New developments in brain imaging, why and how?

Renaud Jolivet, PhD

Colloquium at IJCLab Orsay, France 18 Apr 2023









Jolivet *et al.*, *PLOS Comput Biol* 2015 Coggan *et al.*, *Front Neurosci* 2018



A novel modality to investigate brain activity?







1. Production of ^{129,131,133}mXe





1. Production of ^{129,131,133}mXe

2. Hyperpolarisation of mXe via SEOP (Spin Exchange Optical Pumping)





1. Production of ^{129,131,133}mXe

2. Hyperpolarisation of mXe via SEOP (Spin Exchange Optical Pumping)

😭 RS2D 🏽 🏦 Inspiralia

3. Inhalation by test subject

UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE







- 1. Production of ^{129,131,133}mXe
- 2. Hyperpolarisation of mXe via SEOP (Spin Exchange Optical Pumping)
- 3. Inhalation by test subject
- 4. Detection of emitted gammas and image reconstruction

 Production of ^{129m}Xe and ^{131m}Xe via neutron activation of ¹²⁸Xe and ¹³⁰Xe at the ILL and MARIA high-flux reactors (paper submitted soon)

- Production of ^{129m}Xe and ^{131m}Xe via neutron activation of ¹²⁸Xe and ¹³⁰Xe at the ILL and MARIA high-flux reactors (paper submitted soon)
- Production at ISOLDE / MEDICIS (CERN)

- Production of ^{129m}Xe and ^{131m}Xe via neutron activation of ¹²⁸Xe and ¹³⁰Xe at the ILL and MARIA high-flux reactors (paper submitted soon)
- Production at ISOLDE / MEDICIS (CERN)
- From the decay of Na¹³¹I

Production of mXe from I (simulations)



Production of mXe from I (experiments)

ID	Measured ¹³¹ I Activity at Delivery [MBq] *	Time <i>T</i> between EOM and Delivery [Days]	131 I Transfer Rate $ ho$	Determined 131m Xe Activity at EOC (Given ρ and α) [kBq]	Measured ^{131m} Xe Activity at EOC [kBq] *	Efficiency of ^{131m} Xe Collection
1	49.0(5)	6	64(1)%	119(4)	99(2)	83(3)%
2	51.1(6)	2	85(2)%	149(5)	131(4)	88(3)%
3	47.5(4)	22	96(2)%	240(7)	204(1)	85(3)%

*—measured with Canberra n-type XtRa detector, model GX6020. EOM—end of manufacturing of ¹³¹I capsule. EOC—end of collection of ^{131m}Xe. ρ —the transfer rate of ¹³¹I from the capsule to the vial. α —the determined release rate of ^{131m}Xe at ambient conditions.

Production of mXe from I (experiments)

ID	Measured ¹³¹ I Activity at Delivery [MBq] *	Time <i>T</i> between EOM and Delivery [Days]	131 I Transfer Rate $ ho$	Determined 131m Xe Activity at EOC (Given ρ and α) [kBq]	Measured ^{131m} Xe Activity at EOC [kBq] *	Efficiency of ^{131m} Xe Collection
1	49.0(5)	6	64(1)%	119(4)	99(2)	83(3)%
2	51.1(6)	2	85(2)%	149(5)	131(4)	88(3)%
3	47.5(4)	22	96(2)%	240(7)	204(1)	85(3)%

*—measured with Canberra n-type XtRa detector, model GX6020. EOM—end of manufacturing of ¹³¹I capsule. EOC—end of collection of ^{131m}Xe. ρ —the transfer rate of ¹³¹I from the capsule to the vial. α —the determined release rate of ^{131m}Xe at ambient conditions.







 Prototype finished and delivered (soon) to Geneva.



- Prototype finished and delivered (soon) to Geneva.
- Prototype to be re-assembled, tested and calibrated in 2023



- Prototype finished and delivered (soon) to Geneva.
- Prototype to be re-assembled, tested and calibrated in 2023
- First in vivo experiments in 2024



- Prototype finished and delivered (soon) to Geneva.
- Prototype to be re-assembled, tested and calibrated in 2023
- First in vivo experiments in 2024
- Target organ: brain, but others possible



- Prototype finished and delivered (soon) to Geneva.
- Prototype to be re-assembled, tested and calibrated in 2023
- First in vivo experiments in 2024
- Target organ: brain, but others possible
- Target pathology: ischemic stroke (relatively simple animal model and clinically relevant)

Imaging the brain





The brain accounts for approximately 2% of the total body weight but for about 20% of the whole body glucose utilisation.



- The brain accounts for approximately 2% of the total body weight but for about 20% of the whole body glucose utilisation.
- Brain glucose uptake to body's resting metabolic rate (Kuzawa *et al.*, *PNAS* 2014).





- The brain accounts for approximately 2% of the total body weight but for about 20% of the whole body glucose utilisation.
- Brain glucose uptake to body's resting metabolic rate (Kuzawa *et al.*, *PNAS* 2014).





Jolivet *et al.*, *PLOS Comput Biol* 2015 Coggan *et al.*, *Front Neurosci* 2018





Pellerin and Magistretti, Science 2004



Jolivet et al., PLOS Comput Biol 2015



Jolivet et al., PLOS Comput Biol 2015



Jolivet et al., PLOS Comput Biol 2015

Synaptic function is energetically expensive but not particularly reliable



Neurotransmission is a stochastic process with relatively low prob. (typically ~10% to 40%).

Synaptic function is energetically expensive but not particularly reliable



Neurotransmission is a stochastic process with relatively low prob. (typically ~10% to 40%).



Branco et al., Neuron 2008








Neurotransmission is a stochastic process with relatively low prob. (typically ~10% to 40%).



Branco et al., *Neuron* 2008

 This configuration can be explained by representing the optimal energetic design.
Harris et al., Neuron 2012







Hardingham et al., J Neurosci 2010









Conrad et al., Tech Digest IEDM 2018



Goldstein, Sensation and Perception (4th Ed.), 1996



Goldstein, Sensation and Perception (4th Ed.), 1996



Goldstein, Sensation and Perception (4th Ed.), 1996

How do information flow and energy consumption compare at those synapses?



Goldstein, Sensation and Perception (4th Ed.), 1996

How do information flow and energy consumption compare at those synapses?



from retina



Goldstein, Sensation and Perception (4th Ed.), 1996

How do information flow and energy consumption compare at those synapses?









those synapses?



those synapses?



from retina

thalamus

energy consumption compare at those synapses?







Information vs energetics in the visual pathway



Information vs energetics in the visual pathway



from retina

thalamus

Information vs energetics in the visual pathway



Information vs energetics in the visual pathway



from retina thalamus

All these results can be reproduced in an Hodgkin-Huxley model of LGN cells



Harris*, Jolivet* et al., Current Biology 2015

What happens at the next synapse in the visual pathway?

Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)

30 µm



00 um









Low release probability (presynaptic side) can be explained as a way to maximise not information transfer (bits/ sec) but information over concomitant energy consumption (bits/ATP).



Low release probability (presynaptic side) can be explained as a way to maximise not information transfer (bits/ sec) but information over concomitant energy consumption (bits/ATP).

'Imperfect' action potential transmission at relay synapses in the visual pathway (postsynaptic side, i.e. number of receptors inserted in the membrane) can be explained as a way to maximise not information transfer (bits/sec) but information over concomitant energy consumption (bits/ATP).





How is learning in neural networks affected by energetic constraints?

Network of Hawkes neurones with reset after spiking;
- Network of Hawkes neurones with reset after spiking;
- Maximise Mutual Information (MI) between inputs and outputs, with an additional "energy" term (Ε): f = MI - γ · Ε;

- Network of Hawkes neurones with reset after spiking;
- Maximise Mutual Information (MI) between inputs and outputs, with an additional "energy" term (Ε): f = MI - γ · Ε;
- Derive learning rules as a gradient descent optimising this function *f*.











The quality of inference decreases by $\sim 1/3$



The quality of inference decreases by $\sim 1/3$



The quality of inference decreases by $\sim 1/3$

- It is possible to derive learning rules that are reminiscent of synaptic learning rules observed biologically;
- But they are three-factor rules, i.e. they include the pre- and post-synaptic activities, and a third global term.







Developing a new clinical imaging modality (GAMMA-MRI)



Computational studies of the trade-offs between information flow, learning and energy consumption at synapses and in networks Developing a new clinical imaging modality (GAMMA-MRI)



Computational studies of the trade-offs between information flow, learning and energy consumption at synapses and in networks

Developing a new clinical imaging modality (GAMMA-MRI)



Developing a new type of neural interface (IN-FET)

Acknowledgements



Team

Cléophée Bruchou Niccolò Calcini Jared Croese Katarzyna Dziubińska-Kühn Karolina Kulesz Claudio Verardo

Current funding

Australian Research Council (DP220100100), H2020-FETOPEN-2018-2020 IN-FET, H2020-FETOPEN-2018-2020 GAMMA-MRI, NWO DYNAMIC.

IN-FET

Michele Giugliano (SISSA) Pierpaolo Palestri (<u>IU.NET</u>) Luca Selmi (<u>IU.NET</u>) Merlyne De Souza (Sheffield) Siegfried Karg (IBM) Patrick Ruch (IBM) Jannis Meents (MCS)

GAMMA-MRI

Luis Fraile (UCM) Magdalena Kowalska (CERN) Stavroula Pallada (HESSO) Dimitrios Sakellariou (KU Leuven) Rémy Schimpf (RS2D)

Other collaborators

Corrado Calì (U. Torino) Giuseppe Caruso (U. Catania) Carlie Cullen (U. Tasmania) Elisabeth Engl (Meta) Massimo Gulisano (U. Catania) Lubomír Koštál (Czech Acad. Sci.) Kerstin Lenk (TU Graz) Pierre Magistretti (KAUST) Kaylene Young (U. Tasmania)

Thank you for your attention!