

Higgs Hunting, Paris 29<sup>th</sup> February 2019

ATLAS

#### VH(H→bb) Measurements and EFT Interpretation in ATLAS

#### <u>Cecilia Tosciri</u> University of Oxford



UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

#### <u>Outline</u>

Observation during Run 2 of VH production and bb decays with full 2015-2017 data (79.8 fb<sup>-1</sup>) Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018) 59



Measurement of VH(H→bb) production as a function of the vector-boson transverse momentum <u>JHEP05(2019)141</u> including Effective Field Theory (EFT) interpretation



# <u>VH(H→bb)</u>



#### H→bb

- Largest branching ratio (~58%)
- First direct measurement of Yukawa coupling with down-type quarks
- Can provide constraints on BSM physics

#### VH, 3% of total H production



<sup>•</sup> Most sensitive channel

Reduced background

#### Limitation

Very large multi-*b*-jets production cross section at the LHC



3

 $m_{b\bar{b}}$ 

125 GeV

h

 $\bar{b}$ 

## <u>Event Selection for VH(H→bb)</u>

- \* Leptonic decays of Z/W: efficient triggering and bkg rejection
- \* 3 channels according to the number of leptons (0, 1, 2)
- Exactly 2 b-tagged jets with 0 or 1(+) additional jets
- \* Define categories based on number of leptons, jets and  $p_T^V$  (transverse momentum of the vector boson V)





### Multivariate Analysis (MVA)



- \* Discrimination between signal and background increased by a MVA
- \* **Boosted Decision Tree** (BDT) trained separately for each category
- Observables combined into the BDT:  $m_{bb}$ ,  $\Delta R_{bb}$ ,  $p_T^V$  most important

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Binned maximum likelihood fit to BDT discriminants simultaneously in all categories to extract signal strength  $\mu$  and normalisation of main backgrounds

- \* 8 Signal Regions (SRs)
- Constrain shape + normalisation of bkgs
  - from data using Control Regions (CRs)
- ★2 W+HF (heavy flavour) CRs in 1-lepton
- ✤ 4 top eµ CRs in 2-lepton
- Main bkg normalisations unconstrained
- Dependence on syst. uncertainties

described by nuisance parameters









UNIVERSITY OF

#### $VH(H \rightarrow bb)$ results



Signal strength  $\mu$  compatible with the SM Significance of VH(bb) signal at 4.9 $\sigma$  (4.3 $\sigma$  expected)

Run1+Run2 in VH, ggF+VBF, ttH : observation  $H \rightarrow bb$  decays at 5.4 $\sigma$  (5.5 $\sigma$  exp.) Run 2 in bb,  $\gamma\gamma$ , 4I decays : observation of VH production at 5.3 $\sigma$  (4.8 $\sigma$  exp.)

OXFORD

## VH(H→bb) Cross Section measurements



- Beyond a single number: cross section measurements
- Production cross sections times branching ratio measured in mutually exclusive phase space regions (production bins)
- Sensitivity provided by  $p_{\mathsf{T}}{}^{\mathsf{V}}\textit{analysis regions}$  and BDT shapes
- Targeting categories where higher **BSM** contributions expected
- Dedicated assessment of theory systematics wrt observation

# **Effective Field Theory**

Use  $VH(H \rightarrow bb)$  cross section measurements to search for deviations from the Standard Model using an EFT approach

$$\mathcal{L}_{EFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(5)}}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{(5)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(7)}}{\Lambda^3} \mathcal{O}_i^{(7)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(8)}}{\Lambda^4} \mathcal{O}_i^{(8)} + \dots$$

- SM Lagrangian extended by a set of higher dimension operators
- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$  Terms suppressed by a larger power of high mass scale  $\Lambda$
- Leading effects from dim-6 operators, small deviations from SM
- Operators can be constrained in Higgs boson measurements



### **Constraints on EFT coefficients**

Can constrain CP even coefficients of operators which affect VH processes: c<sub>HW</sub>, c<sub>HB</sub>, c<sub>W</sub> - c<sub>B</sub> and c<sub>d</sub> (<u>SILH</u> basis)

> This operator affects  $H \rightarrow bb$ partial width, thus  $c_d$  involved in modification of BR( $H \rightarrow bb$ )

Operators affecting couplings to W and Z, thus VH cross sections: c<sub>HW</sub> and c<sub>W</sub> contribute to HWW and HZZ vertices, c<sub>HB</sub> and c<sub>B</sub> to HZZ only

<b>c</b>		
<b>)</b> †	HEL operator	Coefficient
	$\mathcal{O}_g =  H ^2 G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_g}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^2}{m_{ m ev}^2}$ cG
3)	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}_g =  H ^2 G^A_{\mu u}  ilde{G}^{A\mu u}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_g}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g_s^2}{m_W^2} t  extsf{tcG}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma} =  H ^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g^{\prime 2}}{m_W^2}$ cA
	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\gamma} =  H ^2 B_{\mu u}  ilde{B}^{\mu u}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{{g'}^2}{m_W^2} { t tcA}$
0	$\mathcal{O}_u = y_u  H ^2 \bar{Q}_L H^{\dagger} u_R + \text{h.c.}$	$rac{c_u}{\Lambda^2}=rac{ extsf{cu}}{v^2}$
	$\mathcal{O}_d = y_d  H ^2 \bar{Q}_L H d_R + \text{h.c.}$	$rac{c_d}{\Lambda^2}=rac{ extsf{cd}}{v^2}$
)	$\mathcal{O}_\ell = y_\ell  H ^2 ar{L}_L H \ell_R +  ext{h.c.}$	$rac{c_\ell}{\Lambda^2} = rac{ t cl}{v^2}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{H}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu} H ^{2} ight)^{2}$	$rac{c_H}{\Lambda^2}=rac{{ t c}{ ext{H}}}{v^2}$
	$\mathcal{O}_6 = \left( H^\dagger H  ight)^3$	$rac{c_6}{\Lambda^2}=rac{\lambda}{v^2}$ c6
-	$\mathcal{O}_{HW} = i \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} (D^{\nu} H) W^{a}_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_{HW}}{\Lambda^2}=rac{g}{m_W^2}$ cHW
/	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{HW} = i \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} (D^{\nu} H) \tilde{W}^{a}_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{HW}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g}{m_W^2}  extsf{tcHW}$
-	$\mathcal{O}_{HB} = i \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \left( D^{\nu} H \right) B_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_{HB}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g'}{m_W^2}$ cHB
	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{HB} = i \left( D^{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} \left( D^{\nu} H \right) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{ ilde{c}_{HB}}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g'}{m_W^2} { t tcHB}$
, +-	$\mathcal{O}_W = \frac{i}{2} \left( H^{\dagger} \sigma^a D^{\mu} H \right) D^{\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_W}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g}{m_W^2}$ cWW
-	$\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{i}{2} \left( H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H \right) \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$	$rac{c_B}{\Lambda^2} = rac{g'}{m_W^2} { t c} { t B}$

#### **Effective Lagrangian Parametrisation**

$$\sigma = \sigma_{SM} + \sigma_{int} + \sigma_{BSM}$$

*int* = SM-BSM interference



#### Linear terms

Cross section region	$\sum_i A_i \bar{c_i}$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hl\nu \ (150 \le p_{\rm T}^V \le 250) \ { m GeV}$	50cHW + 74cWW
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H l \nu \ (p_{\rm T}^V \ge 250) \ {\rm GeV}$	170сН₩ + 200с₩₩
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (75 \le p_{\rm T}^V \le 150) \text{ GeV}$	13сНѠ + 38сѠѠ + 3.9сНВ + 10.5сВ
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (150 \le p_{\mathrm{T}}^V \le 250) \ \mathrm{GeV}$	37cHW + 61cWW + 11cHB + 18cB
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (p_{\rm T}^V \ge 250) \ {\rm GeV}$	130сНѠ + 150сѠѠ + 38сНВ + 46сВ

#### LHCHXSWG-INT-2017-01

#### Quadratic terms

Cross section region	$\sum_{ij} B_{ij} \bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hlv \ (150 \le p_{\rm T}^V \le 250) \ { m GeV}$	839 cHW <sup>2</sup> + 1555 cWW <sup>2</sup> + cHW(900 cWW)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hlv \ (p_{\rm T}^V \ge 250) \text{ GeV}$	$14000 \text{ cHW}^2 + 16000 \text{ cWW}^2 + \text{ cHW}(30000 \text{ cWW})$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (75 \le p_{\rm T}^V \le 150) \ { m GeV}$	85 cHW <sup>2</sup> + 400 cWW <sup>2</sup> + 8 cHB <sup>2</sup> + 35 cB <sup>2</sup>
	+cHW(150cWW + 20cHB + 42cB)
	+cHB(44cWW + 12cB) + cWW(140cB)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (150 \le p_{\rm T}^V \le 250) \ { m GeV}$	462 cHW <sup>2</sup> + 982 cWW <sup>2</sup> + 41 cHB <sup>2</sup> + 86 cB <sup>2</sup>
	+cHW(1255cWW + 277cHB + 358cB)
	+cHB(373cWW + 105cB) + cWW(587cB)
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow Hll \ (p_{\rm T}^V \ge 250) \ {\rm GeV}$	8000 cHW <sup>2</sup> + 9600 cWW <sup>2</sup> + 720 cHB <sup>2</sup> + 850 cB <sup>2</sup>
	+cHW(17000cWW + 4800cHB + 5100cB)
	+cHB(5100cWW + 1500cB) + cWW(5700cB)



## Linear+Quadratic Contribution

Simultaneous maximum-likelihood fit to the production bins Fit performed in one dimension for each EFT coefficient (others kept at 0)



UNIVERSITY OF

OXFORD

# **Only Linear vs Full Contribution**

Linear+Quadratic has two minima due to parabolic parametrisation "Only linear" has single minimum structure since it is monotonic



OXFORD

# <u>Summary</u>



Effect of the EFT coefficients on the cross sections, assuming the upper limit at 95% CL as value for coefficients

- Observation of VH production and bb decays
- Production cross section measurements (finer granularity)
- Constraints on EFT coefficients affecting VH production (снw, снв, сw-св)
- New coefficient **c**<sub>d</sub>, which only affects decay, constrained
- Will serve as input for the ATLAS Global EFT Fit

Results published in Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018) 59 JHEP05 (2019) 141

# Thank you!



#### **Additional Material**



## Event Selection for $VH(H \rightarrow bb)$

- Leptonic decays of Z/W: efficient triggering and bkg rejection
- 3 channels according to the number of leptons (0, 1, 2)
- Exactly 2 b-tagged jets with 0 or 1 additional jet



- E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> trigger
- Veto leptons  $p_T > 7 \text{ GeV}$
- p<sub>T</sub><sup>Z</sup> (E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>)>150 GeV

- Electron or  $E_T^{miss}$  trigger
- One isolated lepton
- $p_T > 25$  (27) GeV for  $\mu$  (e)
- p⊤<sup>W</sup>(I,v) >150 GeV

- Single-lepton trigger
- 2 e or  $\mu p_T > 27$  (7) GeV
- p<sub>T</sub> <sup>z</sup>(I,I) [75-150 GeV] or >150 GeV

Define several categories based on the number of leptons, number of jets and  $p_{T}{}^{V}$ 

#### **Complete Event Selection**

Coloction	0-lepton	n 1-lepton		2-lepton	
Selection		e sub-channel	$\mu$ sub-channel		
Trigger	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	Single lepton	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	Single lepton	
Leptons	0 loose leptons with $p_{\rm T} > 7 {\rm ~GeV}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1 \ tight \ electron \\ p_{\rm T} > 27 \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1 \hspace{0.1 cm} tight \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{muon} \\ p_{\mathrm{T}} > 25 \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathrm{GeV} \end{array}$	2 loose leptons with $p_{\rm T} > 7 {\rm ~GeV}$ $\geq 1 {\rm ~lepton}$ with $p_{\rm T} > 27 {\rm ~GeV}$	
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	$> 150 { m ~GeV}$	$> 30 { m GeV}$	_	_	
$m_{\ell\ell}$	_		_	$81~{\rm GeV} < m_{\ell\ell} < 101~{\rm GeV}$	
Jets	Exactly $2 /$	Exactly 3 jets		Exactly 2 / $\geq$ 3 jets	
Jet $p_{\rm T}$		> 20  GeV > 30  GeV for	for $ \eta  < 2.5$ 2.5 < $ \eta  < 4.5$		
<i>b</i> -jets		Exactly 2	b-tagged jets		
Leading <i>b</i> -tagged jet $p_{\rm T}$	$> 45 { m GeV}$				
$H_{\mathrm{T}}$	> 120  GeV (2  jets), >150  GeV (3  jets)	s) –		_	
$\min[\Delta \phi(\vec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}, \mathrm{jets})]$	$> 20^{\circ} (2 \text{ jets}), > 30^{\circ} (3 \text{ jets})$	—		_	
$\Delta \phi(ec{E}_{ ext{T}}^{ ext{miss}}, ec{bb})$	$> 120^{\circ}$	_		_	
$\Delta \phi(\vec{b_1}, \vec{b_2})$	$< 140^{\circ}$		_	—	
$\Delta \phi(ec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}, ec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}})$	$< 90^{\circ}$		_	_	
$p_{\rm T}^V$ regions	> 15	$> 150 \text{ GeV}$ 75 GeV $< p_{\mathrm{T}}^{V} < 150 \text{ GeV}$			
Signal regions	_	$m_{bb} \ge 75 { m ~GeV}$ of	r $m_{\rm top} \leq 225~{\rm GeV}$	Same-flavour leptons Opposite-sign charges ( $\mu\mu$ sub-channel)	
Control regions	_	$m_{bb} < 75~{\rm GeV}$ and $m_{\rm top} > 225~{\rm GeV}$		Different-flavour leptons Opposite-sign charges	



# **Background Modelling**



VH, H→bb Signal (Powheg+Pythia8)
 Z+jets and W+jets W/Z bosons associated with jets (Sherpa)
 tt
 *t t t* op quark pairs and single top (Powheg+Pythia8)
 Diboson vector boson pairs (Sherpa)
 Multijet QCD multi-b-jets (data-driven estimation)



UNIVERSITY OF

DXFORD

#### <u>b-jet corrections</u>

Consecutive corrections to improve mass resolution



Muon-in-jet add muons in the vicinity of the jet pT reco allows to recover the energy of neutrinos Kinematic likelihood fit in 2 lepton channel to constrain the *IIbb* system

#### Multivariate Analysis (MVA)

Variable	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{V}$	$\equiv E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	×	×
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	×	×	
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{b_1}$	×	×	×
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{b_2}$	×	×	×
	×	×	×
$\Delta R(\vec{b_1}, \vec{b_2})$	×	×	×
$ \Delta\eta(ec{b_1},ec{b_2}) $	×		
$\Delta \phi (ec V, b ec b)$	×	×	×
$ \Delta\eta(ec V, ec bec b) $			×
$m_{ m eff}$	×		
$\min[\Delta \phi(ec{\ell},ec{b})]$		×	
$m^W_{ m T}$		×	
$m_{\ell\ell}$			×
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{S_{\rm T}}$			×
$m_{ m top}$		×	
$ \Delta Y(ec V, bec b) $		×	
	Only in 3-jet events		
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jet}_3}$	×	×	×
$m_{bbj}$	×	×	×

- Discrimination between signal and background increased by a MVA
- Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) trained separately for each category
- Observables combined into the BDT:  $m_{bb}$ ,  $\Delta R_{bb}$ ,  $p_T^V$  most important

### W+HF Control Region



CRs are orthogonal to the signal regions, with negligible level of signal contamination

- 1-lepton CR
  - (2 jets and 3 jets)
- ✤ ~75% purity

Variable	Cut	
$m_{top}$	> 225 GeV	<ul> <li>Reduces VH signal contamination</li> </ul>
$m_{bb}$	<75 GeV	<ul> <li>Reduces ttbar and single-top</li> </ul>



## <u>eµ Control Region</u>



2-lepton CR
 (2 p<sub>T</sub><sup>V</sup> regions for
 2 jets and 3+ jets)
 ~99% purity

Selected by requiring same kinematic selection as signal region, but different flavour of a pair of dilepton (eµ or µe)

ttbar is flavour symmetric



#### **Systematic Uncertainties**

Source of un	$\sigma_{\mu}$			
Total	0.259			
Statistical	0.161			
Systematic		0.203		
Experimenta	l uncertainties			
Jets		0.035		
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$		0.014		
Leptons		0.009		
	b-jets	0.061		
b-tagging	<i>c</i> -jets	0.042		
	light-flavour jets	0.009		
	extrapolation	0.008		
Pile-up		0.007		
Luminosity	0.023			
Theoretical and modelling uncertainties				
Signal 0.09				
Floating normalisations 0.035				
Z + iets		0.055		
W + iets	0.060			
$t\bar{t}$	0.050			
Single top qu	0.028			
Diboson	0.054			
Multi-jet	0.005			
×				

Analysis dominated by systematic uncertainties

**b-tagging** both b (~3%) and c (~10%) tagging calibration

> Background modelling Z+HF, W+HF, ttbar

Signal modelling Limited impact on significance

#### MC stats Use of dedicated MC filters

MC statistical

0.070

#### Nuisance Parameter Ranking



# **Statistical Analysis**

Process	Normalisation factor
$t\bar{t}$ 0- and 1-lepton	$0.98\pm0.08$
$t\overline{t}$ 2-lepton 2-jet	$1.06\pm0.09$
$t\overline{t}$ 2-lepton 3-jet	$0.95\pm0.06$
W + HF 2-jet	$1.19\pm0.12$
W + HF 3-jet	$1.05\pm0.12$
Z + HF 2-jet	$1.37\pm0.11$
Z + HF 3-jet	$1.09\pm0.09$

Factors applied to the nominal normalisations of the  $t\bar{t}$ , W+HF and Z+HF backgrounds, as obtained from the global likelihood fit to the 13 TeV data for the nominal multivariate analysis, used to extract the Higgs boson signal. The errors represent the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties.



## H→bb Combination



Run 1 and Run 2 analyses in VH, ggF, VBF and ttH production modes Observation of  $H \rightarrow bb$  decays at 5.4 $\sigma$  (5.5 $\sigma$  exp.) VH channel main contribution



#### VH Combination



Run 2 analyses in bb, γγ, 4l decays Observation of VH production at 5.3**σ** (4.8**σ** exp.) bb channel main contribution

### Validation: Diboson MVA analysis

#### Analysis procedure validation

- Same selection as VH
- Retrain the BDT using:
  - $VZ(Z \rightarrow bb)$  as signal
  - Same bkg + VH
- Repeat the fit on BDT<sub>VZ</sub> to extract VZ(Z→bb) signal strength

	ATLAS	VZ, Z	→ b <u>b</u>	√s=13	TeV, 7	9.8 fb <sup>-1</sup>	
	— Tota	I -Sta	t.	Tot.	(Stat.	, Syst.	)
0L	F	●⊣	1.12	+0.24 –0.20	(+0.11 (-0.11	+0.21 ,_0.17	)
1L	┝━┿●	<b>⊹</b> 4	0.93	+0.46 -0.45	(+0.17 (-0.16	+0.43 ,_0.42	)
2L		<b>⊢∔⊕∔</b> ⊣	1.33	+0.27 -0.23	( +0.13 ( -0.13	+0.23 , _0.20	)
Comb.		H <b>ei-i</b>	1.20	+0.20 _0.18	(+0.08 -0.08	+0.19	)
(	0 0.5	1 1.5 2	2 2.5 3	3 3.5	54	4.5 μ <sup>b</sup> <sub>V</sub>	5 b Z



Significance of VZ( $Z \rightarrow bb$ ) signal >> 5 $\sigma$ Signal strength  $\mu$  compatible with the SM





#### Cross Check: dijet analysis

- Different strategy wrt MVA analysis: fit m<sub>bb</sub> spectrum
- More p<sub>T</sub><sup>V</sup> regions
- Additional cuts to suppress V+jets and ttbar
- Less sensitive than the MVA analysis (~27%)



JNIVERSITY OF

DXFORD



## $VH(H \rightarrow bb)$ Cross Section measurements



Sensitivity to 75< p<sub>T</sub><sup>z</sup> <150 GeV is provided by the 2 lepton category

Reconstructed categories do not distinguish between events with true  $p_T^V$  below or above 250 GeV



Sensitivity to the two p<sub>T</sub><sup>V</sup> regions 150-250 GeV and >250 GeV is provided by the different shapes of the BDT<sub>VH</sub> discriminant



# Effective Field Theory Intervals

Coefficient	Expected interval	Observed interval		
Results at 68% confidence level				
$\bar{c}_{HW}$	[-0.003, 0.002]	[-0.001, 0.004]		
(interference only	[-0.002, 0.003]	[-0.001, 0.005])		
$\bar{c}_{HB}$	[-0.066, 0.013]	$[-0.078, -0.055] \cup [0.005, 0.019]$		
(interference only	[-0.016, 0.016]	[-0.005, 0.030])		
$\bar{c}_W - \bar{c}_B$	[-0.006, 0.005]	[-0.002, 0.007]		
(interference only	[-0.005, 0.005]	[-0.002, 0.008])		
$\bar{c}_d$	[-1.5, 0.3]	$[-1.6, -0.9] \cup [-0.3, 0.4]$		
(interference only	[-0.4, 0.4]	[-0.2, 0.7])		
	Results at 95% co	onfidence level		
$\bar{c}_{HW}$	[-0.018, 0.004]	[-0.019,-0.010] U [-0.005, 0.006]		
(interference only	[-0.005, 0.005]	[-0.003, 0.008])		
$\bar{c}_{HB}$	[-0.078, 0.024]	[-0.090, 0.032]		
(interference only	[-0.033, 0.033]	[-0.022, 0.049])		
$\bar{c}_W - \bar{c}_B$	[-0.034, 0.008]	$[-0.036, -0.024] \cup [-0.009, 0.010]$		
(interference only	[-0.009, 0.010]	[-0.006, 0.014])		
$\bar{c}_d$	[-1.7, 0.5]	[-1.9, 0.7]		
(interference only	[-0.8, 0.8]	[-0.6, 1.1])		