Composite Higgs and Dark Matter



Maximilian Ruhdorfer Technical University of Munich

Paris-Orsay, 29.07.2019

based on: arXiv:1707.07685 (JHEP) and arXiv:1809.09106 (JCAP) and work in progress with R. Balkin, E. Salvioni and A. Weiler



Composite Higgs - A Reminder

• Higgs is a **bound state** of a new strong sector

Description changes above confinement scale (~ TeV)
Higgs mass is naturally "screened"

 Analogy to QCD Pions: Higgs arises as (approximate) Goldstone Boson and is naturally light

$$\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{H}_{H,\ldots}$$





Composite Higgs - A Reminder

• Higgs is a **bound state** of a new strong sector

Description changes above confinement scale (~ TeV)
Higgs mass is naturally "screened"

 Analogy to QCD Pions: Higgs arises as (approximate) Goldstone Boson and is naturally light

$$\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow[H,\mathbf{\chi}]{} \mathcal{H}$$

- But: no reason for Higgs to go alone. \rightarrow additional pNGB χ
- χ is **naturally light** and **weakly coupled** at low energies

Frigerio, Pomarol, Riva, Urbano 2012

H

 $r_H \sim (\text{TeV})^{-1}$

• If stable: natural WIMP candidate

Dark Matter Stability

• **Complex** scalar singlet

 $\rightarrow (H, \chi) \sim \mathbf{4}_0 + \mathbf{1}_{\pm 1} \quad \text{of } SO(4)_{U(1)_{\text{DM}}}$ SO(7)/SO(6)Balkin, MR, Salvioni, Weiler, χ charged under exact $U(1)_{DM} \subset SO(6)$ 1707.07685 SO(6) Broken SO(4)Automatically preserved by strong sector + can be weakly gauged Generators $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ SO(7)SO(2) $U(1)_{DM}$ Broken Generators

• Pure Goldstone Higgs portal to SM

from non-linear sigma model

 $\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_{\mu}(h^2)\partial^{\mu}(\chi^*\chi)$

• Pure Goldstone Higgs portal to SM



• Pure Goldstone Higgs portal to SM



correspondence of m_{χ} and f

Easily avoids direct detection limits

 $\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_{\mu}(h^2)\partial^{\mu}(\chi^*\chi) + \lambda h^2\chi^*\chi$

generates potential for

Higgs and DM

• **BUT:** DM mass requires explicit breaking of DM shift symmetry



Explicit Breaking of global Symmetry

DM **shift** symmetry can be broken by:

- 1. Embedding of top
- 2. Embedding of bottom (or lighter quarks)
- 3. Weakly gauging $U(1)_{\text{DM}}$

Explicit Breaking of global Symmetry

DM **shift** symmetry can be broken by:

predicts $\lambda \sim \lambda_h$

in tension with XENON1T

Balkin, MR, Salvioni, Weiler, 1707.07685

- 1. Embedding of top
- 2. Embedding of bottom (or lighter quarks)
- 3. Weakly gauging $U(1)_{\text{DM}}$

Explicit Breaking of global Symmetry



Breaking the Symmetry with the Bottom

• DM shift symmetry only broken by b_R coupling

$$\lambda \sim y_b^2 \ll 10^{-3}$$
 and $m_{\chi} \sim \mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV negligible for Dark Matter pheno

• Explicit breaking also generates

$$\frac{y_b}{f^2} \bar{q}_L H b_R |\chi|^2$$



yields DM-nucleon cross-section. $\sigma_{\rm SI}^{\chi N} \sim 10^{-47}$

Breaking the Symmetry with the Bottom



Probes for Composite DM

 ∂^2

E

 $g_{\rm DM-SM}^2(E)$

Indirect DM detection: s-wave annihilation

• Probing the derivative coupling at colliders



Work in progress with E. Salvioni and A. Weiler



Derivative Coupling at Colliders



Work in progress with E. Salvioni and A. Weiler

Conclusions

- **pNGB** Dark Matter = motivated WIMP with structurally suppressed direct detection
- **Complementary** Probes are needed:
 - Dark matter indirect detection
 - Probing the energy dependent derivative coupling at colliders



Work in progress!



Breaking the Symmetry with the Bottom



SO(7)/SO(6) coset & fermion coupling

• Yukawas and fermion masses from partial compositeness

$$\mathscr{L}_{f} \sim \epsilon_{q} \bar{q}_{L} \mathcal{O}_{q} + \epsilon_{u} \bar{u}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{u} + \epsilon_{d} \bar{d}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{d}$$

 \mathcal{O}_i : fermionic operators of the strong sector

• Pick SO(7) representations for fermions



fixes size of shift-symmetry breaking



$$SO(7) \supset SO(4)_{EW} \times SO(3)'$$

 $\{T^{DM}, X_{Re_{\chi}}, X_{Im_{\chi}}\}$
U(1) generator DM shift-symmetry

generators

Fermion Embeddings

 $SO(4) \times SO(3) \cong SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(2)'$

SO(7) representations:



Do neither break U(1) **nor** DM shift symmetry