## Machine Learning for Accelerators Automatic Accelerator Tuning

Emmanuel Goutierre<sup>1, 2</sup>

 $^{1}$ IJCLab

<sup>2</sup>LISN

Thursday October 28, 2021

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

4 日 → 4 注 → 4 注 → 注 の Q C Thursday October 28, 2021 1/11

## Outline

## Automatic Accelerator Tuning Problem

- Mathematical Formulation
- Challenges

## Machine Learning meets Accelerator Tuning

- Surrogate Models to Speedup Accelerator Optimization
- Reality Gap between Simulator and Accelerator
- Exploration vs. Exploitation Tradeoff to Discover Internal State

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

## Outline

## Automatic Accelerator Tuning Problem

- Mathematical Formulation
- Challenges
- Machine Learning meets Accelerator Tuning
  - Surrogate Models to Speedup Accelerator Optimization
  - Reality Gap between Simulator and Accelerator
  - Exploration vs. Exploitation Tradeoff to Discover Internal State

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Parameters

## Accelerator Description

- $\mathcal{A}$  : Set of controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\bullet~\Theta$  : Set of non-controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{O}$  : Set of observations of the state of the accelerator

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Parameters

## Accelerator Description

- $\mathcal{A}$  : Set of controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\bullet~\Theta$  : Set of non-controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{O}$  : Set of observations of the state of the accelerator

## $\mathcal{A}$

- Accelerating gradient
- Solenoid strength
- RF phase

Ο...

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Parameters

## Accelerator Description

- $\mathcal{A}$  : Set of controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\Theta$  : Set of non-controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{O}$  : Set of observations of the state of the accelerator

. . .

## $\mathcal{A}$

- Accelerating gradient
- Solenoid strength
- RF phase

Ο...

## Θ

- Elements position
- Accelerating section misalignments

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Parameters

## Accelerator Description

- $\mathcal{A}$  : Set of controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\Theta$  : Set of non-controllable commands of the accelerator
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{O}$  : Set of observations of the state of the accelerator

. . .

## $\mathcal{A}$

- Accelerating gradient
- Solenoid strength
- RF phase

Ο...

#### Θ

- Elements position
- Accelerating section misalignments

#### $\mathcal{O}$

- BPM
- ICT
- Screen station

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

• . . .

## Automatic Accelerator Tuning Problem Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Optimization Function

#### What is the objective

• optimize 
$$(\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \Delta E, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \dots) = f(\theta, A)$$

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

Thursday October 28, 2021 5 / 11

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Optimization Function

What is the objective

• optimize 
$$(\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \Delta E, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \dots) = f(\theta, A)$$



Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Optimization Function

What is the objective

• optimize 
$$(\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \Delta E, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \dots) = f(\theta, A)$$



Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

## Automatic Accelerator Tuning Problem Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Optimization Function

What is the objective

• optimize 
$$(\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \Delta E, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \dots) = f(\theta, A)$$

• Multi objective, parametric, black box optimization

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Problems

Problems ...

**(**) The function f to optimize is rarely directly observed in real life

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

Thursday October 28, 2021 6 / 11

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Problems

Problems ...

- **()** The function *f* to optimize is rarely directly observed in real life
- Simulators computational time can be huge

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Problems

Problems ...

- The function f to optimize is rarely directly observed in real life
- Simulators computational time can be huge
- Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Problems

#### Problems . . .

- The function f to optimize is rarely directly observed in real life
- Simulators computational time can be huge
- Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality
- $\theta$  is partially unknown

Mathematical Formulation of the Problem: Problems

## Problems . . .

- **1** The function f to optimize is rarely directly observed in real life
- Simulators computational time can be huge
- Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality
- $\theta$  is partially unknown
- $\mathbf{0} \ \theta$  is potentially not fixed on an accelerator

4 15 16 16 15

## Outline



- Mathematical Formulation
- Challenges
- Machine Learning meets Accelerator Tuning
  - Surrogate Models to Speedup Accelerator Optimization
  - Reality Gap between Simulator and Accelerator
  - Exploration vs. Exploitation Tradeoff to Discover Internal State

A B A A B A

## Surrogate Models to Speedup Accelerator Optimization

Problem

• Simulators computational time can be huge (2)

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

Thursday October 28, 2021 8 / 11

## Surrogate Models to Speedup Accelerator Optimization

#### Problem

• Simulators computational time can be huge (2)

#### Solution

Compute surrogate model



A B A A B A

## Surrogate Models to Speedup Accelerator Optimization

#### Problem

• Simulators computational time can be huge (2)

#### Solution

• Compute surrogate model

## Tool

• Supervised Learning and Neural Networks<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Edelen et al., 2020.

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

4 12 16 14 12 16

Problem

• Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality (3)

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

#### Problem

• Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality (3)

#### Solution

• Incorporate experimental data



4 12 16 14 12 16

#### Problem

• Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality (3)

## Solution

- Incorporate experimental data
- Learn to discriminate real data from generated data

#### Problem

• Simulations are not perfectly representing the reality (3)

## Solution

- Incorporate experimental data
- Learn to discriminate real data from generated data

## Tool

- Fine Tuning
- Generative Adversarial Networks

# Exploration vs. Exploitation Tradeoff to Discover Internal State

Problem

• Partially unobserved and changing environment (1, 4, 5)

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

Thursday October 28, 2021 10 / 11

(4) (E) (E)

# Exploration vs. Exploitation Tradeoff to Discover Internal State

#### Problem

• Partially unobserved and changing environment (1, 4, 5)

#### Solution

• Partially Observable Markov Decision Process



# Exploration vs. Exploitation Tradeoff to Discover Internal State

#### Problem

• Partially unobserved and changing environment (1, 4, 5)

## Solution

• Partially Observable Markov Decision Process

#### Solution

• RL (Reinforcement Learning)

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

(4) (E) (E)

# Questions?

Emmanuel Goutierre ( IJCLab, LISN )

Machine Learning for Accelerators

Thursday October 28, 2021 11 / 11

э

A (10) × (10)