Cosmology with future CMB experiments

Astroparticle symposium 2023

Sophie Henrot-Versillé

IJCLab Irène Joliot-Curie

Cosmology with future CMB experiments

Where do we stand (biased view & not exhaustive) ?

Where do we go and how ?

CMB spectra state of the art: Temperature & Polarisation

curl-free even-parity



divergence- free odd-parity





Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck - Planck collaboration

CMB spectra state of the art: Temperature & Polarisation

curl-free even-parity



divergence- free odd-parity





Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck - Planck collaboration

CMB spectra state of the art: Temperature & Polarisation

The TT Planck spectrum is cosmic variance limited up to l=1600
It **cannot** be improved !



Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck - Planck collaboration

CMB spectra state of the art: Lensing



> CMB photons are gravitationally deflected by large scale structures
> The amount of lensing deflection depends on the projected (dark+neutrinos..) matter density in that direction

credit: J. Delabrouille & B. D. Sherwin

CMB spectra state of the art: Lensing



> CMB photons are gravitationally deflected by large scale structures
> The amount of lensing deflection depends on the projected (dark+neutrinos..) matter density in that direction

CMB spectra state of the art: Lensing





> CMB photons are gravitationally deflected by large scale structures
> The amount of lensing deflection depends on the projected (dark+neutrinos..) matter density in that direction
> Inferred from the correlations between temperature and its gradient (or cross-correlation with other probes)



The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: DR6 Gravitational Lensing Map and Cosmological Parameters

Authors: Mathew S. Madhavacheril, Frank J. Qu, Blake D. Sherwin, Niall MacCrann, Yaqiong Li, Irene Abril-Cabezas, Peter A. R. Ade, Simone Aiola, Tommy Alford, Mandana Amiri, Stefania Amodeo, Rui An, Zachary Atkins, Jason E. Austermann, Nicholas Battaglia, Elia Stefano Battistelli, James A. Beall, Rachel Bean,

credit: J. Delabrouille & B. D. Sherwin

What do we mean by ΛCDM ?

Minimal ΛCDM ID CARD



$$\rho_\nu = N_{\rm eff}(7/8)(4/11)^{4/3}\rho_\gamma$$

$N_{\rm eff} = 3.046$ 3 neutrinos not fully decoupled before electron-positron annihilation

$m_\nu = 0.06\,{\rm eV}.$ and only one massive neutrino (sometimes 3 with equal masses..)

$A_L = 1$, introduced to test the lensing $C_\ell^\Psi \to A_L C_\ell^\Psi$

- Flat universe
- Gaussian, adiabatic fluctuations
- no primordial gravitational waves,
- no running of the spectral index

…

…

ΛCDM: where do we stand ?



Matter & Dark Energy Content, H0

Primordial spectrum parameters

Reionisation optical depth

ΛCDM: where do we stand ?

Cosmological parameters derived from the final (PR4) Planck data release

M. Tristram, A.J. Banday, M. Douspis, X. Garrido, K.M. Górski, S. Henrot-Versillé, S. Ilić, R. Keskitalo, G. Lagache, C.R. Lawrence, B. Partridge, D. Scott



Parameter	TT	TTTEEE
$\Omega_b h^2$	0.02224 ± 0.00025	0.02226 ± 0.00013
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.1183 ± 0.0024	0.1188 ± 0.0012
$100\theta_*$	1.04123 ± 0.00046	1.04108 ± 0.00026
$\log(10^{10}A_s)$	3.073 ± 0.061	3.040 ± 0.014
n_s	0.9678 ± 0.0072	0.9681 ± 0.0039
τ	0.0753 ± 0.0322	0.0580 ± 0.0062
H_0	67.89 ± 1.11	67.64 ± 0.52
σ_8	0.8186 ± 0.0221	0.8070 ± 0.0065
S_8	0.826 ± 0.024	0.819 ± 0.014
Ω_m	0.3059 ± 0.0147	0.3092 ± 0.0070

CMB TT data dominate the error bars

will be improved in the future

TT and EE have ~ same weight in the error budget TODAY !

ΛCDM: where do we stand ?

Cosmological parameters derived from the final (PR4) Planck data release

M. Tristram, A.J. Banday, M. Douspis, X. Garrido, K.M. Górski, S. Henrot-Versillé, S. Ilić, R. Keskitalo, G. Lagache, C.R. Lawrence, B. Partridge, D. Scott



Parameter	TT	TTTEEE
$\Omega_b h^2$	0.02224 ± 0.00025	0.02226 ± 0.00013
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.1183 ± 0.0024	0.1188 ± 0.0012
$100\theta_*$	1.04123 ± 0.00046	1.04108 ± 0.00026
$\log(10^{10}A_s)$	3.073 ± 0.061	3.040 ± 0.014
n_s	0.9678 ± 0.0072	0.9681 ± 0.0039
τ	0.0753 ± 0.0322	0.0580 ± 0.0062
H_0	67.89 ± 1.11	67.64 ± 0.52
σ_8	0.8186 ± 0.0221	0.8070 ± 0.0065
S_8	0.826 ± 0.024	0.819 ± 0.014
Ω_m	0.3059 ± 0.0147	0.3092 ± 0.0070

CMB TT data dominate the error bars

TT ar… same weight in the… et TODAY !

Beyond ΛCDM…A_L



=> depends on the likelihood

=> points to something that is not fully under control ?

Beyond ΛCDM…Neutrino Mass, A_L

Sum of neutrino masses



PR4:

$\sum m_\nu < 0.39\,\mathrm{eV}$ (95 % CL, TTTEEE).

Planck 2018: $\sum m_\nu < 0.26\,\mathrm{eV}$ at 95 % CL.

Camspec: $\sum m_\nu < 0.36\,\mathrm{eV}$



=> depends on the likelihood

=> points to something that is not fully under control ?

Beyond ΛCDM…Neutrino Mass, A_L..& Systematics !

Sum of neutrino masses



PR4:

$\sum m_\nu < 0.39\,\mathrm{eV}$ (95 % CL, TTTEEE).

Planck 2018: $\sum m_\nu < 0.26\,\mathrm{eV}$ at 95 % CL.

Camspec: $\sum m_\nu < 0.36\,\mathrm{eV}$

BUT !



=> depends on the likelihood

=> points to something that is not fully under control ?

The problem is…



high value of A_L artificially tighter the constraints on the neutrino masses

F. Couchot et al. A&A 606, A104 (2017)

Beyond ΛCDM…Neutrino Mass, A_L..& Systematics !

Sum of neutrino masses



PR4:

$\sum m_\nu < 0.39\,\mathrm{eV}$ (95 % CL, TTTEEE).

Planck 2018: $\sum m_\nu < 0.26\,\mathrm{eV}$ at 95 % CL.

Camspec: $\sum m_\nu < 0.36\,\mathrm{eV}$

BUT !



=> depends on the likelihood

=> points to something that is not fully under control ?

The problem is…



high value of A_L artificially tighter the constraints on the neutrino masses

F. Couchot et al. A&A 606, A104 (2017)

Beyond ΛCDM…primordial Universe

Inflation predicts the existence of two types of perturbations:
- fluctuations of the scalar inflaton field: scalar perturbations
- fluctuations of the gravitational field: tensor perturbations
  The so-called primordial gravitational waves !

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_s \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_s - 1} \quad \text{scalar}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}(k) = A_t \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_t} \quad \text{tensor}$$

$$r = A_t / A_s$$

"Tensor to scalar ratio"

Amplitude of the CMB Bmode spectrum at large scales



In slow-roll inflation (favored by current data): given it is generated by one scalar field:

$$r = 8M_{\rm Pl}^2 \left(\frac{V_\phi}{V}\right)^2$$

$$n_{\rm s} - 1 \equiv \frac{{\rm d}\ln \mathcal{P}_\zeta}{{\rm d}\ln k} \simeq -3M_{\rm Pl}^2 \left(\frac{V_\phi}{V}\right)^2 + 2M_{\rm Pl}^2 \frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{V}$$

$$n_t = -r/8$$

First and second derivative of the potential

Beyond ΛCDM…r and nt



Lensed
B modes

primordial signal

Beyond ΛCDM…r and nt







Improved limits on the tensor-to-scalar ratio using BICEP and *Planck*

M. Tristram,[1] A. J. Banday,[2] K. M. Górski,[3,4] R. Keskitalo,[5,6] C. R. Lawrence,[3] K. J. Andersen,[7] R. B. Barreiro,[8] J. Borrill,[5,9] L. P. L. Colombo,[10] H. K. Eriksen,[7] R. Fernandez-Cobos,[11] T. S. Kisner,[5,6] E. Martínez-González,[8] B. Partridge,[12] D. Scott,[13] T. L. Svalheim,[7] and I. K. Wehus[7]

Updated constraints on amplitude and tilt of the tensor primordial spectrum

Giacomo Galloni[1,2], Nicola Bartolo[3,4,5], Sabino Matarrese[3,4,5,6], Marina Migliaccio[1,2], Angelo Ricciardone[3,4] and Nicola Vittorio[1,2]

Published 26 April 2023 •

slow-roll single-field prediction

$$n_t = -r/8 = -2\epsilon.$$

	$r_{0.01}$ 95% CL	n_t 95% CL
PL21+BK18	< 0.029	$[-1.21, 3.54]$

Beyond ΛCDM…primordial Universe ?

Prediction	Measurement
A spatially flat universe	$\Omega_K = 0.0007 \pm 0.0019$
with a *nearly* scale-invariant (red)	
spectrum of density perturbations,	$n_s = 0.967 \pm 0.004$
which is almost a power law,	$dn/d\ln k = -0.0042 \pm 0.0067$
dominated by scalar perturbations,	$r_{0.05} < 0.032$ at 95% CL
which are Gaussian	$f_{NL} = -0.9 \pm 5.1$
and adiabatic,	$\alpha_{-1} = 0.00013 \pm 0.00037$
with negligible topological defects	$f < 0.01$
	n_t in [-1.21,3.54] @95%CL

***Planck* 2018 results**

I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of *Planck*

Planck Collaboration

+ updates

What are the next steps & what to measure ?



Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier: Cosmic Microwave Background Measurements White Paper

From present...



Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck - Planck collaboration

Into the future…



Into the future…

SPACE

We need to go to space
for the larger scales



Into the future…

SPACE

We need to go to space for the larger scales

To significantly increase the # of detectors, we need to be on the ground



GROUND

Into the future…

We need to go to space for the larger scales

To significantly increase the # of detectors, we need to be on the ground

We need to be on the ground for the large telescopes required to study the small scales

=> Best TradeOff as up now



Simons Observatory in a nutshell

6 frequency bands
27-270 GHz

LAT & SAT





credit: Josquin Errard

Simons Observatory: SAT - the instrument





J. Errard & T. Louis, Simons Observatory, CS IN2P3, July 2023

Simons Observatory: SAT - baffle and installation



Simons Observatory: LAT - the instrument



J. Errard & T. Louis, Simons Observatory, CS IN2P3, July 2023

Simons Observatory: LAT - the instrument



J. Errard & T. Louis, Simons Observatory, CS IN2P3, July 2023

Simons Observatory: LAT - the instrument

Simons Observatory: detectors

SO sensitivity



Low frequency (LF) detector arrays & lenslets

Mid frequency (MF) and ultra-high frequency (UHF) detector & horn arrays



SO will use dual-polarization. dichroic TES bolometer detectors. cooled to 100 mK. The LF detector arrays build on the proven performance of POLARBEAR and the MF and UHF on ACT.

Simons Observatory: SAT - TimeScales

news #1 SO += SO:UK + SO:JP



~ 2024

~ 2028

3 SATs
30,000 detectors in total
6 frequency bands

6 SATs
60,000 detectors in total
6 frequency bands

…a French SAT is also discussed

From Josquin Errard, CS IN2P3 July 2023

LiteBIRD: overview

- Lite (Light) satellite for the study of *B*-mode polarization and Inflation from cosmic background Radiation Detection
- JAXA's L-class mission selected in May 2019
- Expected launch ~**2030** with JAXA's H3 rocket
- **All-sky 3-year survey**, from Sun-Earth Lagrangian point L2
- Large frequency coverage (**40–402 GHz**, 15 bands) at **70–18 arcmin** angular resolution for precision measurements of the **CMB *B*-modes**
- Final combined sensitivity: **2.2 μK·arcmin**, after component separation

- final targeted sensitivity is > 100 times better than Planck-HFI in polarized intensity.









The instruments and the payload

- **3 telescopes** are used to provide the **40-402 GHz** frequency coverage
  1. **LFT** (low frequency telescope)
  2. **MFT** (middle frequency telescope)
  3. **HFT** (high frequency telescope)
- Multi-chroic transition-edge sensor (TES) **bolometer arrays** cooled to **100 mK**
- Polarization modulation unit (PMU) in each telescope with **rotating half-wave plate** (HWP), for $1/f$ noise and systematics reduction
- Optics cooled to **5 K**



- Mass: 2.6 t
- Power: 3.0 kW
- Data: 17.9 Gb/day

LiteBIRD focal planes

- Transision-Edge Sensor (TES) arrays.
- Multichroic detectors.
- Number of sensors: 4508
- 15 bands including overlap between instruments.



Lensed coupled detectors
Lenslets





Horn coupled detectors
Platelets





LiteBIRD sensitivity





- Projected **polarization sensitivities** for a **3-year full-sky survey**
- Best of 4.3 μK·arcmin @ 119 GHz (Hazumi+ 2020)
- Combined sensitivity to primordial CMB anisotropies (after foreground removal): **2.2 μK·arcmin**

LiteBIRD full success / extra success

Full Success

- $\sigma(r) < 10^{-3}$ (for r=0, no delensing)
- $>5\sigma$ observation for each bump (for $r \geq 0.01$)

LiteBIRD will also provide a cosmic variance limited measurement of the E modes



Statistical uncertainty
- foreground cleaning residuals
- lensing B-mode power
- 1/f noise

Systematic uncertainty
- Bias from 1/f noise
- Polarization efficiency & knowledge
- Disturbance to instrument
- Off-boresight pick up
- Calibration accuracy





LiteBIRD full success / extra success

Extra Success

- improve σ(r) with external observations
- delensing improvement to σ(r) can be a factor ≥ 2

Aiming at detection with >5σ in case of Starobinsky model

Baseline

+ delensing w/Planck CIB & WISE

+ extra foreground cleaning w/ high-resolution ground CMB data



CMB-S4: the ultimate ground based ?

> Last years:
Tremendous effort
on an
Analysis Of Alternatives

> In late 2022 :
a new baseline design and a revised schedule

- South Pole:
  18 x 0.55m small refractor telescopes ~150,000 detectors with 8 bands, a dedicated de-lensing 6m telescope with 120,000 detectors, 7 bands



- Chile
  2x 6m telescope with 120,000 detectors each and 7 bands.

- The instrument will feature kilo-pixel arrays, dichroic, horn-coupled, superconducting TES detectors and time-domain multiplexing.

R. Stompor CS IN2P3 July 2023

Timescales…



SIMONS
OBSERVATORY
first light(s)
CMB-S4
Next Generation CMB Experiment
LiteBIRD
SO project
3 SATs (2 MF + 1 UHF)
LAT 7 tubes
LAT 13 tubes
SO:UK + SO:JP construction
2 SO:UK and 1 SO:JP
ASO construction
FULL SO OBSERVATIONS
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035

Forecasts…

Table 1. Summary of Key Science Goals from Advanced SO[a]

	Current[b]	**Advanced SO 2024-2032**	CMB-S4[c] 2028-2035	Using Rubin, DESI, or *Euclid*
Primordial perturbations				
r ($A_L = 0.3$)	0.03	0.0012 [d]	0.0005	✓
n_s	0.004	0.002	0.002	-
$e^{-2\tau}\mathcal{P}(k = 0.2/\mathrm{Mpc})$	3%	0.4%		-
$f_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\mathrm{local}}$	5	1	0.6	✓
Relativistic species				
N_{eff}	0.2	0.045	0.03	-
Neutrino mass				
m_ν (eV, $\sigma(\tau) = 0.01$)	0.1	0.03	0.03	✓
m_ν (eV, $\sigma(\tau) = 0.002$)		0.015	0.015	✓
Accelerated expansion				
$\sigma_8(z = 1-2)$	7%	1%	1%	✓
Galaxy evolution				
η_{feedback}	50-100%	2%		✓
p_{nt}	50-100%	4%		✓
Reionization				
Δz	1.4	0.3	0.25	-
τ	0.007	0.0035	0.003	-
Cluster catalog	4000	33,000	70,000	✓
AGN catalog	2000	100,000	$> 100,000$	-
Galactic science				
Molecular cloud B-fields	10s	> 860		-
$\sigma(\beta_{dust})$	0.02	< 0.01		-
Planet 9				
Distance limit for 5 M_e		900 AU		✓
Transient Detection Distance				
Long GRBs, on-axis		420 Mpc		✓
Low-Luminosity GRBs		60-190 Mpc		✓
Normal SNe		$\gtrsim 4$ Mpc		✓
TDEs, on-axis		2100 Mpc		✓



Forecasts...

Table 1. Summary of Key Science Goals from Advanced SO[a]

	Current[b]	**Advanced SO 2024-2032**	CMB-S4[c] 2028-2035	Using Rubin, DESI, or *Euclid*
Primordial perturbations				
r ($A_L = 0.3$)	0.03	0.0012 [d]	0.0005	✓
n_s	0.004	0.002	0.002	-
$e^{-2\tau}\mathcal{P}(k = 0.2/\mathrm{Mpc})$	3%	0.4%		-
$f_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\mathrm{local}}$	5	1	0.6	✓
Relativistic species				
N_{eff}	0.2	0.045	0.03	-
Neutrino mass				
m_ν (eV, $\sigma(\tau) = 0.01$)	0.1	0.03	0.03	✓
m_ν (eV, $\sigma(\tau) = 0.002$)		0.015	0.015	✓
Accelerated expansion				
$\sigma_8(z = 1-2)$	7%	1%	1%	✓
Galaxy evolution				
η_{feedback}	50-100%	2%		✓
p_{nt}	50-100%	4%		✓
Reionization				
Δz	1.4	0.3	0.25	-
τ	0.007	0.0035	0.003	-
Cluster catalog	4000	33,000	70,000	✓
AGN catalog	2000	100,000	$> 100,000$	-
Galactic science				
Molecular cloud B-fields	10s	> 860		-
$\sigma(\beta_{dust})$	0.02	< 0.01		-
Planet 9				
Distance limit for 5 M_e		900 AU		✓
Transient Detection Distance				
Long GRBs, on-axis		420 Mpc		✓
Low-Luminosity GRBs		60-190 Mpc		✓
Normal SNe		$\gtrsim 4$ Mpc		✓
TDEs, on-axis		2100 Mpc		✓

arbitrary single massless particle, which decouples from the SM at a temperature TF



freezeout temperature

CMB-S4 Science Case, Reference Design, and Project Plan

Forecasts…

Table 1. Summary of Key Science Goals from Advanced SO[a]

	Current[b]	**Advanced SO 2024-2032**	CMB-S4[c] 2028-2035	Using Rubin, DESI, or *Euclid*
Primordial perturbations				
r ($A_L = 0.3$)	0.03	0.0012 [d]	0.0005	✓
n_s	0.004	0.002	0.002	-
$e^{-2\tau}\mathcal{P}(k = 0.2/\mathrm{Mpc})$	3%	0.4%		-
$f_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\mathrm{local}}$	5	1	0.6	✓
Relativistic species				
N_{eff}	0.2	0.045	0.03	-
Neutrino mass				
m_ν (eV, $\sigma(\tau) = 0.01$)	0.1	0.03	0.03	✓
m_ν (eV, $\sigma(\tau) = 0.002$)		0.015	0.015	✓
Accelerated expansion				
$\sigma_8(z = 1 - 2)$	7%	1%	1%	✓
Galaxy evolution				
η_{feedback}	50-100%	2%		✓
p_{nt}	50-100%	4%		✓
Reionization				
Δz	1.4	0.3	0.25	-
τ	0.007	0.0035	0.003	-
Cluster catalog	4000	33,000	70,000	✓
AGN catalog	2000	100,000	$> 100,000$	-
Galactic science				
Molecular cloud B-fields	10s	> 860		-
$\sigma(\beta_{dust})$	0.02	< 0.01		-
Planet 9				
Distance limit for 5 M_e		900 AU		✓
Transient Detection Distance				
Long GRBs, on-axis		420 Mpc		✓
Low-Luminosity GRBs		60-190 Mpc		✓
Normal SNe		$\gtrsim 4$ Mpc		✓
TDEs, on-axis		2100 Mpc		✓



=> will provide a 2 to 4 σ determination of the neutrino mass ordering

Outlook

The coming years will be (continue to be)
the golden age of the CMB !