

v Electroweak Baryogenesis

Salvador Rosauro Alcaraz, 11/10/21

In collaboration with E. Fernández-Martínez, J. López-Pavón & T. Ota based on JHEP 10 (2020) 063









$$Y_B^{obs} = \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{s} \simeq (8.59 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$$





$$Y_B^{obs} = \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{s} \simeq (8.59 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-11}$$

Generation of a BAU

C and CP violation

B violation

•Out-of-equilibrium conditions

A. D. Sakharov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 5 (1967) 32-35



Electroweak baryogenesis

CP violation from CKM matrix

Electroweak baryogenesis

CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons

Electroweak baryogenesis

CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons

1st order phase transition



Electroweak baryogenesis

CP violation from CKM matrix ase

B + L violation from sphalerons

1st order phase transition



Electroweak baryogenesis

CP violation from CKM matrix

M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff & O. Pene, arXiv:hep-ph/9312215

M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff, O. Pene & C: Quimbay, arXiv:hep-ph/9406289

B + L violation from sphalerons

1st order phase transition





Electroweak baryogenesis

CP violation from CKM matrix

M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff & O. Pene, arXiv:hep-ph/9312215

M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff, O. Pene & C: Quimbay, arXiv:hep-ph/9406289

B + L violation from sphalerons

order phase transition

K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen, & M. E. Shaposhnikov, arXiv:hep-ph/9605288







Electroweak baryogenesis with new physics

B + L violation from sphalerons



M. Dine, P. Huet, R. L. Sigleton, Jr & L. Susskind, Phys. Lett. B257 (1991) J. R. Espinosa, T. Konstandin & F. Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation

B violation

•Out-of-equilibrium conditions

A. D. Sakharov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 5 (1967) 32-35





New sources of CP violation

B + L violation from sphalerons



M. Dine, P. Huet, R. L. Sigleton, Jr & L. Susskind, Phys. Lett. B257 (1991) J. R. Espinosa, T. Konstandin & F. Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441

Electroweak baryogenesis with new physics

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation

B violation

•Out-of-equilibrium conditions

A. D. Sakharov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 5 (1967) 32-35



Bounds on new CP violation



G. Panico, M. Riembau, T. Vantalon, arXiv:1712.06337



Tight bounds from the electron's EDM

 $|d_e| < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$ ACME Collaboration, Nature 562 (2018)

Rely on some dark sector to introduce new CP violation

E. Hall, T. Konstandin, R. McGehee, H. Murayama & G. Servant, arXiv: 1910.08068 M. Carena, M. Quirós & Y. Zhang, arXiv: 1811.09719



Bounds on new CP violation



 ν do not couple to γ

Rely on some dark sector to introduce new CP violation

Tight bounds from the electron's EDM

 $|d_e| < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$ ACME Collaboration, Nature 562 (2018)

E. Hall, T. Konstandin, R. McGehee, H. Murayama & G. Servant, arXiv: 1910.08068 M. Carena, M. Quirós & Y. Zhang, arXiv: 1811.09719



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V \left(\phi^* \phi, H^{\dagger} H \right)$



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi^* \phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

Trigger 1st order phase transition



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset = \bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi^* \phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

Large mixing and CPV

Trigger 1st order phase transition



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $-\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi^* \phi, H^{\dagger} H)$ \mathcal{L} :

Large mixing and CPV



 $m_{\nu} \sim \mu_L \theta^2$

Trigger 1st order phase transition

 $\theta \sim \frac{v_H}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu} Y_N^{-1}$



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset = \bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi^* \phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

Large mixing and CPV



 $m_{\nu} \sim \mu_I \theta^2$

 $\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_2^2)$

Hierarchical heavy neutrinos

Trigger 1st order phase transition

$$\theta \sim \frac{v_H}{\sqrt{2}v_\phi} Y_\nu Y_N^{-1}$$

$$\Lambda_3^2 - M_1^2) Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{12} (\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{23} (\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{31} \right]$$



Avoid electric dipole moment bounds

A. Abada & T. Toma, arXiv: 1605.07643





First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V \left(\phi^* \phi, H^{\dagger} H \right)$





CP asymmetries





M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

$$D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S$$

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

$_{S}\mathcal{H}(-z)n_{B}-\Gamma_{S}\mathcal{H}(-z)n_{L}=0$ $D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_L j_L \partial_z \delta(z)$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

Follow the total *B* and *L* asymmetries and their conversion through sphalerons

$D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0$ $D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_R = \xi_L j_L \partial_z \delta(z)$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

$$\begin{split} D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L &= 0\\ \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B &= \xi [j_U \partial_z \delta(z)\\ \\ \hline \text{Follow the total } B \text{ and } L \text{ asymmetries}\\ \text{and their conversion through sphalerons} \end{split}$$

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

$$\begin{split} & \text{Turok} \quad D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0 \\ & D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_{ij} \partial_z \delta(z) \\ & \text{Follow the total } B \text{ and } L \text{ asymmetries} \\ & \text{and their conversion through sphalerons} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{In Turok.} \quad D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0 \\ & D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_{ij} j_U \partial_z \delta(z) \\ & \text{Follow the total } B \text{ and } L \text{ asymmetries and their conversion through sphalerons} \\ & j_\nu = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i,\alpha} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \bigg\{ \Delta \mathscr{T}^b(N_i \to \nu_{L\alpha}) \frac{|p_{zi}^b|}{E_i^b} f_i^b(p_i^b) + \Delta \mathscr{R}^u(N_{Ri} \to \nu_{L\alpha}) \frac{|p_{zi}^u|}{E_i^u} f_i^u(p_i^u) \bigg\} \end{split}$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

$$D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0$$
$$D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_L j_\nu \partial_z \delta(z)$$

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $B \propto \Gamma_S v_W \xi_L$

$$j_{\nu} \rightarrow Y_B = \frac{B}{s(T_c)}$$







Bound on θ if avoiding the invisible width of the Z boson



E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez & J. Lopez-Pavon, arXiv: 1605.08774

Flavoured CP asymmetries





Strong GIM cancellation when summing over flavours

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $\frac{\Gamma_{\tau}}{T} \sim 0.28 \alpha_{V}$

Safe to neglect the wash-out with the τ

$$_W Y_\tau^2 \ll \frac{\Gamma_S}{T} = 9\kappa \alpha_W^5$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $\frac{\Gamma_{\tau}}{T} \sim 0.28 \alpha_{\rm W}$

Safe to neglect the wash-out with the τ

$$\frac{\Gamma_{N_{Ri}\nu_{L\alpha}}}{T} \sim \frac{1}{128\pi} \left(Y_t^2 + Y_b^2 \right) |(Y_{\nu})_{\alpha i}|^2 \sim 0.0024 |\theta_{\alpha i}|^2 \frac{2M_i^2}{v_H^2}$$

$$_W Y_\tau^2 \ll \frac{\Gamma_S}{T} = 9\kappa \alpha_W^5$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $\frac{\Gamma_{\tau}}{T} \sim 0.28 \alpha_{\rm W}$

Safe to neglect the wash-out with the τ

$$\frac{\Gamma_{N_{Ri}\nu_{L\alpha}}}{T} \sim \frac{1}{128\pi} \left(Y_t^2 + Y_b^2 \right) |(Y_\nu)_{\alpha i}|^2 \sim 0.0024 |\theta_{\alpha i}|^2 \frac{2M_i^2}{v_H^2}$$

$$M_i \gtrsim 200 \ GeV$$

$$_W Y_\tau^2 \ll \frac{\Gamma_S}{T} = 9\kappa \alpha_W^5$$

We need to include the wash-out from the RH neutrinos





- Breaking of GIM cancellation
- Introduction of N_R asymmetry, which diffuse more than ν_L

$$N_R \to \nu_L \to B$$









Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

- Avoid EDM constraints

Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

- Avoid EDM constraints
- Flavour effects play a crucial role in generating the correct BAU

Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

- Avoid EDM constraints
- Flavour effects play a crucial role in generating the correct BAU
- active neutrinos \rightarrow In reach for colliders

Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

• Explain the **BAU** with states with $M \sim 100 GeV$ which significantly mix with