# Deterministic Free-Propagating Photonic Qubits with Negative Wigner Functions

Valentin Magro, Julien Vaneecloo, Sebastien Garcia and Alexei Ourjoumtsev

Young Physics Teams of Collège de France





## A new experimental platform

Why making photons interact ? And How ?

- Photons can carry information as qubits without dephasing
- Need highly nonlinear medium



Single emitters:

• Photon extraction  $\eta_{cav}$  and cooperativity C:

•  $\eta_{cav} = \frac{\kappa_{\parallel}}{\kappa_{\parallel} + \kappa_{\perp}} = \frac{Transmission}{Transmission + Losses}$ •  $C = \frac{g^2}{2 \kappa \gamma} = \frac{Scattering \ cross \ section}{Beam \ cross \ section} \times \frac{1}{Transmission + Losses}$ 

• 
$$\eta_C = \frac{2C}{1+2C}$$
, mapping efficiency

- Technical limitations :
  - high reflectivity with low losses mirror
  - small volume cavity →less control on atom cavity coupling





• Rydberg atoms in free space





• Rydberg atoms in free space



- At resonance  $\Delta = 0$ , absorption
  - Photon Transistor
  - Photon sources
- Out of resonance  $\Delta \neq 0$ , dispersion
  - Photonic molecules
  - 2 photon gates





• Rydberg atoms in free space



- At resonance  $\Delta = 0$ , absorption
  - Photon Transistor
  - Photon sources
- Out of resonance  $\Delta \neq 0$ , dispersion
  - Photonic molecules
  - 2 photon gates
- Physical limitations:
  - Strong nonlinearity → Needs high optical density
  - $\rightarrow$  high atomic density  $\rightarrow$  losses

#### **Reviews:**

Murray & Pohl, AAMOP **65**, 321 (2016) Firstenberg, Adams & Hofferberth, J. Phys. B **49**, 152003 (2016) Wu &al, Chin. Phys. B **30**, 020305 (2021)





 $\langle r \rangle \propto a_0 n^{*2} \sim 1 \,\mu m!$ 

## Experimental platform



- Medium finesse cavity F = 600,  $\kappa = 3~\text{MHz}$ 
  - → easier to fabricate → extraction efficiency  $\eta_{cav} = 90 \%$
  - $\rightarrow$  cooperativity  $C \gg 1$
- With a large volume → optical access for atom trapping/cooling
- Easier and reproductible collective atom cavity coupling of g = 10 MHz
- Cloud size  $\sigma = 5 \ \mu m < R_b$
- Moderate density

#### Experimental platform

• Conditional  $\pi$  phase shift:



Julien Vaneecloo, Sébastien Garcia, and Alexei Ourjoumtsev Phys. Rev. X 12, 021034 – Published 11 May 2022

#### Deterministic generation of single photon

Superatom state  $\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|G\rangle - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|R\rangle$ 



Magro, Vaneecloo, Garcia et Ourjoumtsev. Deterministic freely propagating photonic qubits with negative Wigner functions. Nat. Photon. (2023)

#### Deterministic generation of single photon

Superatom state 
$$\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|G\rangle - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|R\rangle \Rightarrow$$
 Photonic state  $\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|0\rangle + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|1\rangle$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $|D\rangle = \cos(\beta)|G,1\rangle - \sin(\beta)|R,0\rangle \tan(\beta) = \frac{2g}{\Omega(t)}$ 



Magro, Vaneecloo, Garcia et Ourjoumtsev. Deterministic freely propagating photonic qubits with negative Wigner functions. Nat. Photon. (2023)



- Detail of efficiencies contributions:
  - $p_1 = 60 \pm 3$  % at  $\theta = \pi$
  - $\eta = \eta_C \eta_{cav} \eta_{exc} \eta_s = 62$  % at  $\theta = \pi$ 
    - $\eta_C = \frac{2C}{1+2C} = 93\%$ , mapping efficiency
    - $\eta_{cav} = 90$  %, extraction efficiency
    - $\eta_{exc} = 77 \ \%$  , excitation efficiency
    - $\eta_s = e^{-(t_s/\tau_s)^2} = 95 \%$ , with  $\tau_s = 2 \ \mu s$ ,  $t_s = 0,48 \ \mu s$



Magro, Vaneecloo, Garcia et Ourjoumtsev. Deterministic freely propagating photonic qubits with negative Wigner functions. Nat. Photon. (2023)

- Intensity autocorrelation measurement:
  - $g_2(0) = 0.027 \pm 0.002$
  - $p_2 = 0.49 \pm 0.05 \%$





## Homodyne tomography



$$t = \int \sqrt{\frac{I(t)}{\int I(t')dt'}} a_{out}(t) dt$$



Magro, Vaneecloo, Garcia et Ourjoumtsev. Deterministic freely propagating photonic qubits with negative Wigner functions. Nat. Photon. (2023) <sup>15</sup>

## Homodyne tomography



Quadrature distribution:  $P\left(\hat{X}(\phi)\right) = P\left(\frac{\hat{a} e^{i\phi} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}e^{-i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ 



Magro , Vaneecloo , Garcia et Ourjoumtsev. Deterministic freely propagating photonic qubits with negative Wigner functions. Nat. Photon. (2023)

## Field quadratures

Maximum squeezing of 4.4%

Pure dephasing  $\gamma_{\perp} = 40 \ kHz$ 

An alternative way for Ramsey spectroscopy



Magro, Vaneecloo, Garcia et Ourjoumtsev. Deterministic freely propagating photonic qubits with negative Wigner functions. Nat. Photon. (2023) <sup>17</sup>

### Perspectives

- Excite superatom more efficiently
- Two superatoms inside the cavity
  - CC-phase gate
  - Multi photons state
- Spatial cavity multimodes experiments
  - Quantum fluids of light (J.Simon, Chicago)



## Photonic team





jeipcdf.cnrs.fr/ quantum-photonics/

Alexei Ourjoumtsev, Pl

Julien Vaneecloo, Pasqual Sébastien Garcia, CNRS



Thanks for your attention !

