# CMS HIGGS MEASUREMENTS AT HL-LHC



Elisa Fontanesi University of Bologna



on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

Paris, July 30th, 2019

HIGGS HUNTI

# HL-LHC POTENTIAL in the HIGGS SECTOR

The availability of huge data samples at HL-LHC will enable accurate measurements and unprecedented sensitivity to rare phenomena:

### what can we do with the Higgs boson?







E. FONTANESI

# INTRODUCTION: systematics assumptions

- Challenging to predict the expected systematic uncertainties at the end of HL-LHC
- Limiting factor in several analyses
- Common set of guidelines to treat systematic uncertainties:





- The systematic uncertainty generally dominates in both S1 and S2
- S2 leads to a 30% improvement (reduced theory uncertainties)
- E. FONTANESI



E. FONTANESI

PROBING SIGNAL STRENGTHS

CERN-LHCC-2017-009



### E. FONTANESI



CMS Higgs measurements at HL-LHC

E. FONTANESI

tH PRODUCTION PROCESS



- Small cross section (~70 fb) due to interference between two LO processes including y<sub>t</sub> and g<sub>HVV</sub>
- Sensitive to the relative sign of  $\kappa_t$  and  $\kappa_v$ : it allows to remove the sign ambiguity
- Considered decay modes:  $H \rightarrow bb$ , multilepton,  $\gamma\gamma$
- A negative value of κ<sub>t</sub> is disfavoured with a significance larger than 5 σ (3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>)



CMS Higgs measurements at HL-LHC

CMS-PAS-FTR-18-011

# HIGGS DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION ...

The measurement of differential cross sections is the key to:

 test SM predictions for full spectra of observables of interest
 probe of BSM effects: sensitivity to new heavy particles in the loop



- p<sub>T</sub><sup>H</sup> distribution particularly interesting, as potential new physics may manifest in the tails
- Most precisely measured with  $4\ell + \gamma\gamma$
- Sensitivity at high  $p_T$  improved by **boosted H**  $\rightarrow$  **bb**

# HIGGS DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION ...

The measurement of differential cross sections is the key to:

 test SM predictions for full spectra of observables of interest
 probe of BSM effects: sensitivity to new heavy particles in the loop



- **p**<sub>T</sub><sup>H</sup> **distribution** particularly interesting, as potential new physics may reside in the tails
- Most precisely measured with  $4\ell + \gamma\gamma$
- Sensitivity at high  $p_T$  improved by **boosted H**  $\rightarrow$  **bb**
- Probe modeling of ggH up to about  $p_T^H = 1$  TeV, with 8% precision for  $p_T^H$  of [350, 600] GeV
- Uncertainties in the high p<sub>T</sub><sup>H</sup> region reduced by a factor 10 (w.r.t. 20 30% with full Run2 dataset)
- The reduced systematics in S2 yield to a reduction up to 25% compared to S1

p <sub>T</sub> <sup>H</sup> [GeV]	0-10	10-15	15-20	20-30	30-45	45-80	80-120	120-200	200-350	350-600	600-∞
Combination	3	.7%	3.3	3%	4.2%	3.7%	4.0%	3.8%	4.4%	8.0%	24.5%
CMS Higgs measurements at HL-LHC							10				



Sensitivity to  $\kappa_{\rm b}/\kappa_{\rm c}$  at low p<sub>T</sub> and  $\kappa_{\rm t}/c_{\rm g}$  BSM at high p<sub>T</sub>

- $\kappa$ -framework: Yukawa coupling to b, c
- <u>Run 2</u> resulting 95% CL intervals: -33 < κ<sub>c</sub> < 38 & -8.5 < κ<sub>b</sub> < 18</li>

- EFT-based parametrization: effective coupling to g
- Heavy top mass limit ( $\kappa_t = 0$ ,  $c_g \approx 1/12$ ) distinguished from the point-like coupling to the gluon field

## PROBING HIGGS SELF-COUPLING HH results in Chiara's talk & backup

Constraints on the Higgs self-coupling via single-Higgs differential measurements:

- At NLO Higgs boson production modes include contributions involving  $\lambda_{\rm HHH}$
- κ<sub>λ</sub>-dependent radiative corrections modify external Higgs boson kinematics and single-Higgs production rates → ttH most sensitive
- Complementary limit to the stronger constraint from direct di-Higgs production
- > **Delphes simulation**: CMS Phase-2 @ HL-LHC
- Both hadronic and leptonic decay channels
- $\succ~$  Bin chosen to maximize sensitivity to  $\kappa_\lambda$
- Uncertainty on differential cross section: 20-40%

Assuming all other Higgs couplings fixed to SM predictions: -1.9 < κ<sub>λ</sub> < 5.3 [68% CL] -4.1 < κ<sub>λ</sub> < 14.1 [95% CL]





## CMS-PAS-FTR-18-011 HIGGS WIDTH

The total decay width contains information about the Higgs interactions with all the fundamental particles (predictable both in the SM and its extensions)

The SM Higgs boson width is ~4 MeV: direct measurement challenging also with HL-LHC statistics (limited by detector resolution)



# $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} HIGGS WIDTH + \frac{1}{4} D_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} D_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} HIGGS WIDTH + \frac{1}{4} D_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} H$

The total decay width contains information about the Higgs interactions with all the fundamental particles (predictable both in the SM and its extensions)

 The SM Higgs boson width is ~4 MeV: direct measurement challenging also with HL-LHC statistics (limited by detector resolution)



#### E. FONTANESI



Parameter	Scenario	95% CL interval	<u>Run 2</u>	
$f_{a3}cos(\varphi_{a3})$	Only on-shell	[-1.8, 1.8] x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	[-0.163, 0.090]	
$f_{a3}cos(\varphi_{a3})$	On-shell and off-shell	[-1.6, 1.6] x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	[-0.0067, 0.0050]	



### E. FONTANESI

# $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6} \overline{CONCLUSIONS} + \frac{1}{6} \left[ D_{\mu} \phi \right]^{2} + V(\phi)$

- Most of the measurements of the Higgs properties well established in Run 2 analyses
- HL-LHC: first Higgs factory
  - The determination of Higgs properties is a primary target of HL-LHC physics measurements
  - Unprecedented opportunities with the HL-LHC datasets
- Projections on a large variety of Higgs measurements at HL-LHC presented
  - Percent level precision on most Higgs couplings
  - Width measurable to within 1 MeV
  - Sensitivity to BSM physics enhanced
- Systematic uncertainties dominant (large effect of theoretical uncertainties even in S2)
  - Great effort from theoretical and experimental side needed

### A very broad program of Higgs boson physics is ahead of us!





Starting in **2026**, LHC will operate in the high luminosity mode (HL-LHC) for about ten years:

- Instantaneous luminosity ~ 5-7.5 x 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- Until 200 pileup events
- About 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> per year → 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> by **2036**
- Higgs factory: HL-LHC will produce ~150M Higgs

Results given for a parametrization based on the coupling strength modifiers, the so-called  $\kappa$ -framework

 $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + i \sqrt{\kappa} framework + |D_{\mu}\phi|^2 + V(\phi)$ 

• Extensively used characterization of Higgs coupling properties in terms of individual coupling modifiers, corresponding to tree-level Higgs boson couplings to each particle  $(\kappa_{W}, \kappa_{Z}, \kappa_{t}, \kappa_{b}, \kappa_{c}, \kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu})$ , and three additional effective coupling modifiers  $(\kappa_{g}, \kappa_{\gamma}, \kappa_{Z\gamma})$ :

$$\mu_i^f \equiv \frac{\sigma_i \cdot \mathbf{B}_f}{\sigma_i^{\mathrm{SM}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_f^{\mathrm{SM}}} = \frac{\kappa_i^2 \cdot \kappa_f^2}{\kappa_H^2} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \kappa_i^2 = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^{\mathrm{SM}}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \kappa_i^2 = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\Gamma_i^{\mathrm{SM}}}$$

- Simple way to parametrize potential deviations in the couplings of the Higgs to bosons and fermions according to the SM predictions
- The  $\kappa$ -framework can be generalized to incorporate a BSM width (invisible and untagged decays):  $\Gamma_{\rm H} = \frac{\kappa_{\rm H}^2 \Gamma_{\rm H}^{\rm SM}}{1 - B_{\rm BSM}} \quad {}_{\rm where} \quad \kappa_{\rm H}^2 = \sum_{\rm i} B_{\rm SM}^{\rm i} \kappa_{\rm j}^2$

# SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES ASSUMPTIONS

### **COMMON GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR YR18:**

- Statistics-driven sources: data  $\rightarrow$  VL, simulation  $\rightarrow$  0 account for larger data sample statistics available to better understand full potential of HL-LHC
- MC statistics: neglected
- Theoretical uncertainties typically halved applies to both normalization (cross section) and modeling due to higher-order calculation and PDF improvements
- Uncertainties on methods kept as latest published results
- Intrinsic detector limitations left unchanged, or revised using full simulation tools for detailed analysis of expected performance (large effort for TDR preparation)
- **Trigger thresholds** for common objects are expected to remain similar to the current ones or to even decrease (assumption that pileup effects are compensated by detector upgrades improvement and algorithmic developments)
- Integrated luminosity: 1% (better understanding of calibration methods, new capabilities of the upgraded detectors.)

SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES ASSUMPTIONS

## SOURCES of SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTY for which minimum values are applied in S2:

Source	Component	Run 2 uncertainty	Projection minimum uncertainty		
Muon ID		1–2%	0.5%		
Electron ID		1–2%	0.5%		
Photon ID		0.5–2%	0.25–1%		
Hadronic tau ID		6%	2.5%		
Jet energy scale	Absolute	0.5%	0.1–0.2%		
	Relative	0.1–3%	0.1–0.5%		
	Pileup	0–2%	Same as Run 2		
	Method and sample	0.5–5%	Nolimit		
	Jet flavour	1.5%	0.75%		
	Time stability	0.2%	No limit		
Jet energy res.		Varies with $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$	Half of Run 2		
MET scale		Varies with analysis selection	Half of Run 2		
b-Tagging	b-/c-jets (syst.)	Varies with $p_{ m T}$ and $\eta$	Same as Run 2		
	light mis-tag (syst.)	Varies with $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$	Same as Run 2		
	b-/c-jets (stat.)	Varies with $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$	No limit		
	light mis-tag (stat.)	Varies with $p_{\rm T}$ and $\eta$	No limit		
Integrated lumi.		2.5%	1%		



CMS Higgs measurements at HL-LHC

Paris, July 30th, 2019

### **E. FONTANESI**



Paris, July 30<sup>th</sup>, 2019



Paris, July 30th, 2019



Paris, July 30th, 2019

 $= -\frac{1}{2} F_{\text{ttH}} \text{ production with } H \rightarrow bb \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \varphi + V \varphi$ 

- $H \rightarrow bb + single$  and dilepton decay channel of the tt system
- Dedicated multivariate techniques to discriminate signal vs bkg from tt+jets production (BDT, DNN)
- Background estimated from simulation and separated in five distinct processes (tt+HF): tt+bb, tt+b, tt+2b, tt+cc, tt+LF

Paris, July 30<sup>th</sup>, 2019

Run 2 result → obs (exp) significance at 1.6 σ (2.2 σ) →  $\mu$  = 0.72 ± 0.45

- Background modelling: largest limitation because of theory uncertainties
- Total expected uncertainty given by:
  - Statistical unc. of the fit
  - Theoretical unc. on signal (generation of ttH sample) and bkg (generation of tt sample) + additional 50% tt+HF xsec unc.
  - Experimental uncertainties (lumi, B tagging, JES)





#### CMS-PAS-FTR-18-011

# $\sim$ - - F VH production with H-bb

- H → bb + leptonic decays of the vector boson associated to H (0/1/2 lepton categories) to trigger and to reduce the multi-jet background
  - b jets identified using a combined multivariate (CMVA) tagging algorithm with 3 thresholds
  - Multivariate energy regression techniques to improve b jet energy resolution



### <u>CMS-PAS-FTR-18-011</u>

F tH PRODUCTION PROCESS

- tHq and tHW: t-channel production of the tHq final state is the dominant one
- Combination of Run 2 analyses used in the extrapolation:
  - ≻ H→bb
  - Multilepton final state (WW, ZZ, ττ)
  - Presence of at least one central b tagged jet and an isolated lepton from top required + presence of a light quark jet at high pseudorapidity
  - MVA techniques to discriminate signal and tt+jets bkg

### Run 2 result

 $\kappa_{
m t}$  excluded outside [-0.9, -0.5] and [1.0, 2.1] at 95% CL

- $\mu_{tH}$  evaluated considering  $\mu_{ttH}$  floating and fixed: in case 2, unc. reduced by around 10% at 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - $\rightarrow$  precise simultaneous measurement of  $\mu_{ttH}$  needed to have the optimal sensitivity to the tH channel





HIGGS DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION...



CMS-PAS-FTR-18-011 and CONSTRAINTS ON COUPLINGS Assuming *B* scaling with couplings according to SM predictions: 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) CMS Projection CMS Projection 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 7 0 η7 σ w/ YR18 syst. uncert. (S2) w/ YR18 syst. uncert. (S2) 0.05 Combination Combination  $H \rightarrow ZZ$ -6 0.04  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 6  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  $-H \rightarrow ZZ$ 0.03 5 5 0.5 0.02 4 0.01 0 3 -0.5-0.01 2 -0.02 B(κ<sub>c</sub>, κ<sub>h</sub>) -0.03 + SM  $B(\kappa_{c}, \kappa_{b})$ ···2 σ \* SM  $-1\sigma$ ···2 σ -1.51.2 1.3 0.8 2 0.9 1.1 0 Sensitivity to  $\kappa_{\rm b}/\kappa_{\rm c}$  at low p<sub>T</sub> and  $\kappa_{\rm t}/c_{\rm g}$  BSM at high p<sub>T</sub>  $\kappa$ -framework: Yukawa coupling to b, c EFT-based parametrization: effective coupling to g Run 2 resulting 95% CL intervals: • Heavy top mass limit, given by  $\kappa_t = 0$  and  $c_{\sigma} \approx 1/12$ ,  $-4.9 < \kappa_{c} < 4.8 \& -1.1 < \kappa_{b} < 1.1$ excluded

### **E. FONTANESI**

# PROBING HIGGS SELF-COUPLING +

Constraints on the Higgs self-coupling from direct di-Higgs production

- Non-resonant production: rare
   SM process (36.69 fb at 14 TeV)
- Sensitive to BSM effects





- Delphes simulation: CMS Phase-2 @ HL-LHC
- Five considered decay channels: bbbb, bbττ, bbγγ, bbVV(ℓvℓv), bbZZ\*(4ℓ)
- General analysis strategy: candidate mass consistent with SM Higgs boson, multivariate methods to reject background, use m<sub>HH</sub> when possible
- **Evidence** for HH **expected at 2.6 σ** at 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

$\kappa_{\lambda}$ confidence intervals						
68% CL	[0.35, 1.9]					
95% CL	[-0.18, 3.6]					

PROBING HIGGS SELF-COUPLING +



НН	bbbb	bbττ	bbyy	bbVV( <mark></mark> ℓvℓv)	bbZZ*(4ℓ)	Combination
Significance (σ)	0.95	1.4	1.8	0.56	0.37	2.6
Limit @ 95% CL	2.1	1.4	1.1	3.5	6.6	0.77
E. FONTANESI		CMS Higgs meas	НС		s, July 30 <sup>th</sup> , 2019	

2 - - ANOMALOUS HVV COUPLINGS

**Reference** 

 $A(HVV) \sim \left[a_1^{VV} + \frac{\kappa_1^{VV} q_{V1}^2 + \kappa_2^{VV} q_{V2}^2}{(\Lambda_1^{VV})^2}\right] m_{V1}^2 \epsilon_{V1}^* \epsilon_{V2}^* + a_2^{VV} f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} f^{*(2),\mu\nu} + a_3^{VV} f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)} \tilde{f}^{*(2),\mu\nu}$ 

where  $f^{(i)\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{Vi}^{\mu} q_{Vi}^{\nu} - \epsilon_{Vi}^{\nu} q_{Vi}^{\mu}$  is the field strength tensor of a gauge boson with momentum  $q_{Vi}$  and polarization vector  $\epsilon_{Vi}$ ,  $\tilde{f}^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} f^{(i),\rho\sigma}$  is the dual field strength tensor, the superscript \* designates a complex conjugate,  $m_{V1}$  is the pole mass of the Z or W vector boson (while the cases with the massless vector bosons are discussed below), and  $\Lambda_1$  is the scale of BSM physics and is a free parameter of the model.