GdR APPEL 2023

Characterization of proton and X-ray generation at the Apollon short-focal-area in the 1-2 PW range

Weipeng YAO

R. Lelièvre, T. Waltenspiel, I. Cohen, C. Gautier, D. Kob, L. Lancia, W. Ma, D. Papadopoulos, F. Perez, I. Pomerantz, L. Romagnani, S. Xu, F. Mathieu, J. Fuchs

LULI, École Polytechnique, CNRS Orme des Merisiers, 13-15/11/2023











Apollon laser facility, Short Focal Area (SFA) roadmap



SFA current stage



max. ~1 shot/min

Proton acceleration, X-ray emission, and neutron generation

Short-pulse laser (~fs/ps)



Solid target (~um)

Thinner solid targets improve the maximum proton energy and its energy conversion efficiency, with risks.



Solid thinner target (~um)

Double Plasma Mirrors (DPM) for contrast optimization using F2







Double Plasma Mirrors (DPM) for pre-pulse optimization – using F2 (10 J, 24 fs)





Pulse duration: 24 fs On-target laser energy: ~ 10 J ~ 2.8 um x 3.7 um FWHM spot -> ~ $1.1x10^{21}$ W/cm²

DPM for pre-pulse optimization using F2 – enhanced proton acceleration



With DPM: 200 nm Si + 50 nm Al w/o DPM: 1500 nm Al

DPM using F2 – enhanced laser-proton energy conversion efficiency



DPM using F2 – simulations confirm target under 20 nm is totally transparent.



Laser penetrate through the target

Laser reflected by the target

DPM using F2 – improved beam profile



11

F1 commissioning setup





Neutrons – more detail see Ronan's talk

Activation samples



Bubble spectrometer & dosimeters



neutron Time-of-Flight detectors



F1 beam characterization – I



F1 beam characterization – II

No direct contrast measurement -> 2024

w/o membrane







67% energy in the main pulse, caused by the membrane (who protect the OAP) itself.

Proton acceleration – diagnostics (TP / RCF)

Total number of shots : 180 Mean proton energy : 42 MeV



Proton acceleration – started to run PIC simulations



*35% of laser energy (a_0 ~ 90) ***No pre-pulse yet**, but we lower the solid target density (60 nc) so that the thinner target will be partially transparent to the laser.





The high-energy protons are along the laser-axis, indicating a large pre-pulse issue.



Exquisite resolution of X-ray radiograph



Detector resolution: 125 um

Magnification ~ 2

For X-ray around MeV

More analysis ongoing

FSSR for characterizing the plasma condition (density and temperature)



Al Ly_{α} line is measured (high electron Te is supposed to be achieved), however, we observe that the ratio between X-ray resonance lines/ bremsstrahlung is much lower (vs other experiments or F2) indicating a more extended plasma source due to the *lower laser contrast*.

DPM for pre-pulse optimization – not good enough using F1

With F2 (10 J, 24 fs), DPM works well.

⁴⁵E E_{max} (MeV) Mean E_{max} w/ DPM Standard deviation 40 Mean E_{max} w/o DPM Standard deviation 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 Target thickness (nm) 10² 20 nm

But using F1 with the current contrast, the DPM didn't allow us to shoot on a thinner target.



R. Lelièvre, et al., to be submitted



Thanks for your attention



FSSR for characterizing the plasma condition (density and temperature)



K. Burdonov, et al., MRE (2021)



Proton acceleration – diagnostics (TP / RCF)



Real-time proton spectra acquisition using Thomson Parabola

Radeye detectors (along with other cameras outside the target chamber at the **equatorial plane**) are killed by the EMPs, so we have to replace it with Image Plate.

The IP data -> to be analyzed