Angular analysis of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-$ at LHCb

Felicia Volle

working with Yasmine Amhis, Carla Marín and Marie-Hélène Schune



First flavor day

October 27th, 2021







Why are rare decays interesting ?

 $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ transitions suppressed in Standard Model



Enhencement through New Physics contributions ?



Feynman diagrams are stolen from Yasmine

Only few measurements of rare b-baryon decays !

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Our favorite decay : $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$



Strong decay of $\Lambda^* \to pK^-$

		Overall		Statu	ıs as seen in —
Particle	J^P	status	$N\overline{K}$	$\Sigma \pi$	Other channels
A(1116)	$1/2^{+}$	****			$N\pi$ (weak decay)
$\Lambda(1380)$	$1/2^{-}$	**	**	**	
$\Lambda(1405)$	$1/2^{-}$	****	****	****	
$\Lambda(1520)$	$3/2^{-}$	****	****	****	$\Lambda \pi \pi, \Lambda \gamma$
$\Lambda(1600)$	$1/2^{+}$	****	***	****	$\Lambda \pi \pi, \Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1670)$	$1/2^{-}$	****	****	****	$\Lambda \eta$
$\Lambda(1690)$	$3/2^{-}$	****	****	***	$\Lambda \pi \pi, \Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1710)$	$1/2^{+}$	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(1800)$	$1/2^{-}$	***	***	**	$\Lambda \pi \pi, \Sigma(1385)\pi, N\overline{K}^*$
$\Lambda(1810)$	$1/2^{+}$	***	**	**	$N\overline{K}_2^*$
$\Lambda(1820)$	$5/2^{+}$	****	****	****	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1830)$	$5/2^{-}$	****	****	****	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1890)$	$3/2^{+}$	****	****	**	$\Sigma(1385)\pi, N\overline{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2000)$	$1/2^{-}$	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2050)$	$3/2^{-}$	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2070)$	$3/2^{+}$	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2080)$	$5/2^{-}$	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2085)$	$7/2^{+}$	**	**	*	
$\Lambda(2100)$	$7/2^{-}$	****	****	**	$N\overline{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2110)$	$5/2^{+}$	***	**	**	$N\overline{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2325)$	$3/2^{-}$	*	*		
A(2350)	$9/2^{+}$	***	***	*	
A(2585)		*	*		

Rich Λ^* spectrum

How does the pK^- spectrum look like ?



 pK^- spectrum using Run 1 + 2016 data on the upper left. Statistically limited.

Higher statistics via tree-level diagram of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \rho K^- J/\psi$ on lower left.



Idea is focusing on dominating $\Lambda(1520)$ resonance.

Selection of the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\mu^+\mu^-$ decay

Distinguish rare mode from $c\bar{c}$ resonances via $q^2 = (2m_\ell)^2$:



The rare mode is sensitive to New Physics !

Decay topology :



Detached Λ_b decay vertex (secondary vertex), where all the traces come from.

Decay description



 $(\theta_{\ell}, \theta_{p}, \phi)$ in helicity basis



$$egin{aligned} dec \Omega &= d\cos heta_\ell d\cos heta_
ho d\phi \ rac{d^4\Gamma}{dq^2dec \Omega} &= \sum_i ext{physics}_i imes ext{kinematics}_i \ &= rac{9\pi}{32}\sum_i L_i(q^2,\mathcal{C},ff) imes f_i(ec \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \text{Wilson Coefficients} \rightarrow \text{short distance} \\ \text{part} \rightarrow \text{sensitive to NP} \\ ff &= \text{form factors} \rightarrow \text{long distance part} \end{aligned}$

Observables :

$$S_i = rac{L_i + ar{L}_i}{d(\Gamma + ar{\Gamma})/dq^2}, A_i = rac{L_i - ar{L}_i}{d(\Gamma + ar{\Gamma})/dq^2}$$

Angular PDF of $\Lambda_{3/2}$ (i.e. $\Lambda(1520)$)

Simplifications :

- Heavy quark limit $(m_b \to \infty)$
- Normalization: $1/2S_{1cc} + S_{1ss} = 1$ $A_{FB,3/2}^{\ell} = 3/4S_{1c}$

$$\begin{split} L(q^2,\theta_\ell,\theta_{\Lambda^*},\phi) = & \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{d^4\Gamma}{dq^2d\cos\theta_\ell d\cos\theta_{\Lambda^*}d\phi} \\ = & \cos^2\theta_{\Lambda^*} \left(L_{1c}\cos\theta_\ell + L_{1cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + L_{1ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell \right) \\ & + & \sin^2\theta_{\Lambda^*} \left(L_{2c}\cos\theta_\ell + L_{2cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + L_{2ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell \right) \\ & + & \sin^2\theta_{\Lambda^*} \left(L_{3ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell\cos^2\phi + L_{4ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell\sin\phi\cos\phi \right) \\ & + & \sin\theta_{\Lambda^*}\cos\theta_{\Lambda^*}\cos\phi \left(L_{5s}\sin\theta_\ell + L_{5sc}\sin\theta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell \right) \\ & + & \sin\theta_{\Lambda^*}\cos\theta_{\Lambda^*}\sin\phi \left(L_{6s}\sin\theta_\ell + L_{6sc}\sin\theta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell \right), \end{split}$$

arXiv:1903.00448, arXiv:2005.09602

$$\begin{split} \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^2\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\rho\mathrm{d}\phi} &\simeq \frac{1}{4}\left(1+3\cos^2\theta_\rho\right)\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{2}S_{1cc}\right)\left(1-\cos^2\theta_\ell\right)\right.\\ &+ S_{1cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + \frac{4}{3}A^\ell_{FB,3/2}\cos\theta_\ell) \end{split}$$

Angular PDF is only dependent on $\cos \theta_{\ell}$ and $\cos \theta_{p}$.

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Angular PDF of $\Lambda_{1/2}$ (i.e. $\Lambda(1405)$, $\Lambda(1600)$, $\Lambda(1800)$)

which ca

Simplifications :

- Strong decay : $\alpha = 0$
- Over the set of the s

$$K(q^2, \cos \theta_\ell, \cos \theta_\Lambda, \phi) \equiv \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 \Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^2 \mathrm{d}\cos \theta_\ell \mathrm{d}\cos \theta_\Lambda \mathrm{d}\phi} ,$$

n be decomposed in terms of a set of trigonometric functions,
$$q^2, \cos \theta_\ell, \cos \theta_\Lambda, \phi) = (K_{1ss} \sin^2 \theta_\ell + K_{1cc} \cos^2 \theta_\ell + K_{1c} \cos \theta_\ell)$$

$$\begin{split} K(q^2,\cos\theta_\ell,\cos\theta_\Lambda,\phi) &= \left(K_{1ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell + K_{1cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + K_{1c}\cos\theta_\ell\right) \\ &+ \left(K_{2ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell + K_{2cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + K_{2c}\cos\theta_\ell\right)\cos\theta_\Lambda \\ &+ \left(K_{3sc}\sin\theta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell + K_{3s}\sin\theta_\ell\right)\sin\theta_\Lambda\sin\phi \\ &+ \left(K_{4sc}\sin\theta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell + K_{4s}\sin\theta_\ell\right)\sin\theta_\Lambda\cos\phi \,. \end{split}$$

arXiv:1410.2115

$$\begin{split} \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^2\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\rho\mathrm{d}\phi} &\simeq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\mathcal{K}_{1\mathrm{cc}}\right)\left(1-\cos^2\theta_\ell\right) + \mathcal{K}_{1\mathrm{cc}}\cos^2\theta_\ell \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{A}_{FB,1/2}^\ell\cos\theta_\ell \end{split}$$

Angular PDF is only dependent on $\cos \theta_{\ell}$.

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Total 3-dimensional PDF

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{physics}} &= f_{3/2} \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{angular}, 3/2} \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{mass}, 3/2} + (1 - f_{3/2}) \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{angular}, 1/2} \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{mass}, 1/2} \\ \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{physics}} &= \frac{f_{3/2}}{4} \left(1 + 3\cos^2 \theta_{\rho} \right) \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} S_{1cc} \right) \left(1 - \cos^2 \theta_{\ell} \right) \right. \\ &+ S_{1cc} \cos^2 \theta_{\ell} + \frac{4}{3} A_{FB, 3/2}^{\ell} \cos \theta_{\ell} \right) \times \mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{nrel}} (M_{\rho K}, M_{\Lambda^*}, W_{\Lambda^*}) \\ &+ \left(1 - f_{3/2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - K_{1cc} \right) \left(1 - \cos^2 \theta_{\ell} \right) + K_{1cc} \cos^2 \theta_{\ell} + \frac{2}{3} A_{FB, 1/2}^{\ell} \cos \theta_{\ell} \right) \\ &\times \mathsf{Polynomial}_{\mathsf{e2}} (M_{\rho K}, a_0, a_1, a_2) \end{aligned}$$

Interferences are neglected up to now.

Take into account the impact of the selection, described by PDF_{acceptance} :

 $\mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{total}} = \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{physics}} \times \mathsf{PDF}_{\mathsf{acceptance}}$

Acceptance of $\cos \theta_{\ell}$

Full selection and PID weights applied on phase-space $pK\mu\mu$ -MC. Legendre polynomials of **even orders up to 6** to describe acceptance of $\cos \theta_{\ell}$.



Good description, but not finalized yet.

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Pseudo-experiments

AIM : Is fit converging ? Are the observables biased ? How big are the uncertainties of the observables?

Nbr of toys: 1000 with number of events per toy from extrapolated yields

- Initial values: $f_{3/2} = 0.8$, $A_{FB,3/2}^{\ell}$ and S_{1cc}^{ℓ} from SM prediction, $A_{FB,1/2}^{\ell}$ and K_{1cc}^{ℓ} estimated
- Fit procedure: **(a)** Fit with $f_{3/2}$, $A_{FB,3/2}^{\ell}$, S_{1cc}^{ℓ} free-floating, $A_{FB,1/2}^{\ell}$, K_{1cc}^{ℓ} fixed **2** Refit with $f_{3/2}$, $A_{FB,3/2}^{\ell}$, S_{1cc}^{ℓ} , $A_{FB,1/2}^{\ell}$, K_{1cc}^{ℓ} free-floating
 - If fit 2 failed or the resulting fit value is close to observable boundary (< 0.0005) : reset values, fit again and keep result

Acceptances: angular ones included Interferences: neglected up to now

Toy with angular acceptance in below J/ψ 3 bin

Color code : PDF_{1/2}, PDF_{3/2}, PDF_{tot}



<u>3D fit</u> : M_{pK} important to separate $\Lambda_{3/2}$ and $\Lambda_{1/2}$ contributions



Pull distributions : Fit of observable seems unbiased. Studies ongoing.

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Conclusion and outlook

- *b*-anomalies studied mostly in rare meson decays \rightarrow confirmation in *b*-baryon decays ?
- Difficulty of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \rho K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ is the rich Λ^* spectrum and low statistics.
- Selection of process in place.
- Legendre polynomials describe acceptance of $\cos \theta_{\ell}$ and $\cos \theta_{p}$.
- 3 dimensional PDF including $\Lambda_{1/2}$ and $\Lambda_{3/2}$ components is constructed.
- First pseudo-experiment studies are presented.



Thank you for your attention !

Global fits to $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ transitions



- Muonic final states hint to New Physics contributions
- Electronic mode shows smaller effects
- Up to now, only poor constraints in $b o s au^+ au^-$

b-baryons provide complementary tests

Sensitivity study arXiv:2005.09602

- Yield extrapolated from R_{pK}
- Background neglected
- PDF = physics × acceptance
- Generate pseudo-experiments
- Fit with same PDF and free A^l_{FB} & S_{1cc}
- 10'000 times repeated per run period and q² bin





LHCb could start to be sensitive to New Physics with full Run 1+2, especially when theoretical uncertenties improve.

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Implementation of angular observables in flavio

- Implemented angular observables:
 - $\bigcirc d\Gamma/dq^2$
 - 2 A_{FB}, F_L
 - OP-averaged, CP-asymmetries
- Form factors from full Quark Model wave function arXiv:1108.6129
- Using 10% uncertainty on $f_{0,\perp,t}$ form factors and 30% on f_g as in arXiv:1903.00448
- In addition, LQCD form factors arXiv:2009.09313v3

Discrepancy between LQCD form factors and Quark model ones at high q^2 !



Exploring $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda^{*0} (\to pK^-) \ell^+ \ell^-$

Feynman diagram

Experimental status

 R_{pK^-} analysis



- ► $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\mu^+\mu^$ observation & CPV measurement arXiv:1703.00256
- ∧⁰_b → pK[−]e⁺e[−] observation JHEP 05 2020 (040)
- ► LFU test R_{pK}-JHEP 05 2020 (040)



Full angular PDF of $\Lambda_{3/2}$

Full angular PDF :

$$\begin{split} L(q^2, \theta_\ell, \theta_{\Lambda^*}, \phi) = & \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{d^4 \Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell d\cos\theta_{\Lambda^*} d\phi} \\ = & \cos^2\theta_{\Lambda^*} \left(L_{1c}\cos\theta_\ell + L_{1cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + L_{1ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell \right) \\ & + & \sin^2\theta_{\Lambda^*} \left(L_{2c}\cos\theta_\ell + L_{2cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + L_{2ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell \right) \\ & + & \sin^2\theta_{\Lambda^*} \left(L_{3ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell\cos^2\phi + L_{4ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell\sin\phi\cos\phi \right) \\ & + & \sin\theta_{\Lambda^*}\cos\theta_{\Lambda^*}\cos\phi(L_{5s}\sin\theta_\ell + L_{5sc}\sin\theta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell) \\ & + & \sin\theta_{\Lambda^*}\cos\theta_{\Lambda^*}\sin\phi(L_{6s}\sin\theta_\ell + L_{6sc}\sin\theta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell). \end{split}$$

Simplified PDF via Heavy Quark limit :

$$\frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{d^4\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell d\cos\theta_p d\phi} \simeq \frac{1}{4} (1 + 3\cos^2\theta_p) \left(L_{1c}\cos\theta_\ell + L_{1cc}\cos^2\theta_\ell + L_{1ss}\sin^2\theta_\ell \right)$$

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Stripping selection

Event	$n_{\rm PV} \ge 1$				
	hasMuon && isMoun				
	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 200 \mathrm{MeV}/c$				
μ	$\chi^2_{ m IP}>1$				
	$Prob_{track ghost} < 0.5$				
	$\chi^2_{ m vtx} < 16$				
J/ψ	DOCA $\chi^2 < 30$				
	$m < 5 \mathrm{GeV}/c^2$				
	ProbNNp > 0.05				
n	$p_{ m T}>300{ m MeV}/c$				
p	$\chi^2_{ m IP} > 4$				
	$\mathrm{Prob}_{\mathrm{track ghost}} < 0.4$				
	ProbNNk > 0.1				
K	$p_{ m T}>300{ m MeV}/c$				
n	$\chi^2_{ m IP} > 4$				
	$\mathrm{Prob}_{\mathrm{track ghost}} < 0.4$				
A (1520)*	$m < 5.6 { m GeV}/c^2$				
M(1020)	$\chi^2_{ m vtx} < 25$				
	$m \in (4.0, 6.8) \operatorname{GeV}/c^2$				
	$\chi^2_{ m vtx}/{ m ndf} < 25$				
Λ_b^0	DIRA > 0.999				
	$\chi^2_{ m IP} < 400$				
	Decay Length Significance $(BPVDLS) > 0$				
MVA selection	BDT > -0.11				

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)

Variables in BDTG training - now without $p_{T}(\mu)$

Rank	Variable	Variable Importance $[\times 10^{-2}]$
1	$\log(\chi^2_{ m DTF}/{ m ndf})$	7.613
2	$\log(H2_PT)$	7.075
3	$\log(H1_PT)$	6.786
4	log(X_LOKI_IPCHI2)	6.151
5	log(H1_LOKI_IPCHI2)	5.901
6	log(B_ENDVERTEX_CHI2)	5.730
7	log(Jpsi_FDCHI2_OWNPV)	5.423
8	log(H2_LOKI_IPCHI2)	5.377
9	$\log(B_PT)$	5.375
10	log(B_LOKI_IPCHI2)	5.169
11	log(L1_LOKI_IPCHI2)	5.166
12	log(L2_LOKI_IPCHI2)	4.982
13	$\log(L1_{\rm PT})$	4.814
14	log(Jpsi_LOKI_IPCHI2)	4.616
15	$\log(L2_{PT})$	4.482
16	$\log(acos(B_DIRA_OWNPV))$	4.295
17	$\log(B_FDCHI2_OWNPV)$	4.289
18	log(X_ENDVERTEX_CHI2)	3.549
19	log(Jpsi_ENDVERTEX_CHI2)	3.207

Selection

 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \mu^+ \mu^-$ Phase space MC samples of full Run1+2 Stripping : B2LLXBDT Lb2mumuPKLine Category : (Quasi-)Signal Lb BKGCAT < 10 (93%), Photon radiation 50 (7%) L0 : Muon or DiMuon (always TOS) HIt1: RUN1: TrackAllL0 or TrackMuon **RUN2: TrackMVA or TwoTrackMVA** HIt2: RUN1: Topo(2,3,4)Body or TopoMu(2,3,4)Body RUN2: Topo(2,3,4)BBDT or TopoMu(2,3,4)BBDT Preselection : RUN1: $\chi^2_{ndef}(\Lambda_b) < 100, p, K, \mu$'s in RICH, μ 'has muon', $P_T(p) > 250, P(p) > 9300, P_K > 2000, P_T(\mu) > 800,$ $P(\mu) > 3000, P_T(\Lambda_b) \in [1000, 25000] \text{ MeV/c}$ RUN2: same, besides $P_T(p) > 1000$, $P_T(\mu) > 200$ Bkg vetoes : $\phi(1020)$ veto $(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-)$, Overreco bkg $(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-)$ and $K^+ \leftrightarrow p$), D^0 veto $(\Lambda_b \rightarrow p D^0 \pi)$, J/ψ veto (MisID of $K^- \mu^+$) BDTG : reduce combinatorial bkg (wo $P_T(\mu)$) Λ_{b} mass : [5500, 5950] MeV/c² PID weights : √

Acceptance of $\cos \theta_p$

Full selection and PID weights applied on phase-space $pK\mu\mu$ -MC. Legendre polynomials of **up to order 4** to describe acceptance of $\cos \theta_p$.



Good description, but not finalized yet.

Felicia Volle (IJCLab Orsay)