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## Black Holes in General Relativity and the No-Hair Theorem

- Black holes in General Relativity may be described only by three physical quantities: Mass, E/M charge and Angular Momentum.
- Black holes are very special objects: Two stars with the same mass are, in general, very different, but two black holes with the same characteristics ( $M, Q$ and $J$ ) will be identical.
- No hair theorems: Uniqueness theorems which state that in General Relativity only four possible solutions for black holes may exist.


## No-Scalar Hair Theorem

Adding new matter/energy forms in the theory could lead to new black holes solutions?

The simplest form is a Scalar field coupled to the gravitational field:

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left[R-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi-V(\Phi)\right]
$$

Assumptions:

- Asymptotically flatness,
- The scalar field has the same symmetries with the spacetime,
- $V(\Phi)>0$.
- Minimal coupling.

Under these assumptions black holes with scalar hair do not exist ${ }^{1}$.

```
\({ }^{1}\) J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 (1972) 452
J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) no. 12 R6608
```


## Beyond Horndeski theory

$$
S=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}+\mathcal{L}_{3}+\mathcal{L}_{4}+\mathcal{L}_{5}+\mathcal{L}_{4}^{\mathrm{bH}}+\mathcal{L}_{5}^{\mathrm{bH}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi, \\
\mathcal{L}_{2}= & G_{2}(\phi, X), \quad \mathcal{L}_{3}=-G_{3}(\phi, X) \square \phi, \\
\mathcal{L}_{4}= & G_{4}(\phi, X) R+G_{4 X}\left[(\square \phi)^{2}-\nabla_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \phi \nabla^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \phi\right], \\
\mathcal{L}_{5}= & G_{5}(\phi, X) G_{\mu \nu} \nabla^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \phi-\frac{1}{6} G_{5 X}\left[(\square \phi)^{3}-3 \square \phi \nabla_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \phi \nabla^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \phi\right. \\
& \left.+2 \nabla_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \phi \nabla^{\nu} \partial^{\rho} \phi \nabla_{\rho} \partial^{\mu} \phi\right] \\
\mathcal{L}_{4}^{\mathrm{bH}}= & F_{4}(\phi, X) \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma}{ }_{\sigma} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\alpha} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \partial_{\beta} \phi \nabla_{\rho} \partial_{\gamma} \phi, \\
\mathcal{L}_{5}^{\mathrm{bH}}= & F_{5}(\phi, X) \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\alpha} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \partial_{\beta} \phi \nabla_{\rho} \partial_{\gamma} \phi \nabla_{\sigma} \partial_{\delta} \phi,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
X G_{5 X} F_{4}=3 F_{5}\left(G_{4}-2 X G_{4 X}\right) .
$$

The Field Equations for the Shift-Symmetric theory

We focus on the shift symmetric case, therefore $G_{i}=G_{i}(X)$ and $F_{i}=F_{i}(X)$.
For a spherically symmetric line-element

$$
d s^{2}=-h(r) d t^{2}+\frac{d r^{2}}{f(r)}+r^{2} d \Omega^{2}
$$

The field equations of the beyond Horndeski theory are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{\prime} \mathcal{A}=2\left(\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right) \mathcal{B} \\
& \frac{h^{\prime} f}{2 h} \mathcal{A}=G_{2 X} r^{2}+2 G_{4 X}-2 r f \phi^{\prime} G_{3 X}-2 f Z_{X} \\
& 2 f \frac{h^{\prime}}{h} \mathcal{B}=-G_{2} r^{2}-2 G_{4}-2 f Z
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z(X)=2 X G_{4 X}-G_{4}+4 X^{2} F_{4}, \quad Y(X)=\frac{1}{2}(-2 X)^{3 / 2} G_{5 X}+3(-2 X)^{5 / 2} F_{5} \\
& \mathcal{A}=4 r Z_{X}+\phi^{\prime}\left(r^{2} G_{3 X}+G_{5 X}\right)+2 \sqrt{f} Y_{X}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{B}=r Z+\sqrt{f} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parity symmetric theories - Integrability

In theories with Parity Symmetry in $\phi$ we have $G_{3}=G_{5}=F_{5}=0$
In this case the first equation may be integrated to

$$
Z^{2} f=\gamma^{2} h,
$$

while the remaining equations take the form

$$
r^{2}\left(Z G_{2}\right)_{X}+2\left(G_{4} Z\right)_{X}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \gamma^{2}(r h)^{\prime}+Z\left(G_{2} r^{2}+2 G_{4}\right)=0
$$

A general way to proceed in order to find explicit solutions is to consider an arbitrary function $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}(X)$, such that

$$
\mathcal{G}_{X}=\frac{\alpha r^{2}+\beta}{\epsilon r^{2}+\delta}
$$

and the first equation are compatible.
Compatibility immediately gives the conditions

$$
G_{2} Z=\epsilon \mathcal{G}-\alpha X+C, \quad 2 G_{4} Z=\delta \mathcal{G}-\beta X+D
$$

Parity symmetric theories - Homogeneous solutions $(Z=\gamma)$

We set $Z=\gamma=-1$ which leads to $f=h$.
We also choose $\mathcal{G}=2 \mu X+\zeta$.
then we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2} & =-\epsilon \mu X^{2} \\
G_{4} & =-\frac{\delta \mu}{2} X^{2}-\frac{\delta \zeta-\beta}{2} X+1 \\
F_{4} & =-\frac{\beta-\delta \zeta}{8 X}+\frac{3 \delta \mu}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution is

$$
h(r)=1+\frac{(\beta-\delta \zeta)^{2}}{8 \delta \mu} \frac{\arctan \left(\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\delta}} r\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\delta}} r}-\frac{2 M}{r}, \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{(\beta-\delta \zeta)}{\mu\left(\epsilon r^{2}+\delta\right)} \frac{1}{h(r)}
$$

Parity symmetric theories - Non-Homogeneous solutions ( $Z=\gamma[1+X]$ )
We use $Z(X)=\gamma(1+X)$, with $\gamma=-1$ and $\mathcal{G}=2 \mu X+\zeta$.
Then we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{2}=-\frac{\epsilon \mu X^{2}}{(1+X)} \\
& G_{4}=-\frac{\delta \mu X^{2}+(\delta \zeta-\beta) X-2}{2(1+X)} \\
& F_{4}=-\frac{\beta-\delta \zeta+X^{2}(2-\delta \mu)+X(-\beta+6+\delta(\zeta-3 \mu))}{8 X(X+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution is

$$
h(r)=1+\frac{(\beta-\delta \zeta)^{2}}{8 \delta \mu} \frac{\arctan \left(\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\delta}} r\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\delta}} r}-\frac{2 M}{r}, \quad f(r)=\frac{h(r)}{(1+X)^{2}}
$$

with

$$
\phi^{\prime 2}(r)=-\frac{(\beta-\delta \zeta)}{\mu\left(\epsilon r^{2}+\delta\right)} \frac{1}{f(r)}, \quad \text { and } \quad X(r)=\frac{\beta-\delta \zeta}{2 \mu\left(\epsilon r^{2}+\delta\right)}
$$

Non parity preserving theories

The first two beyond Horndeski equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{\prime} \mathcal{A}=2\left(\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right) \mathcal{B} \\
& \frac{h^{\prime} f}{2 h} \mathcal{A}=G_{2 X} r^{2}+2 G_{4 X}-2 r f \phi^{\prime} G_{3 X}-2 f Z_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

For a homogeneous solution $(f=h)$ the first equation gives $\mathcal{A}=0$ or $X^{\prime}=0$.

For the case $\mathcal{A}=0$ right hand part in the second equation must be vanish independently or it may be proportional to $\mathcal{A}$

$$
G_{2 X} r^{2}+2 G_{4 X}-2 r f \phi^{\prime} G_{3 X}-2 f Z_{X}=-\sqrt{f} \mathcal{A} Q
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}=4 r Z_{X}+\phi^{\prime}\left(r^{2} G_{3 X}+G_{5 X}\right)+2 \sqrt{f} Y_{X}
$$

Non parity preserving theories

This leads to the following constraints

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{2 X}=-\sqrt{-2 X} Q G_{3 X}=-2 Q^{2} Z_{X}, \quad 2 G_{4 X}=-\sqrt{-2 X} Q G_{5 X}, \quad Z_{X}=Q Y_{X} \\
Z=Q Y\left(1-\frac{G_{4}}{2 X G_{4 X}}\right) \quad Q_{X} Y=\left(Q Y \frac{G_{4}}{2 X G_{4 X}}\right)_{, X}
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case there are only two independent coupling functions: The coupling functions $G_{2}, G_{3}, G_{5}, F_{4}$ and $F_{5}$ are given in terms of $G_{4}, Q$

The field equations have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{\prime} \mathcal{A}=2\left(\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right) \mathcal{B} \\
& \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{h^{\prime} \sqrt{f}}{h}+2 Q\right)=0 \\
& 2 f \frac{h^{\prime}}{h} \mathcal{B}+G_{2} r^{2}+2 G_{4}+2 f Z=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Homogeneous black holes $(f=h)$ in non parity preserving theories

We use $G_{4}=1+\alpha(-2 X)^{n}$ and $Q=\sqrt{-2 X}$. For this case

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y=-G_{4 X} \sqrt{-2 X}, \quad Z=-\left(G_{4}-2 X G_{4 X}\right), \quad G_{2}=-2 \alpha n(2 n-1) \frac{(-2 X)^{n+1}}{n+1}, \\
G_{3}=2 \alpha(2 n-1)(-2 X)^{n}, \quad G_{5 X}=4 \alpha n(-2 X)^{n-2}
\end{gathered}
$$

From equation $\mathcal{A}=0$ we find

$$
\phi^{\prime}=\frac{1-\sqrt{(2 n-1) f}}{r \sqrt{(2 n-1) f}},
$$

while the last equation we get an algebraic equation

$$
(n+1)(2 n-1)^{n} r^{2 n-1}\left[(2 n-1)(2 M-r)+r F^{2}\right]+\alpha(1-F)^{2 n}\left(1+2 n F+F^{2}\right)=0
$$

where $F^{2}(r) \equiv(2 n-1) f>0$.

A special case $(\mathrm{n}=1)$

For the special case $n=1$ with the redefinition $\alpha \rightarrow 2 \alpha$ we may find an analytic solution of the algebraic equation ${ }^{2}$

$$
h(r)=f(r)=1+\frac{r^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{8 \alpha M}{r^{3}}}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{h}-1}{r \sqrt{h}}
$$

The coupling functions are

$$
G_{2}=8 \alpha X^{2}, G_{3}=-8 \alpha X, G_{4}=1+4 \alpha X, G_{5}=-4 \alpha \ln |X|
$$

[^0]Black hole solutions in Modified Gravity

A general gravitation theory has the form

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left[R-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi-V(\Phi)+\alpha \mathcal{L}_{i}\left(g_{\mu \nu}, \Phi\right)\right]
$$

If we break the assumptions of the no scalar hair theorem, the $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ term usually contains non-minimal couplings

For example the EsGB theory accepts asymptotically flat black hole solutions for $V(\Phi)<0 .{ }^{3}$

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left[R-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi-V(\Phi)+\alpha f(\Phi) R_{G B}^{2}\right]
$$
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If we break the assumptions of the no scalar hair theorem, the $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ term usually contains non-minimal couplings

For example the EsGB theory accepts asymptotically flat black hole solutions for $V(\Phi)<0 .{ }^{3}$

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left[R-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla^{\mu} \Phi-V(\Phi)\right]
$$

If we switch off the $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ term $(\alpha \rightarrow 0)$, the background solution is not the Schwarzschild but instead depends on the potential $V(\Phi)$.

[^2]
## The field equations

We assume a spherically symmetric form for the line-element:

$$
d s^{2}=-e^{A(r)} B(r) d t^{2}+\frac{d r^{2}}{B(r)}+r^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \varphi^{2}\right),
$$

The field equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\prime}(r)=\frac{r}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right]^{2}, \\
& B^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{3}{2} A^{\prime}(r) B^{\prime}(r)+\left\{A^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{A^{\prime}(r)}{r}+\frac{\left[A^{\prime}(r)\right]^{2}}{2}-\frac{2}{r^{2}}\right\} B(r)=-\frac{2}{r^{2}}, \\
& V(\Phi)=\frac{2}{r^{2}}-\frac{2}{r} A^{\prime}(r) B(r)-\frac{2 B(r)}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right]^{2} B(r)-\frac{2 B^{\prime}(r)}{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Black holes with a Coulombic scalar field

We assume a Coulombic form for the scalar field $\Phi(r)=\frac{q}{r}$ and we find the solution ${ }^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(r) & =-\frac{q^{2}}{4 r^{2}}, \quad B(r)=1-\frac{2 m(r)}{r} \\
m(r) & =\frac{r}{2}+\frac{4 r^{3}}{q^{2}}+\frac{e^{\frac{q^{2}}{8 r^{2}}} r^{2}}{q^{2}}\left[-12 M+\sqrt{2 \pi} q \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{q}{2 \sqrt{2} r}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{e^{\frac{q^{2}}{4 r^{2}} r^{3}}}{q^{3}}\left\{4 q-12 \sqrt{2 \pi} M \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{q}{2 \sqrt{2} r}\right)+\pi q\left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{q}{2 \sqrt{2} r}\right)\right]^{2}\right\} \\
V(\Phi) & =\frac{2\left(24+\Phi^{2}\right)}{q^{2}}-\frac{12 \Phi e^{\Phi^{2} / 8}}{q^{3}}\left[12 M-\sqrt{2 \pi} q \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\Phi}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\left(\Phi^{2}-12\right) e^{\Phi^{2} / 4}}{q^{3}}\left\{4 q-12 \sqrt{2 \pi} M \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\Phi}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right)+\pi q\left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\Phi}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]Black holes with Coulombic scalar field



For small values of the ratio $q / r_{h}$, the fraction $r_{h} /(2 M)$ is equal to unity and therefore $r_{h}=2 M$ as in the Schwarzschild geometry.

As the value of $q / r_{h}$ increases, the value of $r_{h} /(2 M)$ decreases leading to ultra-compact black holes.

For more information see Theodoros Nakas' poster.

## Wormholes in General Relativity

A wormhole is a solution of the Einstein's field equations which has the property to connect two distant regions in spacetime.


A wormhole may connect:

- Two distant regions of our universe (intra-universe wormholes) ${ }^{5}$.
- Two different universes (inter-universe wormhole).

The difference between the two kind of wormholes is topological. An observer who makes measurements near the wormhole cannot identify the class of the wormhole.

[^4]Traversable wormholes - Morris \& Thorne wormholes

- Morris and Thorne ${ }^{6}$ suggest that we may construct traversable wormholes using an "engineering-like" technique.
- We start with a metric which describes a traversable wormhole and by solving the Einstein field equation in the reverse direction we find the associate energymomentum tensor.

$$
d s^{2}=-e^{2 \phi(r)} d t^{2}+\left(1-\frac{b(r)}{r}\right)^{-1} d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega^{2}
$$

- A traversable wormhole violates the Energy Conditions.
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We need Exotic Matter in order to keep the throat open!

[^6]
## Wormholes in Einstein Scalar Gauss-Bonnet Theory

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left[R-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla^{\mu} \phi+f(\phi) R_{G B}^{2}\right],
$$

- Wormhole solutions with real scalar field and no need for exotic matter ${ }^{7}$.
- They are traversable and may have a single or a double throat.
- Stability ${ }^{8}$ ?
- For phantom scalar field the system accepts the Ellis-Bronikov wormhole as a stealth solution ${ }^{9}$ (See Nikos Chatzifotis' poster).

[^7]
## The disformal transformation

A disformal transformation $D$ depending on $X$ takes a solution of Horndeski theory to a solution of beyond Horndeski theory.

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=\bar{g}_{\mu \nu}-D(\bar{X}) \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi .
$$

For a spherically symmetric solution $\bar{\phi}=\phi, \bar{h}=h$ and

$$
f=\frac{\bar{f}}{W(\bar{X})}, \quad X=\frac{\bar{X}}{W(\bar{X})}, \quad W(\bar{X})=1+2 D \bar{X} .
$$

A homogeneous solution in Horndeski is transformed to a non-homogeneous in beyond Horndeski.

## Wormhole solution

We start with a homogeneous black hole solution in Horndeski with horizon radius $r_{h}$ i.e. $\left(h\left(r_{h}\right)=f\left(r_{h}\right)=0\right)$.

- If $W(\bar{X})^{-1}$ is everywhere finite we get a non-homogeneous black hole solution.
- If $W(\bar{X})^{-1}$ has a root $W(\bar{X})^{-1}=\left.0\right|_{r=r_{0}}$ with $r_{0}>r_{h}$ we get a wormhole $\left(f\left(r_{0}\right)=0\right.$ and $\left.h\left(r_{0}\right) \neq 0\right)$.

We will apply the disformal transformation to the Lu-Pang black hole solution. (See also N Chatzifotis, E. Papantonopoulos \& C. Vlachos arXiv:2111.08773)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{h}(r)=\bar{f}(r)=1+\frac{r^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{8 \alpha M}{r^{3}}}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\phi}^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{\bar{h}}-1}{r \sqrt{\bar{h}}} \\
\bar{X}=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{h} \bar{\phi}^{\prime 2}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\sqrt{\bar{h}}-1)^{2}}{r^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Wormhole Solution

We use the transformation

$$
W(\bar{X})^{-1}=1-b_{1} \sqrt{-2 \bar{X}}=1-\frac{b_{1}}{r}(1-\sqrt{h})
$$

By setting $b_{1}=r_{0} / \lambda$ we find

$$
h(r)=\bar{h}(r), \quad f(r)=h(r)\left(1-\frac{r_{0}}{\lambda r}(1-\sqrt{h})\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{h}-1}{r \sqrt{h}}
$$

The nature of the compact object is determined from the roots of the metric functions:

$$
f\left(r_{0}\right)=0 \Longrightarrow h\left(r_{0}\right)=(1-\lambda)^{2} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0<\lambda<1 \longrightarrow \text { Wormhole } \\
\lambda=1 \longrightarrow \text { Black hole }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
r_{0}=\frac{M+\sqrt{M^{2}-\alpha \lambda^{3}(2-\lambda)^{3}}}{\lambda(2-\lambda)}, \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha \leq \frac{M^{2}}{\lambda^{3}(2-\lambda)^{3}}
$$

At infinity we find:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(r)=1-\frac{2 M}{r}+\frac{4 \alpha M^{2}}{r^{4}}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-5}\right), \quad f(r)=1+\frac{2 M}{r}+\frac{b_{1} M+4 M^{2}}{r^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-3}\right), \\
\phi^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{M}{r^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The coordinate $r$ covers only a half of the wormhole spacetime.
We may describe the solutions in both asymptotically flat regions using the coordinate transformation $r^{2}=l^{2}+r_{0}^{2}$ with $l \in(-\infty,+\infty)$.

$$
d s^{2}=-H(l) d t^{2}+\frac{1}{F(l)} d l^{2}+\left(l^{2}+r_{0}^{2}\right) d \Omega^{2}
$$

where

$$
H(l)=h(r(l)), \quad \text { and } \quad F(l)=\frac{f(r(l))\left(l^{2}+r_{0}^{2}\right)}{l^{2}}
$$

The new metric functions are continuous at the throat

$$
H(l)=h_{0}+h_{1} l^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(l^{4}\right), \quad F(l)=f_{0}+f_{1} l^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(l^{4}\right), \quad \phi(l)=\phi_{0}+\phi_{1} l+\mathcal{O}\left(l^{3}\right)
$$





For a spherical symmetric spacetime the null energy condition (NEC) has the form

$$
-T_{t}^{t}+T_{r}^{r} \geq 0, \quad \text { and } \quad-T_{t}^{t}+T_{\theta}^{\theta} \geq 0
$$

where $T_{\mu \nu}$ is the effective energy momentum tensor due to the scalar field defined from the equation $G_{\mu \nu}=T_{\mu \nu}$.


## Conclusions

- In the case of Parity preserving theories the set of field equations is integrable and may lead to a variety of black-hole solutions.
- In the case of non-parity preserving theories, although intergrability seems to be lost, we developed a technique for a subclass of theories that allow us to solve the field equations.
- Regular analytic wormhole solutions were found for a class of the beyond Horndeski theories.


## Thank You!



## Transformation of the coupling functions

The coupling functions are transformed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2} & =\frac{\bar{G}_{2}}{(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{1 / 2}}, \quad G_{3 X}=\bar{G}_{3 \bar{X}} \frac{(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{5 / 2}}{1-2 \bar{X}^{2} D_{\bar{X}}} \\
G_{4} & =\frac{\bar{G}_{4}}{(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{1 / 2}}, \quad G_{5 X}=\frac{\bar{G}_{5 \bar{X}}(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{5 / 2}}{1-2 \bar{X}^{2} D_{\bar{X}}} \\
F_{4} & =\left(\bar{G}_{4}-2 \bar{X} \bar{G}_{4 \bar{X}}\right) \frac{D_{\bar{X}}(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{5 / 2}}{2\left(1-2 \bar{X}^{2} D_{\bar{X}}\right)}, \quad F_{5}=\bar{X} \bar{G}_{5 \bar{X}} \frac{D_{\bar{X}}(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{7 / 2}}{6\left(1-2 \bar{X}^{2} D_{\bar{X}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{1 / 2} \bar{Z}, \quad Y=(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{1 / 2} \bar{Y}, \quad \mathcal{B}=(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{1 / 2} \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \\
& \mathcal{A}=\frac{(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{5 / 2}}{1-2 \bar{X}^{2} D_{\bar{X}}} \overline{\mathcal{A}}+4 \frac{(1+2 \bar{X} D)^{3 / 2}}{1-2 \bar{X}^{2} D_{\bar{X}}}\left(D+\bar{X} D_{\bar{X}}\right) \overline{\mathcal{B}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The wormhole Theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{2}=\frac{42^{3 / 4} \alpha y^{4}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-2 b_{1} y}}}, \quad G_{3 X}=\frac{16 \sqrt[4]{2} \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-2 b_{1} y}}}{3 \sqrt{2} b_{1} y-2}, \\
& G_{4}=\frac{1-4 \alpha y^{2}}{\sqrt[4]{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-2 b_{1} y}}}, \quad G_{5 X}=-\frac{8 \sqrt[4]{2} \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-2 b_{1} y}}}{y^{2}\left(3 \sqrt{2} b_{1} y-2\right)}, \\
& F_{4}=\frac{b_{1}\left(\sqrt{2}-4 b_{1} y\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-2 b_{1} y}\right)^{5 / 2}\left(4 \alpha y^{2}+1\right)}{2^{3 / 4} y^{3}\left(3 \sqrt{2} b_{1} y-2\right)}, \\
& F_{5}=\frac{22^{3 / 4} \alpha b_{1}\left(\sqrt{2}-4 b_{1} y\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-2 b_{1} y}\right)^{7 / 2}}{3 y^{3}\left(2-3 \sqrt{2} b_{1} y\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where,

$$
X=y^{2}\left(-1+\sqrt{2} b_{1} y\right) .
$$
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