The POWHEG BOX event generator:

Recent Developments

Emanuele Re

LAPTh Annecy



Joint Workshop: <u>GDR QCD</u>: "QCD@short distances" & <u>STRONG 2020</u>: "Fixed target experiments at LHC", "3DPartons", "NLOAccess" 4 June 2021

- ► The POWHEG method in a nutshell
- The POWHEG BOX event generator
- Recent results on NNLO+PS matching
- Conclusion and Outlook

- NLO+PS: match fixed-order computation at NLO in QCD with Parton Showers
- Problem: overlapping regions



NLO+PS

- ▶ NLO+PS: match fixed-order computation at NLO in QCD with Parton Showers
- Problem: overlapping regions



- NLO+PS: match fixed-order computation at NLO in QCD with Parton Showers
- Problem: overlapping regions



- ▶ NLO+PS: match fixed-order computation at NLO in QCD with Parton Showers
- Problem: overlapping regions



NLO+PS is well understood, general solutions applicable to virtually any process: MC@NLO and POWHEG [Frixione-Webber '03, Nason '04]

Other approaches exist, e.g. KrkNLO, Vincia

[Jadach et al. , Skands et al.]

$$d\sigma_{\rm POW} = d\Phi_n \quad \bar{B}(\Phi_n) \quad \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_n; k_{\rm T}^{\rm min}) + \Delta(\Phi_n; k_{\rm T}) \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_r)}{B(\Phi_n)} \ d\Phi_r \right\}$$

[+ p_T-vetoing subsequent emissions, to avoid double-counting]

POWHEG in a nutshell

[+ p_T-vetoing subsequent emissions, to avoid double-counting]

POWHEG in a nutshell

$$B(\Phi_{n}) \Rightarrow \bar{B}(\Phi_{n}) = B(\Phi_{n}) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} \left[V(\Phi_{n}) + \int R(\Phi_{n+1}) d\Phi_{r} \right]$$

$$d\sigma_{\text{POW}} = d\Phi_{n} \quad \bar{B}(\Phi_{n}) \quad \left\{ \Delta(\Phi_{n}; k_{\text{T}}^{\min}) + \Delta(\Phi_{n}; k_{\text{T}}) \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} \frac{R(\Phi_{n}, \Phi_{r})}{B(\Phi_{n})} d\Phi_{r} \right\}$$

$$[+ p_{\text{T}} \text{-vetoing subsequent emissions, to avoid double-counting}]$$

$$\Delta(t_{\text{m}}, t) \Rightarrow \Delta(\Phi_{n}; k_{\text{T}}) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} \int \frac{R(\Phi_{n}, \Phi_{r}')}{B(\Phi_{n})} \theta(k_{\text{T}}' - k_{\text{T}}) d\Phi_{r}' \right\}$$

- Main focus: matching of accurate fixed-order predictions with PS for SM processes.
- ► Several BSM applications exist (by far, not as many as in MG5_AMC@NLO).
- $ightarrow \sim$ 100 processes, \sim 100 authors contributed.
- All publicly available at

powhegbox.mib.infn.it

- Two main releases:
 - POWHEG BOX V2: main release, almost all processes are here
 - POWHEG BOX RES: most recent one, able to deal with processes with resonances

The POWHEG BOX framework

slide from C. Oleari

Processes implemented in the POWHEG BOX (I)

Vector bosons

- Z/W (with decay) (Alioli, Nason, Re, C.O., 2008)
- Z/Wj (with decay) (Alioli, Nason, Re, C.O., 2010)
- W⁺W⁺jj (Melia, Nason, Röntsch, Zanderighi, 2011)
- W⁺W⁺ ij and W⁺W⁻ ij via VBF (Jäger, Zanderighi, 2011 and 2013)
- diboson production (with decay), (Melia, Nason, Röntsch, Zanderighi, 2011)
- W production with some EW corrections, (Bernaciak, Wackeroth, 2012)
- W/Z production with EW corrections and QED shower, (Barzè, Montagna, Nason, Nicrosini, Piccinini, 2012 + Vicini, 2013)
- Zjj in VBF (Jäger, Schneider, Zanderighi, 2012)
- Zjj (Re, 2012)
- Wjj and Zjj (Campbell, Ellis, Nason, Zanderighi, 2013)
- ZZ, WZ and W⁺W⁻ (Nason, Zanderighi, 2013)
- W/Z in VBF (Schissler, Zeppenfeld, 2013)
- ZZjj in VBF (Jäger, Karlberg, Zanderighi, 2013)
- Wγ (Barzè, Montagna, Nason, Nicrosini, Piccinini, 2012 + Vicini, 2013)
- Zjj in VBF (Jäger, Schneider, Zanderighi, 2012)
- Zjj (Re, 2012)
- Wjj and Zjj (Campbell, Ellis, Nason, Zanderighi, 2013)
- ZZ, WZ and W⁺W⁻ (Nason, Zanderighi, 2013)
- W/Z in VBF (Schissler, Zeppenfeld, 2013)
- ZZjj in VBF (Jäger, Karlberg, Zanderighi, 2013)
- Wγ (Barzè, Chiesa, Montagna, Nason, Nicrosini, Piccinini, Prosperi, 2014)
- γj (Ježo, Klasen, Klein-Bösing, König, Poppenborg, 2016 and 2017)
- WW and WWj with MiNLO (Hamilton, Melia, Monni, Re, Zanderighi, 2016)
- WZjj in VBF (Jäger, Karlberg, Scheller, 2018)
- W[±]W[±] jj in VBF with EW corrections (Chiesa, Denner, Lang, Pellen, 2019)
- gg→VV plus decay (Alioli, Ferrario Ravasio, Lindert, Röntsch, 2021)
- diboson production (with decay) with EW corrections, (Chiesa, Re, C.O., 2020)

· Heavy quarks

- heavy-quark pair production (Frixione, Nason, Ridolfi, 2007)
- If production with decay, with exact spin-correlations in decay products.
 NLO corrections only in the production part of the process (Campbell, Ellis, Nason, 2012)
- single top (Alioli, Nason, Re, C.O., 2009) and tW (Re, 2010) + t-channel fourflavor scheme (Frederix, Re, Torrielli, 2012)
- tīj (Kardos, Papadopoulos, Trocsanyi, 2011) and (Alioli, Moch, Uwer, 2011)
- tīZ/W (Garzelli, Kardos, Papadopoulos, Trocsanyi, 2011-12)
- Wbb (Reina, C.O., 2011)
- Wbb and Wbbj with decay (Luisoni, Tramontano, C.O., 2015)
- If with approx decay (Campbell, Ellis, Nason, Re, 2014); with exact decay (Ježo, Lindert, Nason, Pozzorini, C.O., 2016)
- Wtl with decay (Febres Cordero, Kraus, Reina, 2021)

The POWHEG BOX framework

slide from C. Oleari

Processes implemented in the POWHEG BOX (II)

Higgs boson

- H in gluon fusion (Alioli, Nason, Re, C.O., 2008); with mass and EW effects, (Bagnaschi, Degrassi, Slavich, Vicini, 2011)
- Hjj in VBF (Nason, C.O., 2010)
- tIH (Garzelli, Kardos, Papadopoulos, Trocsanyi, 2011; Hartanto, Jäger, Reina, Wackeroth, 2015)
- tH- (Klasen, Kovarik, Nason, Weydert, 2012)
- H in gluon fusion with quark mass and EW effects (Bagnaschi, Degrassi, Slavich, Vicini, 2011)
- Hj and Hjj in gluon fusion (Campbell, Ellis, Frederix, Nason, Williams, C.O., 2012)
- HV and HV j, V = W[±], Z (with decay) (Luisoni, Nason, Tramontano, C.O., 2013); with EW effects (Granata, Lindert, Pozzorini, C.O., 2017)
- gg→HZ (Luisoni, Tramontano, C.O., 2013)
- Hjjj in VBF (Jäger, Schissler, Zeppenfeld, 2014)
- bbH (Jäger, Reina, Wackeroth, 2015)
- HH in gluon fusion (Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Luisoni, Vryonidou + Scyboz, 2017)
- HW⁺W⁻ and HZZ (Baglio, 2015 and 2016)

Jet production

- jj (Alioli, Hamilton Nason, Re, C.O., 2010)
- jjj (Kardos, Nason, C.O., 2014)

Beyond SM

- H in gluon fusion in the MSSM and 2HDM (Bagnaschi, Degrassi, Slavich, Vicini, 2011)
- Slepton pair production (Jäger, von Manteuffel, Thier, 2012)
- Squark pair production (Gavin, Hangst, Krämer, Mühlleitner, Pellen, Popenda, Spira, 2013)
- Dark matter + monojet (Haisch, Kahlhoefer, Re, 2013 and 2015)
- Slepton pair production + j (Jäger, von Manteuffel, Thier, 2014)
- Electroweakino pair production in SUSY-QCD (Baglio, Jäger, Kesenheimer, 2016)
- Electroweakino pair production + j (Baglio, Jäger, Kesenheimer, 2017)
- X₀jj (scalar CP violating production) (Nason, Rocco, Zaro, C.O., 2020)
- EFT
 - HW/Z (Mimasu, Sanz, Williams, 2016)
 - W/Z and HW/Z and H via VBF (Alioli, Dekens, Girard, Mereghetti, 2018) and (Alioli, Cirigliano, Dekens, de Vries, Mereghetti, 2017)
 - W⁺W⁻ (Baglio, Dawson, Lewis, 2018)
- NNLO+PS
 - H and W/Z (Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi, 2018 and 2020)
 - W⁺W⁻ (Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi, 2018)
 - tī (Mazzitelli, Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, 2020)

Beyond NLO+PS

- current EXP precision demands for predictions beyond NLO(+PS) accuracy.



- NNLO QCD corrections + resummation in corners of phase-space.

[e.g. ggH: jet-vetos, DY: measurements at the Z peak]

- Often NLO EW corrections are important too: $\alpha_{\rm S}^2 \sim \alpha$ + enhanced effects in some phase-space regions
- Focus of the rest of the talk: NNLO+PS

NNLO+PS: what do we want to achieve?

- Consider F + X production (F=massive color singlet)
- NNLO accuracy for observables inclusive on radiation. $[d\sigma/dy_F]$
- ▶ NLO(LO) accuracy for F + 1(2) jet observables (in the hard region). $[d\sigma/dp_{T,j_1}]$ - appropriate scale choice for each kinematics regime
- Sudakov resummation from the Parton Shower (PS)

 $[\sigma(p_{T,j} < p_{T,\text{veto}})]$

- preserve the PS accuracy (leading log LL)
- possibly, no merging scale required.
- methods: reweighted MiNLO' ("NNLOPS") [Hamilton, et al. '12,'13,...], UNNLOPS [Höche,Li,Prestel '14,...], Geneva [Alioli,Bauer, et al. '13,'15,'16,...], MiNNLO_{PS} [Monni,Nason,ER,Wiesemann,Zanderighi '19,...]

Multiscale Improved NLO

[Hamilton,Nason,Zanderighi, '12]

- ▶ original goal: method to a-priori choose scales in multijet NLO computation
- non-trivial task: hierarchy among scales can spoil accuracy (large logs can appear, without being resummed)
- how: correct weights of different NLO terms with CKKW-inspired approach, i.e. using PS concepts (without spoiling formal NLO accuracy)
- From F + n jets at NLO+PS, one gets finite results also for F + (n 1), F + (n 2),... jets
 - \Rightarrow it is a merging, without an external merging scale (just 1 event sample)

MiNLO for F+j

"color singlet (F) + 1 j" processes

[Hamilton,Nason,Oleari,Zanderighi '12]



MiNLO for F+j



MiNLO-improved FJ yields finite results also when 1st jet is unresolved (q_T → 0)
 straightforward to apply to the POWHEG B
 ^(FJ) function

MiNLO' and NLO+PS merging

- MiNLO: F + 2 j: finite and nicely working also for 0- and 1-jet region, but no exact claim on the accuracy in those regions.
- ▶ MiNLO': *F* + 1 j: claim on the accuracy is possible.
- ▶ inclusive NLO can be recovered (NLO⁽⁰⁾), without spoiling NLO accuracy of F+j (NLO⁽¹⁾):

NLO+PS merging, without merging scale

▶ accurate control of subleading small- p_T logarithms is needed (e.g. B_2 (NNLL))









plot from [Carrazza et al. '18]

plot from [Campbell,Ellis,Nason,Zanderighi, '13]

- ▶ starting from a MiNLO' generator, it's possible to match a PS simulation to NNLO.
- ► FJ-MiNLO' (+POWHEG) generator gives F-FJ @ NLOPS:

	F (inclusive)	F+j (inclusive)	F+2j (inclusive)
🗸 F-FJ @ NLOPS	NLO	NLO	LO
F @ NNLOPS	NNLO	NLO	LO

- ▶ starting from a MiNLO' generator, it's possible to match a PS simulation to NNLO.
- ► FJ-MiNLO' (+POWHEG) generator gives F-FJ @ NLOPS:

	F (inclusive)	F+j (inclusive)	F+2j (inclusive)
🗸 F-FJ @ NLOPS	NLO	NLO	LO
F @ NNLOPS	NNLO	NLO	LO

> reweighting (differential on $\Phi_{\rm F}$) of "MiNLO-generated" events:

$$W(\Phi_{\rm F}) = \frac{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{\rm F}}\right)_{\rm NNLO}}{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{\rm F}}\right)_{\rm FJ-MiNLO'}}$$

- ► by construction NNLO accuracy on inclusive observables;
 [√]
- to reach NNLOPS accuracy, need to be sure that the reweighting doesn't spoil the NLO accuracy of FJ-MiNLO' in 1-jet region;

- starting from a MiNLO' generator, it's possible to match a PS simulation to NNLO.
- ► FJ-MinLO' (+POWHEG) generator gives F-FJ @ NLOPS:

	F (inclusive)	F+j (inclusive)	F+2j (inclusive)
🗸 F-FJ @ NLOPS	NLO	NLO	LO
🗸 F @ NNLOPS	NNLO	NLO	LO

> reweighting (differential on Φ_F) of "MiNLO-generated" events:

$$W(\Phi_{\rm F}) = \frac{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{\rm F}}\right)_{\rm NNLO}}{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{\rm F}}\right)_{\rm FJ-MiNLO'}} = \frac{c_0 + c_1\alpha_{\rm S} + c_2\alpha_{\rm S}^2}{c_0 + c_1\alpha_{\rm S} + d_2\alpha_{\rm S}^2} \simeq 1 + \frac{c_2 - d_2}{c_0}\alpha_{\rm S}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm S}^3)$$

- ► by construction NNLO accuracy on inclusive observables;
 [√]
- ► to reach NNLOPS accuracy, need to be sure that the reweighting doesn't spoil the NLO accuracy of FJ-MiNLO' in 1-jet region;
 [√]

- ▶ starting from a MiNLO' generator, it's possible to match a PS simulation to NNLO.
- ► FJ-MiNLO' (+POWHEG) generator gives F-FJ @ NLOPS:

	F (inclusive)	F+j (inclusive)	F+2j (inclusive)
🗸 F-FJ @ NLOPS	NLO	NLO	LO
🗸 F @ NNLOPS	NNLO	NLO	LO

> reweighting (differential on $\Phi_{\rm F}$) of "MiNLO-generated" events:

$$W(\Phi_{\rm F}) = \frac{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{\rm F}}\right)_{\rm NNLO}}{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi_{\rm F}}\right)_{\rm FJ-MiNLO'}} = \frac{c_0 + c_1\alpha_{\rm S} + c_2\alpha_{\rm S}^2}{c_0 + c_1\alpha_{\rm S} + d_2\alpha_{\rm S}^2} \simeq 1 + \frac{c_2 - d_2}{c_0}\alpha_{\rm S}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm S}^3)$$

- ► by construction NNLO accuracy on inclusive observables;
 [√]
- ► to reach NNLOPS accuracy, need to be sure that the reweighting doesn't spoil the NLO accuracy of FJ-MiNLO' in 1-jet region;
 [√]
- ► succesfully employed for ggH, Drell-Yan, VH, WW production, H → bb̄ [Hamilton,Nason,ER,Zanderighi '13 / Karlberg,ER,Zanderighi '14 / Astill,Bizon,ER,Zanderighi '16-'18 ER,Wiesemann,Zanderighi '18, Bizon,ER,Zanderighi '19]

- $pp \to W^+W^- \to 4\ell$: doable, but at the edge of feasibility: dim $(\Phi_{4\ell})$ = 9

MiNNLO_{PS}: why?

Albeit formally correct, reweighting is a bottleneck for complex processes

- approximations needed
- discrete binning \rightarrow delicate in less populated regions
- it remains very CPU intensive
- for complicated processes, it's not user friendly
- In 1908.06987, we developed a new method: NNLOPS accuracy without reweighting
- ▶ Through a precise connection of the MiNLO' method and *p*_T resummation, possible to isolate the missing ingredients and reach NNLO accuracy
- In the follow-up paper 2006.04133 we refined some implementational aspects

[Notation: From this point,
$$X = \sum_{k} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm S}}{2\pi}\right)^{k} [X]^{(k)}$$
]

From p_T resummation, differential cross section for F+X production can be written as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \Big\{ \mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \exp(-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})) \Big\} + R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\rm F}, p_{\rm T}) \ni \{H^{(1)}, H^{(2)}, C^{(1)}, C^{(2)}, (G^{(1)} \cdot G^{(1)})\} \qquad R_{\rm finite}(p_{\rm T}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\rm FJ}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\rm F}\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\rm sing}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\rm F}\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}}$$

- $\mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\rm F}, p_{\rm T})$: all the terms needed to obtain NNLO^(F) accuracy upon integration in $p_{\rm T}$

From p_T resummation, differential cross section for F+X production can be written as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \Big\{ \mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \exp(-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})) \Big\} + R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})$$
$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \ni \{H^{(1)}, H^{(2)}, C^{(1)}, C^{(2)}, (G^{(1)} \cdot G^{(1)})\} \qquad R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{FJ}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{sing}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

• recast it, to match the POWHEG $\bar{B}^{(\mathrm{FJ})}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}})$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} = \exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})] \left\{ D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})]} \right\}$$
$$D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \qquad \tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \int_{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{Q} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[A_{\mathrm{f}}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(q)) \log \frac{Q^{2}}{q^{2}} + B_{\mathrm{f}}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(q)) \right]$$

• from p_T resummation, differential cross section for F+X production can be written as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \Big\{ \mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \exp(-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})) \Big\} + R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})$$
$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \ni \{H^{(1)}, H^{(2)}, C^{(1)}, C^{(2)}, (G^{(1)} \cdot G^{(1)})\} \qquad R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{FJ}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{sing}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

• recast it, to match the POWHEG $ar{B}^{(\mathrm{FJ})}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}})$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} = \exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})] \left\{ D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})]} \right\}$$
$$D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \qquad \tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \int_{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{Q} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[A_{\mathrm{f}}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(q)) \log \frac{Q^{2}}{q^{2}} + B_{\mathrm{f}}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(q)) \right]$$

• expand the above integrand in power of $\alpha_S(p_T)$, keep the terms that are needed to get $NLO^{(F)}$ & $NNLO^{(F)}$ accuracy, when integrating over p_T

• from p_T resummation, differential cross section for F+X production can be written as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \Big\{ \mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \exp(-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})) \Big\} + R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})$$
$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}}) \ni \{H^{(1)}, H^{(2)}, C^{(1)}, C^{(2)}, (G^{(1)} \cdot G^{(1)})\} \qquad R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{FJ}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{sing}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

• recast it, to match the POWHEG $ar{B}^{(\mathrm{FJ})}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}})$

۰.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} = \exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})] \left\{ D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{R_{\mathrm{finite}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})]} \right\}$$
$$D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \qquad \tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \int_{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{Q} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[A_{\mathrm{f}}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(q)) \log \frac{Q^{2}}{q^{2}} + B_{\mathrm{f}}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(q)) \right]$$

- expand the above integrand in power of $\alpha_{\rm S}(p_{\rm T})$, keep the terms that are needed to get NLO^(F) & NNLO^(F) accuracy, when integrating over $p_{\rm T}$
- after expansion, all the terms with explicit logs will be of the type $\alpha_{\rm S}^m(p_{\rm T})L^n$, with n = 0, 1.

$$\int^{Q} \frac{dp_{\rm T}}{p_{\rm T}} L^{n} \alpha_{\rm S}^{m}(p_{\rm T}) \exp(-\tilde{S}(p_{\rm T})) \sim (\alpha_{\rm S}(Q))^{m-(n+1)/2} \qquad L = \log Q/p_{\rm T}$$

MiNNLO_{PS}

Final master formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{B}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}})}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}} &= \exp[-\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})] \bigg\{ \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{FJ}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}} \right]^{(1)} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{2\pi} [\tilde{S}(p_{\mathrm{T}})]^{(1)} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{2\pi} \right)^{2} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{FJ}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}} \right]^{(2)} + \left[D(p_{\mathrm{T}}) \right]^{(\geq 3)} F_{\ell}^{\mathrm{corr}}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}) \bigg\} \end{aligned}$$

- MiNLO' recovered

$$- \left[D(p_{\mathrm{T}})\right]^{(\geq 3)} = \underbrace{-\frac{\mathrm{d}S(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}\mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}}_{D} - \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{2\pi} \left[D(p_{\mathrm{T}})\right]^{(1)} - \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})}{2\pi}\right)^{2} \left[D(p_{\mathrm{T}})\right]^{(2)}$$

$$- F_{\ell}^{\mathrm{corr}}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}): \text{ projection} \rightarrow \text{ recover } \left[D(p_{\mathrm{T}})\right]^{(\geq 3)} \text{ when integrating over } \Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}} \text{ at fixed } (\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}, p_{\mathrm{T}})$$

. The second radiation is generated by the usual POWHEG mechanism.

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma = \bar{B}(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}) \; \mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}} \left\{ \Delta_{\mathrm{pwg}}(\Lambda_{\mathrm{pwg}}) + \mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{rad}}\Delta_{\mathrm{pwg}}(p_{\mathrm{T,rad}}) \frac{R(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{rad}})}{B(\Phi_{\mathrm{FJ}})} \right\}$$

. if emissions are strongly ordered, same emission probabilities as in k_t -ordered shower \to LL shower accuracy preserved

MiNNLO_{PS}: ggH

results from 2006.04133

do/bin [pb] pp→H@LHC 13 TeV 2 1.5 1 **MiNNLO**_{PS} NNLO (MATRIX) 0.5 0 do/dominnlops 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 E -3 -2 -1 0 2 3 Ун

- expected very good agreement with NNLO: 4% difference
- results from 1908.06987: 8% difference
- correct shape



- expected Sudakov shape
- MiNNLO_{\rm PS} \rightarrow NLO at large $p_{\rm T}$

PS, no hadronization, no MPI

MiNNLO_{PS}: diboson production

The method is general: recently, applied to more complex color-singlet production processes.



plots from [Lombardi, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '20,'21]

MiNNLO_{PS}: top-pair production

• Method extended to deal with heavy quarks in the final state: $t\bar{t}$ at NNLO+PS !!

[Mazzitelli,Monni,Nason,ER,Wiesemann,Zanderighi '20]

Starting point: resummation formula for tt transverse momentum. Very schematically:

[Catani,Grazzini,Torre '14]

$$d\sigma_{res}^{F} \sim \frac{d}{dp_{T}} \left\{ e^{-S} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{H}\Delta) \left(C \otimes f \right) \left(C \otimes f \right) \right\}$$

$$S = -\int \frac{dq^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(q)}{2\pi} \left(A^{(1)} \log(M/q) + B^{(1)} \right) + \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(q)}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left(A^{(2)} \log(M/q) + B^{(2)} \right) + \dots \right]$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{H}\Delta) = \langle M | \Delta | M \rangle, \quad \Delta = \mathbf{V}^{\dagger} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}, \quad \mathbf{V} = \exp \left\{ -\int \frac{dq^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(q)}{2\pi} \Gamma_{t}^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(q)}{(2\pi)^{2}} \Gamma_{t}^{(2)} \right] \right\}$$

With some approximations (respecting our goal), terms due to soft interference can be rearranged so that the "resummation" can be eventually recasted as:

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{res}}^{F} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{T}} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathrm{colours}} e^{-\overline{S}_{i}} \underbrace{c_{i} \overline{H} \, \overline{(C \otimes f)} \, \overline{(C \otimes f)}}_{\equiv \overline{\mathscr{D}}_{i}} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}^{5})$$

some inputs derived in [Catani, Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli + Sargsyan '19]

Each term has the same structure as in the color-singlet case!

MiNNLO_{PS}: $t\bar{t}$ results



- Good agreement with data.
- $m_{t\bar{t}}$: finite width & non-relativistic effects in very first bin.
- So far, on-shell top quarks.
- NWA + decay at tree-level, retaining sping correlations, should be doable.

Summary

- ▶ NLO+PS, status of the POWHEG BOX framework, MiNLO idea
- Shown NNLO+PS accurate results
 - MINNLOPS: NNLO+PS, without reweighting and with no merging scales
 - NNLO+PS results for top-pair production
 - several applications are now possible, certainly all $2\to 2$ color-singlet production (i.e. dibosons)

What next?

- Consider other subleading effects, e.g.:
 - formal accuracy of the PS \leftrightarrow logarithmic accuracy of a matched computation
 - EW corrections: (N)NLO_{QCD}+NLO_{EW}+PS
- Applications in EXP analysis

Summary

- ▶ NLO+PS, status of the POWHEG BOX framework, MiNLO idea
- Shown NNLO+PS accurate results
 - MINNLOPS: NNLO+PS, without reweighting and with no merging scales
 - NNLO+PS results for top-pair production
 - several applications are now possible, certainly all $2\to 2$ color-singlet production (i.e. dibosons)

What next?

- Consider other subleading effects, e.g.:
 - formal accuracy of the PS \leftrightarrow logarithmic accuracy of a matched computation
 - EW corrections: (N)NLO_{QCD}+NLO_{EW}+PS
- Applications in EXP analysis

Thank you for your attention!