

Radio Morphing: Towards a fast computation of air-shower radio emission




Simon Chiche (IAP), Olivier Martineau (LPNHE), Kumiko Kotera (IAP),
Matias Tueros (IFLP), Krijn de Vries (VUB)



Fast computation of radio signals from air-showers needed

Radio signal from atmospheric extensive air-showers

Schröder (2017) 2 main sources of emission



Macroscopic approach

(Holt et al., Scholten et al.)

Analytical: **Fast** but many free parameters

Microscopic approach

(Huege et al., Alvarez-Muñiz, et al.)

Monte Carlo simulations: **Accurate** but computationally demanding


Huge number of simulations needed for upcoming large scale radio experiments
(GRAND, Auger Prime, RNO-G, Ice Cube Gen2 radio)

We need a **fast** and **accurate** tool to model the radio emission: *Radio Morphing*

Radio Morphing principle

Universality of air-shower (Giller et al., Góra et al.)

Idea: we can use one single Monte Carlo simulation as a reference shower to derive the electric field from any other shower




- The scaling relies on simple physical principles of electromagnetism
- Hadronic interactions are only computed once (for the reference showers)

 **Gain in computation time of several orders of magnitude**

Radio Morphing principle

Aim: To infer the radio signal from any air-shower at any position



Scaling of the electric field

Scaling with the primary energy $E^t = \frac{\mathcal{E}_t}{\mathcal{E}_r} E^r$

Scaling with the azimuth angle $E_{v \times B}^t = \frac{\sin \alpha_t}{\sin \alpha_r} E_{v \times B}^r$

Interpolation


2D interpolation (in the shower plane)

3D extrapolation (along the propagation axis)

Version 1 (1811.01750, Zilles et al.) with limitations

Improvements: Scaling with θ , shower-to-shower fluctuations, time traces interpolation

Scaling with the zenith angle




Vertical showers should develop in denser atmosphere than inclined showers

Additionally we have:

$$E_{\text{geo}} = E_{\text{geo}}(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\text{ce}} = E_{\text{ce}}(\rho)$$

We need E_{geo} and E_{ce} dependency with air-density!




Geomagnetic and charge excess dependency with air-density

11000 ZHAireS simulations with various energy and arrival directions


Along the $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$ baseline of antennas we have: $E_{\text{geo}} = E_{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}$ and $E_{\text{ce}} = E_{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}$

Reconstruction of the radiated energy $E_{\text{rad}} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\infty} |E|^2 r dr \rightarrow E_{\text{rad, geo}}, E_{\text{rad, ce}}$

$$f_{\text{geo}}(\rho) = \sqrt{E_{\text{rad, geo}} / (\mathcal{E} \times \sin \alpha)^2}$$



$$f_{\text{ce}}(\rho) = \sqrt{E_{\text{rad, ce}} / \mathcal{E}^2}$$



Scaling with zenith angle:

$$E_{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}^t = \frac{f_{\text{geo}}(\rho_{\text{xmax}}^t)}{f_{\text{geo}}(\rho_{\text{xmax}}^r)} E_{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}^r \quad E_{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}^t = \frac{f_{\text{ce}}(\rho_{\text{xmax}}^t)}{f_{\text{ce}}(\rho_{\text{xmax}}^r)} E_{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}^r$$

Test of the scaling procedure

5 showers Reference library: $\mathcal{E}^r = 3.98 \text{ EeV}$, $\phi^r = 90^\circ$ (West), $\theta^r = [67.8, 74.8, 81.3, 83.9, 86.5]$


1200 target showers: $\mathcal{E}^t = [0.1 - 4] \text{ EeV}$, $\phi^t = [0 - 360]$, $\theta^t = [60 - 90]$



comparison to ZHAireS simulations with corresponding parameters

Relative differences between
ZHAireS and Radio Morphing

$$(E_{\text{rad}}^{\text{RM}} - E_{\text{rad}}^{\text{ZHAireS}}) / E_{\text{rad}}^{\text{ZHAireS}}$$



Mean relative differences between radiated energies of $\sim 10\%$!

Shower-to-shower fluctuations

Hadronic interactions are not computed with Radio Morphing: we have to model shower-to-shower fluctuations

- **Parametrization of X_{\max} and $\sigma_{x_{\max}}$ from ZHAireS simulations**

$$\langle X_{\max} \rangle = a \log E[\text{TeV}] + c$$

$$\sigma_{x_{\max}} = a + \frac{b}{Ec}$$


- **Fluctuation of the number of particles**

$N_{e^{+-}}$ number of e^{+-} that cross a perpendicular plane at X_{\max} : $\propto \mathcal{E}$

With ZHAireS we find $\sigma_{N_{e^{+-}}} \approx 0.1 N_{e^{+-}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_t} \approx 0.1 \mathcal{E}_t$

We generate X_{\max} and \mathcal{E}^t using a gaussian distribution


$$\mathcal{E} = 3.98 \text{ EeV}, \theta = 85^\circ$$



2D interpolation of the radio signal

We want to infer the radio signal at any position in space

Linear interpolation from <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.06454.pdf> (Tueros, Zilles, 2020)




Fourier space: Electric field time traces decomposed into a phase φ and an amplitude \mathcal{A} interpolated independently

- Timing accuracy of a fraction of nanosecond
- Relative differences on the peak amplitude of a few percent when outside of the Cerenkov cone

3D extrapolation: correcting for propagation effects

Aim: extrapolate the radio signal at any position along the shower axis



We have to correct for propagation

- **Dilution of the radio signal**

$$E_{\text{target}} = \frac{D_{\text{scaled}}}{D_{\text{target}}} E_{\text{scaled}}$$


- **Variation of the refractive index \bar{n}**

$$k_{\text{stretch}} = \theta_{\text{cer}}(\bar{n}_{\text{scaled}}) / \theta_{\text{cer}}(\bar{n}_{\text{target}})$$

$$E_{\text{target}} = k_{\text{stretch}} E_{\text{scaled}}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{target}} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{scaled}} / k_{\text{stretch}}$$

3D extrapolation after scaling




Relative difference with ZHAireS on the peak amplitude and the integral < 5%


Radio Morphing results

Test of the whole Radio Morphing process (scaling + 3D extrapolation) for 1200 cosmic-ray air-shower simulations

Mean and RMS of relative differences with ZHAireS simulations on the peak amplitude



Distribution of errors on the peak amplitude at the antenna level



Mean relative differences on the peak amplitude between $\approx 10\%$ to 20%


91% of antennas with relative differences $< 10\%$

Conclusion

Radio Morphing: A fast and accurate tool for air-shower radio signals computation

Principle

- Scaling of the electric field
- 2D interpolation and 3D extrapolation



Performances (compared with Monte-Carlo simulations)

- Accuracy: relative differences on the peak amplitude **< 10% for 91% of antennas**
- Computation time: gain of **2 orders of magnitude**

Next steps

- Include Askaryan emission in the scaling with Φ
- Enable to use an input value for Earth magnetic field



Even more accurate and universal method