DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



www.cea.fr

Sparse data inpainting for gapped data in LISA



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Aurore BLELLY

November 30, 2020



Laser Interferometer Space Antenna A Space Interferometer (ESA Mission)



Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- 3 satellites 2.5 millions km one from another
- Follows the movement of the Earth on its orbit
- Probes a frequency range that is still unexplored ⇒ high potential for new discoveries
- Launching: 2034



1

¹https://www.esa.int/Science_Exploration/Space_Science/EISA < 🗗 > < 🗄 > < 🗄 > 🛛 🛓 🔗 🔍 🖓







< □ ▷ < (□) ▷ < (□) ▷ < (□) ▷ < (□) ▷ ○ ○
 A. Bielly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 3 / 14





Introduction

LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

Gravitational Wave Signal



Focus on GBs :

- 10⁶ expected sources
- Goal: identify ~ 20.000
- Low SNR = long observation required
- Neary monofrequency signal





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト A. Blelly GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 3 / 14

э



Introduction

LISA mission

Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



< □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ >
 A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 3 / 14



Introduction

LISA mission

Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



Impact quality of identification



Introduction A new non-parametric method



Introduction

LISA mission

LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- **Goal:** Mitigating the impact of gaps in LISA data by filling the gaps with as little new information as possible.
- State of the art: Data augmentation method ² : based on sampling and MCMC.
- Strategy: Using the waveform expected structure instead of parametric description.
- \Rightarrow **Non-parametric** method.

²Q. Baghi, I. Thorpe, J. Slutsky, J. Baker, T. Dal Canton, N. Korsakova, N. Karnesis, *Gravitational-wave* parameter estimation with gaps in LISA: a Bayesian data augmentation/method, 2019.



Work Hypothesis



Prior hypothesis:

Introduction

LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

Simple representation in Fourier basis (Sparsity prior)





Work Hypothesis



Prior hypothesis:

Introduction

- LISA mission
- LISA Data
- Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

- Joint Estimator
- Algorithm
- Results
- Conclusion

Simple representation in Fourier basis (Sparsity prior)



• Noise is Gaussian with known PSD Σ for ungapped data



イロトイラトイミトイミト ミ つへ(A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 5 / 14



Work Hypothesis



Prior hypothesis:

Introduction

- LISA mission
- LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data

Inpainting

- Joint Estimator
- Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

Simple representation in Fourier basis (Sparsity prior)



Noise is Gaussian with known PSD Σ for ungapped data



Gap time is known:

 < □ > < □ > < □ > < ≥ > < ≥ > < ≥ < ○</td>

 A. Blelly
 GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020
 5 / 14

Sparse Data Inpainting

<□▶ <⊡▶ < 글▶ <

≣ ▶





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



• (S, N) the joint estimate of **ungapped** signal and noise

(S, N) =





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

■ *M* is the data mask:

• (S, N) the joint estimate of **ungapped** signal and noise

(S,

イロトイラトイミトイミト ミ つへの A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 7 / 14





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- *M* is the data mask: ¹
- (S, N) the joint estimate of **ungapped** signal and noise

$$(S, N) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Argmin}_{Y=M(S+N)}}_{\text{Data}} \begin{bmatrix} \left\| \gamma \odot \Sigma^{-1/2} \widehat{S} \right\|_{12} \\ \text{Solution prior} \\ \text{Sparsity} \end{bmatrix}$$





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- *M* is the data mask:
- (S, N) the joint estimate of **ungapped** signal and noise



< □ > < ⊕ > < ≣ > < ≣ > ≡ < ?
 A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 7 / 14





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- *M* is the data mask:
- (S, N) the joint estimate of **ungapped** signal and noise



With Y the gapped measurement; Σ the noise PSD and γ the threshold.





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- *M* is the data mask:
- (S, N) the joint estimate of **ungapped** signal and noise



With Y the gapped measurement; Σ the noise PSD and γ the threshold.

 Resolution: alternates between signal estimation and noise estimation.

4 □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < 圏 ▶ < 圏 ▶ < 圏 ▶ < 圏 ▶ < 圏 ▶ < 圏 ◆ ○ Q ○</p>
A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 7 / 14















CEA - Saciay









LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion















< □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ >
 A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 8 / 14





Introduction

LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Conclusion



















Introduction

LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Conclusion









LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion





















LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion









LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion









LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion





















LISA mission

LISA Data

Work Hypothesis



Joint Estimator

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion









A. Blelly

GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 8 / 14







Results

▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶







LDC 1-3: 10 Verification galactic binaries

■ Gaps: 7h/2 weeks (planned), 10 min / 1 day (unplanned) ³https://lisa-ldc.lal.in2p3.fr/

A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 10 / 14

Gaps

Inpainted



Sparse Data Inpainting Method Impact on input signal spectrum - LDC1-3³





 \Rightarrow Effective noise PSD is flattened

⇒ Limited noise leakage thanks to inpainting process ³https://lisa-ldc.lal.in2p3.fr/



Normalized Means Square error Quality of the extracted signal



Introduction LISA mission

LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



- Single GB, solution for different amplitudes
- Extracted signal from gapped data has the same quality as the one extracted from ungapped signal

A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 11 / 14



Kullback-Leibler divergence Recovered noise follows the expected distribution





Figure: 7 hours / 2 weeks gaps: Kullback-Leibler Divergence

The Kullback-Leibler Divergence measures a discrepancy between the expected and the recovered noise distributions. A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 12 / 14



Kullback-Leibler divergence Recovered noise follows the expected distribution





Figure: 7 hours / 2 weeks gaps: Kullback-Leibler Divergence

The Kullback-Leibler Divergence measures a discrepancy between the expected and the recovered noise distributions. A. Blelly | GdR OG - Nov. 30, 2020 | 12 / 14

Conclusion

▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶





Introduction

LISA mission LISA Data Work Hypothesis

Sparse Data Inpainting

Joint Estimator Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

- Tests with both **gapped** and **ungapped** data.
 - Efficient reconstruction of the signal in the gaps
- \blacksquare Fast: ~ 1 hour for 2 years of data on a laptop
- Works as well with a large unknown number of separated sources.
- **Flexible framework** which could be adapted to:
 - Estimation of power spectral density.
 - Other types of sources.
 - Sources of different types.