

LSST, Brokers, Multi-messenger programs

- VRO -> Brokers
- AMPEL MM workflow
- Looking ahead



Jakob Nordin



... will be many things, but for time-domain multi-messenger science:

- Characterization of slowly varying transients (TDE, ... ?).
- Discovery machine for young, faint & distant transients.

Follow-up observations required.





Mostly low cadence



	ZTF	LSST
Effective Aperture	1.2 m	6.7 m
Field of View	47 deg ²	9.6 deg ²
Median Image Quality	2.0"	0.7"
Filters	g, r (i)	u, g, r , i, z, y
Single exposure magnitude range (r)	13.5-20.5	16-24.7
Areal survey speed	3760 deg ² hr ⁻¹	840 deg ² hr ⁻¹
Average yearly observations per field	290	82
Survey dates	2018-2020	2022-2032



	ZTF	LSST
Effective Aperture	1.2 m	6.7 m
Field of View	47 deg ²	9.6 deg ²
Median Image Quality	2.0"	0.7"
Filters	g, r (i)	u, g, r , i, z, y
Single exposure magnitude range (r)	13.5-20.5	16-24.7
Areal survey speed	3760 deg ² hr ⁻¹	840 deg ² hr ⁻¹
Average yearly observations per field	290	82
Survey dates	2018-2020	2022-2032

Most detections too faint for small telescopes



	ZTF	LSST
Number of detections	1 trillion	7 trillion
Number of objects	1 billion	37 billion
Nightly alert rate	1 million	10 million
Nightly data rate	1.4 TB	15 TB
Alert latency	< 20 minutes	60 seconds

	THE EAVE FOOT SCHMIDT PHOTOGRAPHIC TELESCOPE
	in the second se

	ZTF	LSST
Number of detections	1 trillion	7 trillion
Number of objects	1 billion	37 billion
Nightly alert rate	1 million	10 million
Nightly data rate	1.4 TB	15 TB
Alert latency	< 20 minutes	60 seconds

Already in the LSST "era" - throwing away most data



Would cause large data volumes & unpredictable requests



Detections distributed as unidirectional alert stream to fixed endpoints.





Detections distributed as unidirectional alert stream to fixed endpoints.

- Six+ brokers selected.







- Access points for filtered alerts.
 - Cross match
 - Classification





- Six+ brokers selected.



- Access points for filtered alerts.
 - Cross match
 - Classification
- Conceptually easy to add new streams (MM)









However:

- Should we trust brokers?
- What just happened?
- Will they last?









- Who knows how to select?
 - ... not broker teams!
 - Change with time
- Provenance
 - Reproducibility
 - Statistics
- Stability
 - Funding unclear
 - Single point of failure
 - No common interfaces



The European Broker Initiative





- Different architectures with different focus.

...

- Initiative started to use each others strengths and jointly develop missing pieces.
- Part of unfunded ACME proposal...

Hopefully continued!



LSST, Brokers, Multi-messenger programs

- VRO -> Brokers
- AMPEL MM workflow
- Looking ahead



Jakob Nordin





Realizes code-to-data in astronomy. https://github.com/AmpelAstro



	AstroColibriCilent.py AstroColibriPublisher.py ligo_healpic_astrocolibri_15.yml hk_5231102.wyml T2R
	<pre>value: https://gracedb.ligo.org/api/superevents/S231102w/files/bayestar.fit</pre>
	<pre>value: S231102w.fits.gz,1</pre>
	<pre>value: S231102w.fits.gz,1_token</pre>
	value: 2460250.8038889
	- name: export_fmt
	- name: transienttable_path
	value: ./TransientTable.csv
	prefix: S231119u
	- name: remote
	access: [ZIF, ZIF_PUB, ZIF_PRIV]
	policy: []
	- LILLE: LOKEN
	execute.
	config:
	unit: HealpixTokenGenerator
	config:
	pvalue limit: 0.9
	chunk size: 1000
_	

- Retrieve healpix map (GraceDB, GCN)
- Retrieve ZTF alerts within t-range from event time (~25000).
- Filter for new transients.
- Match position to catalogs and redshift.
- Fit optical+GW data to POSSIS models.
- Combine all information into one kilonovaness ranking.
- Post candidates (AstroColibri)





- Retrieve healpix map (GraceDB, GCN)
- Retrieve ZTF alerts within t-range from event time (~25000).
- Filter for new transients.
- Match position to catalogs and redshift.
- Fit optical+GW data to POSSIS models.
- Combine all information into one kilonovaness ranking.
- Post candidates (AstroColibri)

https://github.com/AmpelAstro/Ampel-HU-astro/blob/9b4faed7700b39a91b12bf45e2dbabf2304580f8/examples/remote/lvk S231102w.vml



Jakob Nordin











Jakob Nordin







Jakob Nordin



Reproduce locally, scale at CS, co-develop and publish.

F	jnordin@kol: ~/github/ampel83m3/Ampel-HU-astro/examples	Q = - 0
(ampel83m3) <mark>jnordi</mark> secrets//	.ngkol:-/github/ampel83m3/Ampel-HU-astro/examples\$ ampel job /ampel83/vault.yamlschema ligo_healpix_dynashape.yml	config/ampel_conf.
2023-05-07 13:24:0 Running job ligo-	8 JobCommand:323 INFO [pid=56534] healpix 	
2023-05-07 13:24:0 Creating dumpme - Creating index:	9 AmpelDB:280 INFO > stock [('localhost', 27017)] {'index': (('stock', 1), ('channel', 1)), 'args': {'unique':	True}}
2023-05-07 13:24:1 Creating dumpme - Creating index: Creating index:	0 AmpelDB:280 INFO > t0 [('localhost', 27017)] {(index': [('id', 1)], 'args': {'unique': True}} {'index': [('stock', 1)], 'args': {'sparse': True}}	
2023-05-07 13:24:1 Creating dumpme - Creating index: Creating index: Creating index:	0 AmpelDB:280 INF0 > t1 [('localhost', 27017)] {'index': [('stock', 1)]} {'index': [('channel', 1)]} {'index': [('code', 1)], 'args': {'sparse': True}}	
2023-05-07 13:24:1	0 AmpelDB:280 INFO	

https://github.com/AmpelAstro/Ampel-HU-astro/blob/9b4faed7700b39a91b12bf45e2dbabf2304580f8/examples/remote/lvk_S231102w.vml



Jakob Nordin



Modular ("code-to-data"):

- Develop/run locally, scale at CS.
- Add interfaces to domain specific software.
- Optimize parameters for science.

Provenance:

- Workflow can be shared/published.
- Analysis repeatability.
- Logging built into the system.
- Map to IVOA provenance model.

https://github.com/AmpelAstro/Ampel-HU-astro/blob/9b4faed7700b39a91b12bf45e2dbabf2304580f8/examples/remote/lvk_S231102w.yml



Jakob Nordin



Modular ("code-to-data"):

- Develop/run locally, scale at CS.
- Add interfaces to domain specific software.
- Optimize parameters for science.

Provenance:

- Workflow can be shared/published.
- Analysis repeatability.
- Logging built into the system.
- Map to IVOA provenance model.

It works, but flexibility -> modularity -> abstract -> non-intuitive



ELAsTiCC LSST simulation

Working with VRO/LSST will be hard.

DESC-created ELAsTiCC data challenge showed AMPEL classification schema to work better than expected.

Time-domain challenging, but possible with significant preparation.





How to run workflow locally:

Create a python 3.10 environment w. poetry and:

- git clone https://github.com/AmpelAstro/Ampel-HU-astro.git
- cd Ampel-HU-astro/
- git checkout ampelgw04
- poetry install -E "ztf sncosmo extcats notebook"
- ampel config build -out ampel_conf.yaml >& ampel_conf.log
- ampel job --config ampel_conf.yaml --schema remote/lvk_S231102w.yml
 --secrets vault.yaml

[Get access token to put in vault from JN.]







LSST, Brokers, Multi-messenger programs

- VRO -> Brokers
- AMPEL MM workflow
- Looking ahead



Jakob Nordin



Data irreversibility & FAIR standards

Universe does stuff



Sensor happens to point.



A real-time *algorithm* triggered.



How do we go from a measurement back to the Universe?

Irreversible, complex, stacked steps.







Preparation is key

"Inverted" work order:





Jakob Nordin



Jakob Nordin

Summary:

Brokers will be a critical component of real-time infrastructure, but will not and should not do the science.

Path ahead:

- (im)possible?
 - Funding incentives for scientific computing
 - Require reproducible publications and data release
- \circ This week
 - Interfaces (filters, software modulers, alerts)
 - What workflows will we want?
- Take home (yes, you!)
 - Inverted work order
 - Talk to broker teams early in the process
 - Program maintenance