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## We (I) would like to measure PSA performance with source

Has been done using imaging techniques ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{2}$ F. Recchia et al. NIM A 604 (1) (2009) $60-63$

Contributions to image resolution


Extracted resolution


## We (I) would like to measure PSA performance with source

Reading about $\gamma$-ray tracking, I stumbled upon TANGO ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{a}$ S. Tashenov NIM A 622 (3) (2010) 592-601.
The energy of a $\gamma$ ray that has interacted at least twice in AGATA can be estimated using the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\gamma}=\frac{E_{1}}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{E_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{E_{1} m_{e} c^{2}}{\left(1-\cos \theta_{1}\right)}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By selecting good $1332 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$ rays (tracking or calorimetric) and using above formula I get an energy peak with a width that depends on the position resolution.

## We (I) would like to measure PSA performance with source

So lets try to use this to estimate PSA performance

## Extracted resolution

Energy distributions



AGATAGeFEM produces a good database but...

AGATAGeFEM


FEM based code with strong coupling to ROOT, geant4, and ADF.

Need to verify this using neutron damage corrections

- This is a bit tedious work. . .
- Calibrations...
- Tests. . .
- Calibrations...

But will be done at some point not to far in the future

What metric do we use for the moment?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { A sum like } \\
\sum_{i}\left(\left|y_{i}^{\text {exp }}-y_{i}^{\text {base }}\right|\right)^{0.3}
\end{gathered}
$$

This has been verified using both ADL and AGATAGeFEM (fig to the right) basis signals

## What is (might be) missing?

- As the noise has the same magnitude for all points, no $\Delta y$ in square sum
- But, what about $\Delta t\left(e . g . t_{0}\right)$ ?

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\text { Normal solution }}{y_{i}^{\text {exp }}-y_{i}^{\text {base }}}{ }^{\left(\Delta y_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d_{d t}^{b s e s e}}{d t}\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

Note, that noise level suddenly matters as it has a magnitude compared to error induced by $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ determination. Idea is to implement this metric in PSA and test. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]
## Continuation of a project that started 2021

- Try to use ML to count number of interactions in segments
- Idea was to use some kind of simple tracking that could correlate segment energies with number of interaction. . . fail
- Using energies together with pulse shapes was never done. Will be done now by D. Kovalenko (remote France-Ukraine grant).
(1) AGATA geant4 simulation gives $\gamma$-ray interactions AND energy deposition positions.
(2) AGATAGeFEM calculates pulse shapes from energy deposition positions.
(3) Gamma-ray interactions used to train NN to get \#interactions/segment.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Old news, see P Désesquelles et al 2009 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 36037001

