





## Higgs triplets at the LHC

Higgs Hunting 2023 September 12<sup>th</sup> - Paris

Guglielmo Coloretti University of Zurich and Paul Scherrer Institut

### Introduction

### Motivations:

- *W*-mass (3.7 $\sigma$  tension)
- Narrow resonances  $(\gamma\gamma, WW, \tau\tau, Z + bb)$ at 95 and 152 GeV (3.8 $\sigma$  and 4.9 $\sigma$ ) (2306.17209)
- Multi-lepton anomalies (2109.06065): deviations from SM in processes with *W*-like signature
  - 1.  $t\bar{t}W$ , 4t, Wh, WWW
  - 2. Hints for low mass *WW* resonances ( $\geq 2\sigma$ )
  - 3. Tension in  $t\bar{t}$  differential distributions ( $\geq 5.8\sigma$ )

Real  $SU(2)_L$  scalar triplet

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^0 & \sqrt{2}\delta^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\delta^- & -\delta^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

New physical fields :

- CP-even scalar H
- Charged scalar  $H^{\pm}$

Parameters :

- $\alpha$ : mixing angle with SM-higgs
- $v_\Delta$ : vev of  $\delta^0$

### WW analysis

- No dedicated BSM search for a resonance (*H*) decaying to WW (i.e.  $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ ) with full luminosity and scanning down to 90 GeV for  $m_H$
- CMS (2206.09466) and ATLAS (2207.00338) analyses available for SM Higgs (135 fb<sup>-1</sup>)



- Re-cast CMS and ATLAS SM Higgs analyses to search **for new scalars**
- Simulation with MadGraph5 (Pythia8, Delphes3)



- ➢ 0-jet
  - Different flavour opposite sign lepton pair

### Guglielmo Coloretti

### WW results

2302.07276 (Coloretti, Crivellin, Bhattacharya, Mellado)

• Observed limit is weaker than expected over the whole mass range (**preference for BSM**  $\geq 2\sigma$ ) in line with the  $\gamma\gamma$  indications for resonances at **95 and 152 GeV** 



Guglielmo Coloretti

### $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ differential distributions

- Several distributions analyzed for the lepton pair
- We focus on the invariant mass  $m^{e\mu}$



*"No model can describe all measured distributions within their uncertainties." ATLAS 2303.1534* 

### Mismodelling of SM at the LHC or new physics effects?

The binning for low values of  $m^{e\mu}$ is relatively thin. For the sake of visibility, we will display the data with equal size for all bins

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

### NP in $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ differential distribution



2308.07953 (Banik, Coloretti, Crivellin, Mellado)

- NP must have  $t\bar{t}$ -like ( $WWl\bar{l}$ ) signature
- Masses of S and S' fixed by the hints for
   152 and 95 GeV resonances (respectively)
- Mass of *H* large enough to produce *S* and *S*' on-shell (**no effects by varying** *m<sub>H</sub>* between 250-320 GeV)

- 1. *H*(270):
  - $SU(2)_L$  doublet
- 2. *S*′(95):
  - $SU(2)_L$  real singlet
  - Mainly decaying to  $b\overline{b}$
  - Could explain  $\gamma\gamma$  signal

3. *S*(152):

- $SU(2)_L$  real triplet (Y = 0)
- Mainly decaying to WW
- Natural explanation of W mass anomaly if neutral component acquires a small vacuum expectation value  $v_{\Delta} \approx O(1 \text{GeV})$

### $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ : results

### ATLAS generated $t\bar{t}$ samples with several different matrix element generators, parton shower, and fragmentation simulation



Since the differential distributions are **normalized to the total** cross section  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow t\bar{t})$ ,  $m^{e\mu}$  distribution is only sensitive to the shape of NP

ightarrow NP hypothesis is preferred over the SM by  $\geq$  5.8 $\sigma$ 

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

#### Higgs Hunting - Paris '23

--- Average (SM)

### $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ and $S'(95) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

- Assumptions: S(152) is a triplet and S'(95) is a singlet in the decay chain  $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow S(152) S'(95) \rightarrow WWb\overline{b}$
- Red is preferred region
   from the *tt* differential distributions
- Blue is preferred region from the γγ signal strength at 95 GeV
- The regions nicely overlaps



### Higgs Hunting - Paris '23

7

### Triplet $H(95) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : results

2306.15722 (Ashanujjaman, Banik, Coloretti, Crivellin, Mellado, Mulaudzi)

### **Constraints:**

- Br[ $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma / ZZ$ ]
- Perturbative unitarity
- Vacuum stability

### Hints for 95 GeV scalar:

- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  (CMS and ATLAS)
- $Z + (H \rightarrow b\overline{b})$  (LEP)
- Wmass



Since effects in W mass are small,  $v_{\Delta}$  are required to be small  $v_{\Delta} \approx O(1 \text{GeV})$ , thus a small mass splitting  $m_{H^{\pm}} \approx m_{H} \approx 95 \text{ GeV}$ 

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

### Triplet $H^{\pm}(95) \rightarrow \tau \nu$ : stau searches

- Drell-Yan production  $pp \rightarrow H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu$  has same signature as stau decays
- $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu)$  borderline with existent CMS and ATLAS stau searches limits



- Although  $m_{H^{\pm}} \approx m_{H}$ , the maximum mass splitting is  $\approx 4(v_{\Delta}/v_{SM})^{2}$
- This opens the channel  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow HW^*$  and reduces the branching ratios of  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu$
- Alternative solution: Vector Like Quarks to enhance  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cs$

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

### **Conclusions**

- Electro-weak scale NP poorly constrained by the LHC
- Several hints motivate existence of new scalars at 95 and 152 GeV
- Real  $SU(2)_L$  scalar triplet can naturally explain W mass excess



- Triplet at 152 GeV
- > WW excess
- *tt* differential distribution anomaly with
  - $H \rightarrow S(152) S'(95) \rightarrow WWb\overline{b}$
- Resonant γγ signal (95 GeV) if
   S(152) is a triplet and S'(95)
   is a singlet
- Emergence of a model with multiple scalars in a singlet(95)doublet(125)-doublet(270)-triplet(150) pattern (work in progress...)

# Thanks for your attention!

**Back-up slides** 

### Multi-lepton anomalies: summary

### (2109.06065)

Final state	Characteristics	SM backgrounds	Significance
$\ell^+\ell^-$ + $(b-jets)^{51,54,55}$	$m_{\ell\ell} < 100 \text{GeV},  (1b, 2b)$	$t\bar{t},Wt$	$> 5\sigma$
$\ell^+\ell^-$ +(no jet) <sup>50, 56</sup>	$m_{\ell\ell} < 100{ m GeV}$	$W^+W^-$	$\approx 3\sigma$
$\ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm}, 3\ell + b$ -jets <sup>53, 57, 58</sup>	Moderate $H_T$	$tar{t}W^{\pm},tar{t}tar{t}$	$> 3\sigma$
$\ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm}, 3\ell, (\text{no } b\text{-jet})^{52, 59, 60}$	In association with h	$W^{\pm}h(125), WWW$	$\gtrsim 4\sigma$
$Z(\rightarrow \ell \ell)\ell$ , (no <i>b</i> -jet) <sup>51,61</sup>	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}} < 100\mathrm{GeV}$	$ZW^{\pm}$	$> 3\sigma$

• Summary of all channels with multi-lepton anomalies

•  $\ell$  being a muon or an electron

### Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

### 95 and 152 excess: summary



 The p-values of the individual high mass channels as well as their combination, both including and excluding the μe signal

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

#### Back-up

### SM WW searches: ATLAS 2207.00338



- ATLAS reports the postfit data
- Only SM contribution is rescaled by a factor of 1.21

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

### SM WW searches: CMS 2206.09466



CMS performs a simultaneous fit of SM+background

Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

### Simulation

HEP tools: MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO (Pythia8, Delphes)

### Limitations of fast simulation

- SM-simulation VS ATLAS one
- Smearing and shifts
- Corrected for efficiency (energy dependence)
- Corrected for QCD NNLO effect in production cross section

### Checks over SM-samples: ATLAS full-simulation VS MG5 fastsimulation



#### Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

### Uncertainties

### ATLAS

- ATLAS scaled SM theory prediction by 1.21
- Strong anti-correlations among the different background signals (including the SM Higgs)
- Mis-Id background is least correlated and the total uncertainty matches total one

→ Mis-Id uncertainty chosen as the total experimental systematic uncertainty

Theory uncertainty (systematic):
 7% uncertainty on the SM Higgs signal

### CMS

 CMS uses a combined fit to signal and background to account for systematic uncertainties

 $\rightarrow$  re-fit background (including SM signal) when including new physics

Theory uncertainty (systematic):
 7% uncertainty on the SM Higgs signal

### Systematics uncertainties correlations included

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

#### Back-up

### **Drell-Yan production**

- Drell-Yan production leading to the γγ excess (in addition to gluon fusion (GF) via mixing with the SM higgs)
- Br $[H o \gamma \gamma]$  sizable as a function of the mixing CP-even angle  $\alpha$  and the mass splitting  $H^{\pm} - H$
- Although H → γγ produced in association with H<sup>±</sup> → jets, the signal does not fall in the vector boson fusion (VBF) category (due to the angular distributions of the jets)



#### Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

### Predictions for $p_T$ of $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- *H* produced in association with  $H^{\pm}$ :  $pp \rightarrow H^{\pm} (H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
- $p_T$  spectrum not gluon fusion (GF) – like:  $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- $p_T$  spectrum not VH like:

 $pp \rightarrow V (H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ 



- Model built at NLO in QCD with Feynrules
- Signals generated at NLO in QCD via MadGraph5 with CMS cuts
- Shape of  $p_T$  of the photon pair with strong predictivity

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

#### Back-up

### FCCC mediated by $H^{\pm}$

- Coupling of Δ to fermions happens only via mixing with the SM higgs doublet
- Couplings of  $H^{\pm}$  to fermions are proportional to  $Sin(\epsilon) \approx \frac{v_{\Delta}}{\sqrt{v_{\Delta}^2 + v_{SM}^2}}$ 
  - with  $\epsilon$  being the mixing angle among the charged component of the triplet and the SM charged Goldstone boson

• Since  $v_{\Delta}$  is small ( $m_W$  only slightly enhanced), effects related to FCCC mediated by  $H^{\pm}$  are negligible

Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

### Reduction of $Br[H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu]$

- Although  $m_{H^{\pm}} \approx m_{H}$ , opening of the channel  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow HW^{*}$
- Reducing the decay rate  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu$
- Alternative solution: Vector Like Quarks to enhance  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cs$



Guglielmo Coloretti

Back-up

 $tt: |\Delta \varphi|$ 



Back-up

 $\rightarrow$  in average, NP hypothesis is preferred over the SM by 10.4 $\sigma$ 

#### Higgs Hunting - Paris '23

--- Average (SM)

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

### $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} : |\Delta \phi|, m^{e\mu}$ and combination

	$m^{e\mu}$			$\Delta \phi^{e\mu}$			$m^{e\mu} + \Delta \phi^{e\mu}$						
	$\chi^2_{ m SM}$	$\chi^2_{ m NP}$	$\sigma_{ m NP}$	Sig.	$\chi^2_{ m SM}$	$\chi^2_{ m NP}$	$\sigma_{ m NP}$	Sig.	$\chi^2_{ m SM}$	$\chi^2_{ m NP}$	$\sigma_{ m NP}$	Sig.	$m_S[{ m GeV}]$
Powheg+Pyhtia8	146	50	10pb	$9.8\sigma$	183	73	11pb	$10.5\sigma$	213	102	$9\mathrm{pb}$	$10.5\sigma$	143 - 156
aMC@NLO+Herwig7.1.3	31	13	$4\mathrm{pb}$	$4.2\sigma$	96	38	$8\mathrm{pb}$	$7.6\sigma$	102	68	$5\mathrm{pb}$	$5.8\sigma$	
aMC@NLO+Pythia8	89	14	$9\mathrm{pb}$	$8.7\sigma$	277	83	$15 \mathrm{pb}$	$14.0\sigma$	291	163	$10 \mathrm{pb}$	$11.3\sigma$	148-157
Powheg+Herwig7.1.3	138	32	$10 \mathrm{pb}$	$10.3\sigma$	245	93	$13 \mathrm{pb}$	$12.3\sigma$	261	126	$10 \mathrm{pb}$	$11.6\sigma$	149 - 156
Powheg+Pythia8 (rew)	40	12	$5\mathrm{pb}$	$5.3\sigma$	54	26	$6\mathrm{pb}$	$5.3\sigma$	69	35	$5\mathrm{pb}$	$5.8\sigma$	
Powheg+Herwig7.0.4	186	41	$12 \mathrm{pb}$	$12.0\sigma$	263	99	14pb	$12.8\sigma$	294	126	$12 \mathrm{pb}$	$13.0\sigma$	149-156
Average	93	23	8pb	$8.4\sigma$	172	63	11pb	$10.4\sigma$	182	88	9pb	$9.6\sigma$	143-157

#### Guglielmo Coloretti

#### Back-up