectives for HH searches

Getting closer to the observation of SM Higgs pair production:

22 (13) $\times \sigma_{SM}$  with 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- the single analyses are constantly improving
- full Run II statistics:  $\sim$  150 fb<sup>-1</sup>



## **HL-LHC** latest projections

Projected sensitivity of the combination of the 4 existing analyses + rare but clean bbZZ(4 $\ell$ )

- DELPHES fast parametric simulation: upgraded CMS response (see Elisa Fontanesi's talk in this conference)
- PU = 200, *L* = 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Significance		95% CL limit on $\sigma_{\rm HH} / \sigma_{\rm HH}^{\rm SM}$		
Stat. + syst.	Stat. only	Stat. + syst.	Stat. only	2016 obs
0.95	1.2	2.1	1.6	75
1.4	1.6	1.4	1.3	31
0.56	0.59	3.5	3.3	79
1.8	1.8	1.1	1.1	24
0.37	0.37	6.6	6.5	-
2.6	2.8	0.77	0.71	22
	Signifi Stat. + syst. 0.95 1.4 0.56 1.8 0.37 2.6	Significance   Stat. + syst. Stat. only   0.95 1.2   1.4 1.6   0.56 0.59   1.8 1.8   0.37 0.37   2.6 2.8	Significance 95% CL lim   Stat. + syst. Stat. only Stat. + syst.   0.95 1.2 2.1   1.4 1.6 1.4   0.56 0.59 3.5   1.8 1.8 1.1   0.37 0.37 6.6   2.6 2.8 0.77	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Projected constraints on  $k_{\lambda}$ :

[0.35, 1.9] at 68% CL [-0.18, 3.6] at 95% CL

HH searches are an excellent case for HL-LHC





## Conclusion

- Non-resonant and resonant Higgs pair production searches are performed in 4 different channels with 2016 data
- Different channels have different sensitivities
  - The combination of channels brings a significant improvement: obs (exp) 22 (13)  $\times \sigma_{SM}$  with 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- The 2016 analyses performed better than the pre-existing predictions!
  - Advanced analysis techniques not fully exploited yet (e.g. DNN)
  - The observation of the SM Higgs pair production is definitely within reach of HL-LHC



### $HH \rightarrow bbbb$ (non-resonant)

### **NEW!** JHEP04 (2019) 112 **NEW!** JHEP01 (2019) 040



## HH→bbbb (resonant)





### NEW! JHEP08 (2018) 152 PLB 781 (2018) 244

### Low mass Resolved

- At least 3 b-tagged jets
- Data-driven QCD estimation from sidebands of Higgs candidates masses

### High mass Boosted + Semi-boosted

- 2 large-area jets or 1 large-area jet + 2 jets, passing b-tag or double-b discriminator
- QCD background measured from sidebands of AK8 jet mass and double-b discriminator

#### Excluded mass ranges

Radion: 260-280 GeV; 300-450 GeV; 480-1120 GeV; no exclusion in high mass region

Graviton: 320-450 GeV; 480-720 GeV; no exclusion in high mass region

C. Amendola (LLR)

Higgs Hunting

### $\textbf{HH}{\rightarrow}\textbf{bbVV}$

#### JHEP 01 (2018) 054



### $\text{HH}{\rightarrow}\text{bb}\tau\tau$

#### PLB 778 (2018) 101



 $HH{\rightarrow}bb\gamma\gamma$ 

### NEW! PLB 788 (2019) 7

