

# Higgs boson coupling measurements

**Tina Ojeda**, on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

> Higgs Hunting September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2023

#### Introduction

- LHC's third run recently started, most analyses with Run 2 data closing
- Full Run-2 dataset contains about 30 times more Higgs Bosons than its Run-1 counterpart
  - Precise measurement of Higgs production cross-sections and decay rates
    - Observation of all main LHC production processes: ggF, VBF, WH, ZH,  $t\bar{t}H + tH$
    - Increased precision on  $H \to \gamma \gamma$ , ZZ,  $W^{\pm}W^{\mp}$ ,  $\tau^{+}\tau^{-}$ , observation of  $H \to b\bar{b}$ ,  $\geq 2\sigma$  on  $H \to \mu\mu$ , >  $3\sigma$  on  $H \to Z\gamma$
  - Interpretation of results in terms of couplings to SM particles (*k* framework) including self-coupling
  - Study of kinematic properties of Higgs production processes (STXS framework) differential cross-sections not included today
- In most cases results improved by much more than expected from increase in luminosity between Run
   1 and Run 2!
  - Improvements in all areas: objects, analysis design, machine learning, theory predictions, ...
- Most results can be found in <u>Nature 607, 52-59 (2022)</u>, unless specified
  - Note: auxiliary plots and more details (NLL scans, correlation matrices, exact values, etc.) made available on <u>HEPData</u>

# Input analyses/method

Almost all measurements updated with full	$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	ggF, VBF, $WH$ , $ZH$ , $t\bar{t}H$ , $tH$	139
	$H \rightarrow ZZ$	ggF, VBF, $WH + ZH$ , $t\bar{t}H + tH$	139
Run-2 dataset		$t\bar{t}H + tH$ (multilepton)	36.
A few measurements excluded from some	$H \rightarrow WW$	ggF, VBF	139
		WH, ZH	36.
results due to their limited sensitivity		$t\bar{t}H + tH$ (multilepton)	36.
• $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ boosted only in STXS	$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	inclusive	139
• $V(H \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\mp})$ $t\bar{t}(H \rightarrow multilepton)$	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$	WH, ZH	139
$(11 \times 10^{\circ}), tt(11 \times 11000000),$		VBF	126
$H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ , $Z\gamma$ in all but STXS		$t\overline{t}H + tH$	139
		inclusive	139
• $H \rightarrow \text{inv}$ only in $\kappa$ with $B_{\text{inv.}}, B_{\text{u.}}$	$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$	ggF, VBF, $WH + ZH$ , $t\bar{t}H + tH$	139
• $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ only in $\kappa_c$ measurement		$t\bar{t}H + tH$ (multilepton)	36.
	$H \rightarrow \mu \mu$	$ggF + t\bar{t}H + tH$ , VBF + WH + ZH	139
	$H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$	WH + ZH	139
	$H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$	VBF	139
			100

Decay mode

Targeted production processes

 $\mathcal{L}$  [fb<sup>-1</sup>]

139 36.1

ZH 139

36.1

36.1

36.1

Combination of results at likelihood level 

- Systematic uncertainties correlated where possible
- Overlap between analysis regions is either negligible or has been shown to have a very limited impact on the results

# Higgs signal strength

- Considering all production and decay modes together:
  - $\mu = \frac{\sigma_{H, \text{ obs}}}{\sigma_{H, \text{ SM}}} = 1.05 \pm 0.06 = 1.05 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (sig.th.)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (bkg.th.)}$ 
    - Experimental and theory uncertainties reduced by a factor of  $\approx 2$  wrt Run 1 result
  - Precision: 6%



#### Production cross sections

#### Production cross sections:

Branching ratios are assumed to be SM-like when combining processes/measurements



- Updated measurements:
  - ggF now at precision of 7%
  - VBF now at precision of 12%
- New milestones in Run 2:
  - WH, ZH, ttH + tH now observed with 5.8σ (5.1σ), 5.0σ (5.5σ),
    6.4σ (6.6σ)
- Rare production mode:
  - Upper limit on *tH* of 15(7) × SM at 95% CL
    - Strong correlation with  $t\bar{t}H$

measurement

#### STXS framework

- Split phase space of Higgs production processes into 36 kinematic regions
  - Defined by kinematics of Higgs Boson and of associated jets, W, Z bosons where relevant
  - Branching ratios and kinematics of Higgs Boson decays are assumed to be SM-like
  - All values available on HEPData



# Decay branching ratios

#### Branching ratios:

- Production cross sections are assumed to be SM-like when combining processes/measurements
- Updated measurements:
  - $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, ZZ, W^{\pm}W^{\mp}, \tau^{+}\tau^{-}$  now all at precisions between 10% and 12%
- New milestones in Run 2:
  - $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  now observed with 7.0 $\sigma$  (7.7 $\sigma$ )
- Rare decay modes:
  - $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ ,  $Z\gamma$  with significances of 2.0 $\sigma$  (1.7 $\sigma$ ), 2.3 $\sigma$  (1.1 $\sigma$ )



#### $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$

ATLAS-CONF-2023-025; CMS-PAS-HIG-23-002

- New (preliminary) results from a combination of ATLAS and CMS
  - Signal strength  $\mu_{H \to Z\gamma} = \frac{\sigma_{obs}}{\sigma_{SM}} (H \to Z\gamma) = 2.2 \pm 0.7$ , or branching ratio of  $(3.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ 
    - Observed significance of  $3.4\sigma$
    - SM compatibility (*p*-value) 6%



- Simultaneous fit of many individual measurements
  - Cross section and branching ratio measurements re-parametrised
    - κ modifiers: affect strength Higgs Boson couplings (not structure), also included in Higgs width calculation
    - Set  $\kappa_s = \kappa_b$ , SM values assumed for firstgeneration fermions
  - Different setups with varying levels of model assumptions:
    - $\kappa_V$  VS  $\kappa_F$
    - $\kappa_W, \kappa_Z, \kappa_t, \kappa_b, \kappa_c, \kappa_\tau, \kappa_\mu$
    - $\kappa_W, \kappa_Z, \kappa_t, \kappa_b, \kappa_c, \kappa_\tau, \kappa_\mu, \kappa_g, \kappa_\gamma, \kappa_{Z\gamma}$  (+ with  $B_{\text{inv.}}, B_{\text{u.}}$ )

Production	Loops	Interference	Effective	Resolved modifier
(ggF)	.(	t_h		$1.04 v^2 + 0.002 v^2 - 0.04 v_1 v_1$
$\sigma(\text{ygr})$	v	1-0	∧ <sub>g</sub>	$1.04 \kappa_t + 0.002 \kappa_b = 0.04 \kappa_t \kappa_b$
$O(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I})$	-	-	-	$0.73 k_W + 0.27 k_Z$
$o(qq/qg \rightarrow ZH)$	-	-	-	$\mathbf{k}_{Z}$
$\sigma(gg \rightarrow ZH)$	$\checkmark$	t–Z	K(ggZH)	$2.46 \kappa_Z^2 + 0.46 \kappa_t^2 - 1.90 \kappa_Z \kappa_t$
$\sigma(WH)$	-	-	-	$\kappa_W^2$
$\sigma(t\bar{t}H)$	-	-	-	$\kappa_t^2$
$\sigma(tHW)$	-	t-W	-	$2.91 \kappa_t^2 + 2.31 \kappa_W^2 - 4.22 \kappa_t \kappa_W$
$\sigma(tHq)$	-	t-W	-	$2.63 \kappa_t^2 + 3.58 \kappa_W^2 - 5.21 \kappa_t \kappa_W$
$\sigma(b\bar{b}H)$	-	-	-	$\kappa_b^2$
Partial decay width	ı			
$\Gamma^{bb}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_{h}^{2}$
$\Gamma^{WW}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_W^2$
$\Gamma^{gg}$	$\checkmark$	t-b	$\kappa_g^2$	$1.11 \kappa_t^2 + 0.01 \kappa_b^2 - 0.12 \kappa_t \kappa_b$
$\Gamma^{\tau\tau}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_{\tau}^2$
$\Gamma^{ZZ}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_Z^2$
$\Gamma^{cc}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_c^2 (= \kappa_t^2)$
$\Gamma^{\gamma\gamma}$	$\checkmark$	t-W	$\kappa_{\gamma}^2$	$1.59 \kappa_W^2 + 0.07 \kappa_t^2 - 0.67 \kappa_W \kappa_t$
$\Gamma^{Z\gamma}$	$\checkmark$	t-W	$\kappa^2_{(Z\gamma)}$	$1.12 \kappa_W^2 - 0.12 \kappa_W \kappa_t$
$\Gamma^{ss}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_s^2 (= \kappa_b^2)$
$\Gamma^{\mu\mu}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_{\mu}^2$
Total width ( $B_{inv} =$	$B_{undet} =$	0)		
				$0.58 \kappa_b^2 + 0.22 \kappa_W^2$
				$+0.08 \kappa_g^2 + 0.06 \kappa_\tau^2$
$\Gamma_H$	$\checkmark$	-	$\kappa_H^2$	$+0.03 \kappa_Z^2 + 0.03 \kappa_c^2$
				$+0.0023 \kappa_{\gamma}^2 + 0.0015 \kappa_{(Z\gamma)}^2$
				$+0.0004 \kappa_s^2 + 0.00022 \kappa_\mu^2$

- $\kappa_V$  vs  $\kappa_F$ : one scale factor for vector bosons and one for fermions
  - Loop processes resolved according to the SM particles that contribute to them
  - SM compatibility (p-value): 14%



- $\kappa_W, \kappa_Z, \kappa_t, \kappa_b, \kappa_c, \kappa_\tau, \kappa_\mu$ :
  - All  $\kappa$  modifiers assumed to be positive
  - Only SM particles in loop processes
  - No invisible or undetected non-SM Higgs decays
  - Two setups: with and without  $\kappa_c$  to cope with low sensitivity
    - Upper limit on  $\kappa_c$  of 5.7(7.6)  $\times$  SM at 95% CL
  - <u>Coupling measurements:</u>
    - Fermions (t, b, τ): precision between 7% and 12%
      - Muon: precision of 37%
    - Vector bosons (W, Z): precision of 5-6%





- Another new (preliminary) result
- VBF WH production process provides sensitivity to the sign between  $\kappa_W$  and  $\kappa_Z$ 
  - Two main processes interfere constructively (destructively) if  $\kappa_W$  and  $\kappa_Z$  have opposite (same) signs
  - Cross section increases by ~6x for opposite sign contributions





- Another new (preliminary) result
- VBF WH production process provides sensitivity to the sign between  $\kappa_W$  and  $\kappa_Z$ 
  - Recall that in previous result, all coupling modifiers are *assumed* to be positive!
  - Opposite sign coupling excluded with significance  $> 8.0\sigma$



- $\kappa_W, \kappa_Z, \kappa_t, \kappa_b, \kappa_c, \kappa_\tau, \kappa_\mu, \kappa_g, \kappa_\gamma, \kappa_{Z\gamma}$  (+ with  $B_{\text{inv.}}, B_{\text{u.}}$ ):
  - Similar to previous setup with this time allowing for non-SM particles in loop processes
    - Effective coupling strengths for  $\kappa_g$ ,  $\kappa_\gamma$ ,  $\kappa_{Z\gamma}$
    - Does not include combined  $H \to Z\gamma$  result or the  $\kappa_W/\kappa_Z$  relative sign result
  - $\kappa_t$  allowed to be negative
  - Two setups: with and without invisible and undetected non-SM Higgs decays
    - Impacts the width
  - Upper limits on B<sub>inv.</sub> and B<sub>u.</sub> of 0.13 (0.08) and 0.12 (0.21) at 95% CL
    - Limit of 0.107 (0.077) on B<sub>inv.</sub> from updated results in combination of dedicated searches [arXiv:2301.10731]



# **Couplings: self-coupling**

- More information about *HH* in a dedicated talk
  - Combination of H and HH results allows to relax assumptions in the constraints on κ<sub>λ</sub> (e.g. about the strength of κ<sub>t</sub>)



Combination assumption	Obs. 95% CL	Exp. 95% CL	Obs. value $^{+1\sigma}_{-1\sigma}$
HH combination	$-0.6 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 6.6$	$-2.1 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 7.8$	$\kappa_{\lambda} = 3.1^{+1.9}_{-2.0}$
Single-H combination	$-4.0 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 10.3$	$-5.2 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 11.5$	$\kappa_{\lambda} = 2.5^{+4.6}_{-3.9}$
HH+H combination	$-0.4 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 6.3$	$-1.9 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 7.6$	$\kappa_{\lambda} = 3.0^{+1.8}_{-1.9}$
<i>HH</i> + <i>H</i> combination, $\kappa_t$ floating	$-0.4 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 6.3$	$-1.9 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 7.6$	$\kappa_{\lambda} = 3.0^{+1.8}_{-1.9}$
<i>HH</i> + <i>H</i> combination, $\kappa_t$ , $\kappa_V$ , $\kappa_b$ , $\kappa_\tau$ floating	$-1.4 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 6.1$	$-2.2 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 7.7$	$\kappa_{\lambda} = 2.3^{+2.1}_{-2.0}$

# **Conclusion (Run 2)**

- A lot of measurements have been performed by the ATLAS collaboration, with confirmation that the (coupling) properties of the Higgs Boson show excellent agreement with the SM predictions
  - All main production modes have been observed
  - Hints of rare Higgs decays have been seen
    - New results in combination from CMS shows evidence for the  $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$  decay
  - Couplings to vector bosons and heavy fermions have been measured with precisions of 5% and 7-12% respectively
    - Opposite sign of coupling to W and Z bosons excluded with  $> 8.0\sigma$
  - Higgs self-coupling constraints from combined H + HH results, with less assumptions
  - Kinematic dependence of production cross sections has been studied across a wide range of phase space and across several orders of magnitude (STXS)
    - Differential measurements also available in a combination of  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$  and  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ [arXiv:2207.08615] and in  $H \rightarrow WW^*$  [arXiv:2304.03053, arXiv:2301.06822] — not shown here

#### **Conclusion (Run 3)**



- First measurement(s) in Run 3 already available, more to come!
  - Dedicated talk later today



# Backup

#### **Production & decay**

Cross sections x branching ratios:

- Measurements for all available cross sections and branching ratios
- Assumptions from previous measurements relaxed



### Kappa ratios

